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## Foreword

National AIDS Control Programme (NACP-III) aims to halt and reverse the spread of HIV epidemic in the country. To ensure the achievement of set targets, the programme is based on thorough understanding of the current situation of HIV epidemic and the direction in which the efforts have to be channeled. Evidence-based planning of strategic interventions to control HIV/AIDS requires a strong surveillance, monitoring and research activities which provide crucial information on the patterns of spread of the epidemic. With the evolution of the National AIDS Control Programme (NACP) and its strategies, the need to focus on the vulnerable population groups and communities with high risk behaviour has received greater attention.

The second generation surveillance for HIV emphasises the significance of understanding the behavioural patterns and trends that increase the emergence of the HIV epidemic. They give direction to the programmatic efforts by showing the impact of the interventions and areas that need focus of different initiatives. Behavioural Surveillance aids national as well as sub-national planners and administrators in planning, implementation as well as monitoring the interventions to tackle the HIV epidemic.

In conformity with the National AIDS Prevention and Control Policy, National AIDS Control Organisation (NACO) commissioned the first Behavioural Surveillance Survey (BSS) in 2001 as a part of NACP-II. This provided the baseline information on high risk behavioural patterns, knowledge, awareness and practices related to spread of HIV/AIDS in the country. Towards the end of NACP-II, after a gap of five years since the first wave of BSS, NACO commissioned the second wave of BSS in 2006 to measure the changes in behavioural indicators.

Young men and women constitute the most important group who are vulnerable to HIV scourge. Most of the new infections also occur among the young and around one-third of reported fullblown AIDS cases are among the young. NACP-III gives great priority to awareness building among the adolescent youth. The behavioural patterns among the young act as a marker for the growth of the HIV epidemic. Understanding the knowledge, behaviour and practices related to HIV/AIDS among the young is vital to plan for preventive interventions focused upon them. In BSS 2001, the behavioural patterns among the Youth were derived from the survey for the general population. To have an in-depth understanding of a wide range of behavioural patterns among the youth, a separate Youth Survey was undertaken as part of BSS in 2006.

The initiative and support from UNICEF India to undertake a special Youth Survey, besides General Population Survey is greatly acknowledged.

Dr. Arvind Pandey, Dr. R.K. Gupta and Dr. S.K. Benara at National Institute of Medical Statistics, New Delhi deserve special thanks for the leadership and coordination among different stakeholders by acting as the nodal agency for the planning and conduction of Youth Survey.

NACO has constituted a Technical Resource Group (TRG) comprising of experts from different national and international organisations. The technical and methodological inputs provided by TRG members are invaluable. The comments and suggestions from the other national experts in preparation of this report are also appreciated.

NACO would like to acknowledge the support provided by UNAIDS India in the preparation and printing of this report.

The survey was contracted to ORG Centre for Social Research, a division of ACNielsen ORG MARG Pvt. Ltd. which has experience of conducting BSS 2001 also. The efforts of ORG Centre for Social Research to ensure quality at all stages of the study are deeply appreciated.

I congratulate Dr. Jotna Sokhey, Additional Project Director, NACO, Dr. Ajay Khera, Joint Director (Basic Services \& Surveillance) and the surveillance team at NACO for their efforts in bringing out this document.

A survey of this magnitude would not have been possible without the unstinted cooperation from the thousands of respondents who participated in the study. Each one of them is greatly thanked for their willingness, patience and time.

I am sure this document would prove to be a rich source of information for national as well as state-level administrators to plan more effective interventions focusing on the youth.


Ms. K. Sujatha Rao
Additional Secretary \& Director General
National AIDS Control Organisation
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## Executive Summary

## 1. Background

1. A clear understanding of the situation of young people and their needs is required to design and successfully implement interventions to stem the tide of infections among young people. Without this information, the scale of the response required and the focus and relative urgency of the interventions remain unknown. Since most new infections are in young people, modest changes in behaviour in this age group may have a significant impact on the epidemic. A positive feature of arming young people with knowledge and skills makes them more likely to adopt safe sexual behaviour compared to the older people with already entrenched habits.
2. In order to design evidence-based interventions among the young people, it is essential to understand the levels of knowledge about HIV/AIDS, attitude and sexual behaviour of young people. Behavioural Surveillance Surveys (BSS) are internationally standardised tools used for understanding the knowledge, attitude and behaviour of populations. Undertaking BSS among Youth is an important effort by NACO and UNICEF to monitor changes in behavioural aspects of young people who are vulnerable to HIV infection.
3. The aim of the study is to provide a baseline for the interventions among the young people supported by NACO and UNICEF. The study will be repeated periodically for trend analysis, which will indicate the impact of the interventions as well generate invaluable information about behaviour and life style of young people in the country.

## 2. Methodology and Sampling Design

1. To effectively monitor the trends in cognitive information on HIV/AIDS and to assess changes in sexual behaviour and risk practices, NACO conducted BSS among general population (15-49 years) in 2001 i.e. towards the beginning of NACP-II. UNICEF and NACO undertook a detailed disaggregated analysis of National BSS 2001 data for the 15-24 population segments of the general population. However, the sample of 15-24 population culled out from BSS 2001 data was not adequate to provide representative estimates for male and female population (15-24 years) in rural and urban areas of different states. In view of this, UNICEF was planning to undertake a more comprehensive National BSS survey by covering representative sample of young people. Keeping in view the coverage of the National BSS among the young population and the time and resources required for the same, UNICEF and NACO decided to carry out the Youth survey along with BSS 2006 which was to be conducted by NACO in the year 2006.
2. The BSS among young people was carried out following the same methodology adopted for survey among general population (GP) in BSS 2006. As per the sampling design adopted for BSS 2006, a total sample of 97,240 respondents (male and female in the age group of 15-49 years) have been covered for the GP survey. This sample has been covered from 2434 rural and urban PSUs scattered over 25 states/group of states. In each selected PSU, a sample of 40 respondents ( 20 males and 20 females) was covered for the GP survey, which also included respondents in the age group of $15-24$ years. Besides the above sample, an additional sample of 20 respondents ( 10 male and 10 female) aged $15-24$ years was covered in each PSU for the BSS among young people. The total sample covered for the BSS among
young people was 78,916 of which 30,791 were from the GP survey and 48,125 was the additional sample covered for the Youth survey.
3. While planning for Youth BSS, it was felt important that all the key stakeholders agree on the goals of data collection as well as the practicalities. Keeping this basic premise in mind, UNICEF and NACO initiated a systematic consultation process among all the key partners right from the beginning of the planning stage of this survey. For BSS 2006, a Technical Resource Group (TRG) was constituted by NACO that included members from different national and international organisations as UNAIDS, UNICEF, WHO, NIMS, Clinton Foundation, RCSHA and Population Council. TRG meetings were held on a weekly basis at every stage of the study to review progress and plan for the effective use of the emerging data for BSS 2006 as well as the Youth BSS. The TRG members contributed substantially in terms of providing ideas and shared their experiences throughout the study period.
4. All the states and union territories in the country were categorised in 25 sampling units for the purpose of BSS 2006. The smaller states were combined with adjacent large states. Goa and Daman \& Diu were clubbed into one group, as were Gujarat and Dadra \& Nagar Haveli, Kerala and Lakshadweep, Punjab and Chandigarh, Tamil Nadu and Puducherry, West Bengal and Andaman \& Nicobar Islands and five North Eastern states of Arunachal Pradesh, Nagaland, Meghalaya, Mizoram and Tripura. All the other states in country were taken as independent sampling units.
5. The required information for the Youth survey was collected through similar questionnaires used for BSS 2006. A detailed manual was prepared for field teams for their ready reference. The manual highlighted the survey objectives, methodology, techniques for interviewing and recording the answers and detailed description of each question.
6. The fieldwork for the GP survey as well as Youth survey was carried out simultaneously in all over India. It was initiated in mid May 2006 and was completed in June 2006.
7. After field and office editing, the data was entered through a tailor made software module in Integrated System for Survey Analysis (ISSA version 7.0). One day Workshop was organised at NIMS to finalise the analysis and tabulation plan for the Youth BSS. The Workshop was attended by the TRG members and experts in health sector particularly in HIV/AIDS and the core team members of the survey team. Before merging the two sets of data (Extracted sample from NACO BSS and additional sample through Youth BSS) appropriate weighting was done as disproportionate allocation of sample took place at state and other levels. After due cleaning of data, the analysis was carried out using SPSS 10.0 package. Adequate checks were built in at data entry and data analysis stage to ensure data quality

## 3. Salient Findings

### 3.1 Profile of Respondents

1. Of the total sample of 78,916 respondents covered for the BSS among young people, 40,029 ( $50.7 \%$ ) were residing in rural areas and 38,887 (49.3\%) were from urban areas.
2. Among the interviewed respondents, 40,027 ( $50.7 \%$ ) were males while $38,889(49.3 \%)$ were females.
3. At the national level, the median age of the youths was 20 years. The median age was similar (20 years) across residence as well as gender of the respondents.
4. Most of the respondents ( $87 \%$ ) were literates. The proportion of literates was higher in urban areas and among male. Compared to other states lower proportion of literate respondents was reported in Bihar (71\%), Uttar Pradesh (75\%) and Madhya Pradesh (83\%).
5. Overall, less than one-third of the youths were currently married. The proportion of the currently married youth was higher in rural areas (33\%) and among females (44\%). The lowest proportion of currently married youths was observed in Jammu \& Kashmir, followed by Goa and Daman \& Diu and Manipur.

### 3.2 Awareness of HIV/AIDS and its Modes of Transmission and Prevention

1. Most of the youths ( $86 \%$ ) in BSS 2006 were aware of either HIV or AIDS or both and there has not been any change in this respect since the BSS 2001. The awareness about HIV/AIDS was significantly higher in urban areas and within both urban and rural areas, higher proportion of males than females were aware of HIV/AIDS. The proportion aware of HIV/AIDS was relatively lower in states like Bihar, Chhattisgarh and Madhya Pradesh.
2. The youth were more familiar with the terminology "AIDS" (86\%) than "HIV" (72\%).
3. Most of the youth aware of HIV/AIDS also knew that HIV/AIDS could be transmitted through unprotected sexual contacts (92\%), transfusion of infected blood (95\%) and sharing of used/ infected needles (94\%). However, lower proportion of the respondents knew that an HIVinfected mother could infect the child in her womb (83\%) and HIV/AIDS could be transmitted through breast feeding (67\%).
4. Almost all the respondents (97\%) who were aware of HIV/AIDS also knew about at least two modes of its transmission.
5. Even among the youth aware about HIV/AIDS, only two-thirds reported that the disease can be prevented by consistent condom use and by having one faithful uninfected sex partner. Higher proportion of males (73\%) and urban (71\%) respondents had awareness of both the methods of prevention. Nearly two-fifths of the rural females in Karnataka, West Bengal and Andaman \& Nicobar Islands, Assam and Sikkim and nearly half in Jharkhand, Orissa, Other North Eastern States and Maharashtra were aware of both the methods of prevention of HIV/AIDS.
6. More than two-fifths of the youths could correctly identify three common misconceptions on transmission of HIV/AIDS. This proportion was significantly higher in urban areas (52\%). Less than one-fourth of the rural female respondents in the states of Andhra Pradesh, Jammu $\&$ Kashmir and West Bengal and Andaman \& Nicobar Islands could correctly identify three common misconceptions on transmission of HIV/AIDS.
7. The proportion of respondents with comprehensive correct knowledge of HIV/AIDS transmission and prevention has increased significantly from 22 percent in BSS 2001 to 28 percent in BSS 2006. In both the surveys, the corresponding percentage was significantly higher among males and in urban areas. This proportion was relatively higher for 20-24 age group (30\%) compared to the 15-19 years age group (27\%).

### 3.3 Awareness of STD and their Treatment Seeking Behaviour

1. Compared to the awareness of HIV/AIDS, the awareness regarding STDs was significantly lower among the youth. However, the awareness about STDs has significantly increased from 29 percent in BSS 2001 to 36 percent in BSS 2006. Higher proportion of male respondents from urban areas had ever heard of STDs, unlike rural areas where similar proportion of male and female respondents had heard of STDs. The awareness was reported to be lowest in Jammu \& Kashmir (9\%), followed by Madhya Pradesh (12\%). It is higher in 20-24 years age group than 15-19 years.
2. Nearly two-thirds of the youths aware of STDs, knew that there is a linkage between STDs and HIV/AIDS. The proportion was considerably higher among males (73\%) and in urban areas (72\%). Hardly 25 percent of the females in Kerala and Lakshadweep and less than

45 percent of those in Orissa, Assam and other North Eastern states were aware of linkages between STDs and HIV/AIDS.
3. Overall, five percent of the respondents in BSS 2006 (4\% in BSS 2001) reported any STD symptom (self-reported prevalence) in last 12 months. Higher proportion of females (6\%) reported any STD symptom as compared to males (4\%). Further, STD prevalence was observed to be marginally higher in rural areas (5\%) than urban areas (4\%). Across the age groups, higher proportion of respondents and 20-24 years (6\%) reported STD symptom in the last 12 months compared to those in age group 15-19 years.
4. At the national level, 48 percent of the youth reporting STD prevalence in the last one year, visited any health institution during last episode of any STD symptom. The proportion was higher among male respondents at 55 percent as compared to females (43\%). Significant variation was observed across different states/group of states in this respect with a highest proportion being reported in Tamil Nadu and Puducherry (85\%) and lowest in Chhattisgarh (19\%). This proportion was also higher among 20-24 age group (52\%) than 15-19 years ( $41 \%$ ).
5. The most commonly mentioned sources of treatment during last episode of STDs was reported to be Private clinic/ hospital (32\%), followed by Govt. clinic/hospital (23\%) and home based treatment (22\%). Over one-fifth of the respondents who suffered from STD during last 12 months had not sought any treatment.

### 3.4 Awareness of Condoms

1. More than four-fifths of the respondents in both BSS 2001 and BSS 2006 were aware of condoms. Awareness of condoms was slightly higher in the urban areas (90\%) as compared to the rural areas ( $82 \%$ ). Within both urban and rural areas, higher proportions of males were aware of condoms than females. The awareness about condoms was lowest in Bihar (62\%), followed by Karnataka (66\%) and Chhattisgarh (74\%).
2. Among the respondents aware of condoms nearly $15 \%$ did not know about the use of condoms for HIV/AIDS prevention. This was even lower among the respondents in rural areas and female respondents. Except for Sikkim (62\%), West Bengal and Andaman \& Nicobar Islands ( $67 \%$ ), Assam ( $71 \%$ ), Bihar ( $72 \%$ ) and Orissa ( $78 \%$ ) more than four-fifths of the respondents from all states/group of states reported that condoms can be used to prevent HIV/AIDS transmission.
3. About 92 percent of the respondents reported easy availability of condoms in their area. This proportion was lower in the states of Assam (81\%) followed by Orissa and Karnataka (85\%).
4. Among respondents aware of condoms, 85 percent reported that condom can be procured within 30 minutes. Following the trend with respect to awareness of condoms and easy availability, the proportion was observed to be higher in urban areas ( $91 \%$ ) as compared to rural areas $(81 \%)$. Further, across both urban and rural areas a higher proportion of males reported condom procurement within 30 minutes as compared to females.

### 3.5 Sexual Behaviour and Condom Usage

1. At all India level, the median age at first sex was reported to be 18 years in rural and urban areas as well as for male and female respondents. Across states/group of states, the median age at first sex was reported to be lowest in Bihar at 16 years and highest in the states of Goa and Daman \& Diu at 20 years.
2. Eight percent of the youths in BSS 2006 against seven percent in BSS 2001 reported sex with non-regular partners during preceding 12 months. The proportion reporting sex with non-
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regular partners was significantly higher among males at 13 percent as compared to females (3\%). Significant variation was observed across different states/group of states with lowest proportion (3\%) in Uttarakhand, Bihar, Karnataka and the highest in Punjab and Chandigarh and Delhi (15\%). The other two states reporting higher proportion of casual sex were Andhra Pradesh (13\%) and Maharashtra (12\%).
3. Among the youth who had sex with a non-regular partner in the last 12 months, 62 percent reported condom usage during last sex with non-regular partner. There has been a significant increase in this respect since BSS 2001 (52\%). Significantly higher proportion of respondents from urban areas ( $75 \%$ ) as compared to rural areas ( $55 \%$ ) reported last time condom use with non-regular sex partners.
4. Compared to 34 percent of the youth in BSS 2001, 47 percent in BSS 2006 reported consistent condom usage with non-regular sexual partner in last 12 months. Higher proportion of youth in urban ( $59 \%$ ) than rural areas ( $42 \%$ ) reported use of condom every time with non-regular partner. Across urban areas, higher proportion of males ( $60 \%$ ) reported consistent condom usage with non-regular partner as compared to females (54\%), while in the rural areas the trend was reverse (males $41 \%$, females $48 \%$ ).
5. Overall, 69 percent of the male youth were aware of Men who have Sex with Men (MSM). The proportion was significantly higher among males from urban areas (76\%) as compared to rural areas (65\%). The proportion was reported to be highest in Punjab and Chandigarh (89\%), Delhi (87\%) and, Kerala and Lakshadweep (84\%). The lowest proportion was reported in Chhattisgarh (42\%) followed by Karnataka (44\%) and Manipur (47\%).
6. Among the males aware of MSM behaviour, three percent indulged in such behaviour. This proportion was highest in Kerala and Lakshadweep (8\%) followed by Punjab and Chandigarh (6\%) and Delhi and Haryana (4\%).

### 3.6 Awareness about Testing Facilities and Stigma against PLHA

1. Among respondents aware of HIV/AIDS, only around one-third (males 39\%, females 30\%) reported to be aware of any HIV/AIDS testing facility in their area. The awareness was observed to be higher among respondents from urban areas (43\%) than those from rural areas (31\%). The awareness of HIV/AIDS testing facility was quite low among the rural females in Assam (3\%), West Bengal and Andaman \& Nicobar Islands (7\%), Orissa, Uttarakhand, Jammu \& Kashmir, Punjab and Chandigarh, Chhattisgarh and Uttar Pradesh (10-15\%).
2. Only 26 percent (males $27 \%$, females $26 \%$ ) of the respondents aware of HIV/AIDS knew about ICTC. A significantly higher proportion of urban respondents (35\%) as compared to rural (22\%) had heard of ICTC. Significant variation was observed across different states/group of states with a highest proportion being reported in Gujarat \& Dadra \& Nagar Haveli (48\%), Maharashtra (47\%) and Andhra Pradesh (43\%). The proportion was observed to be lowest in Madhya Pradesh (10\%) and Punjab \& Chandigarh (9\%).
3. Only 18 percent of respondents were aware of PPTCT. The awareness levels were noted to be significantly higher in urban areas (24\%) as compared to rural areas (15\%). Gender-wise, relatively higher proportion of females $(19 \%)$ than males $(17 \%)$ was aware of PPTCT.
4. At the all India level, 19 percent of the respondents aware of HIV/AIDS knew about someone infected with HIV/AIDS. Significant variation was observed across different states/group of states with lowest proportion in Jharkhand and Uttarakhand (2\%), Assam and Himachal Pradesh (3\%).
5. Among respondents aware of HIV/AIDS , 68 percent (urban 69\%, rural 66\%) reported that PLHA should be allowed to stay in the community/village. The proportion was significantly higher
for males (72\%) than females (64\%). In Kerala and Lakshadweep, the proportion was reported to be lowest at 19 percent followed by Orissa (38\%) and Assam (48\%).
6. More than three-fifths of the respondents aware of HIV/AIDS reported that they are willing to share food with PLHA. This proportion was significantly higher for urban (69\%) and male (64\%) respondents. The proportion of respondents reporting willingness to share food with a PLHA was higher (over 70\%) in the states of Chhattisgarh, Punjab and Chandigarh, Uttarakhand, Manipur, Himachal Pradesh and Delhi.

### 3.7 Exposure to IEC and Mass Media

1. Only 22 percent (males $23 \%$, females $20 \%$ ) received interpersonal communication on STD/HIV/ AIDS in last one year. Among state/group of states, proportion of respondents who received interpersonal communication was comparatively lower in Chhattisgarh (6\%), followed by Madhya Pradesh (7\%). Further, only 12 to 15 percent of the respondents in Jammu \& Kashmir, Bihar, Tamil Nadu and Puducherry, and Kerala and Lakshadweep had access to interpersonal communication on HIV/AIDS during the last one year.
2. Overall, three-fourths of the respondents had watched television at least once a week in last one month. Higher proportion of respondents in urban (92\%) than the rural areas (68\%) had access to television. Within both urban and rural areas, significantly higher proportion of males had exposure to television as compared to their female counterparts. Except for Bihar (35\%) and Uttar Pradesh (61\%), more than two-thirds of the respondents in all the states had watched television at least once a week in last one month.
3. More than half (males $64 \%$, females $41 \%$ ) of the respondents had listened to radio at least once a week in last one month. Among the state/group of states, radio listenership was reported to be highest in Manipur (84\%), followed by Maharashtra (74\%) and Assam (70\%). The proportion was lowest in Other NE States (31\%), Orissa and Chhattisgarh (34\%) and Andhra Pradesh (35\%).
4. At the all India level, half of the respondents reported reading newspaper/magazine at least once a week in last one month. As expected, the proportion was higher among male (63\%) and urban (64\%) respondents. Highest proportion of respondents from Kerala and Lakshadweep (86\%), followed by Maharashtra (78\%) and, Goa and Daman \& Diu (75\%) reported that they had read newspaper/magazine at least once a week in last one month.

## Background \& Methodology

### 1.1 Introduction

### 1.1.1 Background

India has seen an increase in the number of its people living with Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV), which causes Acquired Immuno Deficiency Syndrome (AIDS), from a few thousand in the early 1990s to around 2.47 million in 2006 out of which, $39 \%$ are women \& $3.8 \%$ are children. A total of 1,99,453 AIDS cases have been reported since 1986 till 31st December 2007. Many of the AIDS cases in India go unreported due to low level of awareness regarding HIV and AIDS.

Behavioural Surveillance is one of the four components of surveillance for HIV infection. The second generation surveillance for HIV emphasises the significance of understanding the behavioural patterns and trends that increase the emergence of HIV epidemic. Behavioural Surveillance not only gives warning signal for newly emerging pockets of infection but also provide rich inputs to plan the preventive interventions and awareness campaigns. It is identified as an essential part of the HIV surveillance in the country that will aid the national as well as sub-national planners and administrators for taking appropriate and evidence-based programmatic decisions to tackle the HIV epidemic.

### 1.1.2 HIV/AIDS among Young People

Available estimates from Census 2001 show that there are 190 million young people between $15-24$ years in India. The life between the ages of $15-24$ is a period of experimentation and risk, and many factors increase young people's vulnerability to HIV during these years of rapid physical and psychosocial development. These factors include lack of knowledge about HIV/AIDS, lack of education and life skills, poor access to health services, early sexual debut, early marriage, sexual coercion and violence, trafficking and growing up without parents or other forms of protection from exploitation and abuse. Many young people are particularly at risk of becoming infected with HIV because of the situations in which they live, learn and earn and as a result of behaviours they adopt, or are forced to adopt, as a result of social, cultural and economic factors. Thus, the young people have an important role to play in fuelling the HIV/AIDS epidemic in India and therefore they need focused intervention that can result in changing risk behaviours.

A nationwide Behavioural Surveillance Survey (BSS) carried out among the general population in the year 2001 shows that casual sex (non-regular sex) was prevalent among the young people (aged 15-24 years) in the country. The highest prevalence was among urban males (11.8\%) and least among rural females ( $2 \%$ ) while the overall prevalence was seven percent. It is also known that over 35 percent of AIDS cases reported are below 25 years of age and majority of new infections occur among youth aged 15 to 24 years. The current HIV/AIDS programmes are reaching only 15 percent of young people and less than one quarter of young people have accurate information on how to protect themselves from HIV which, coupled with profound gender inequalities, make change in sexual attitudes and practices very difficult.

### 1.2 Need for the Study

A clear understanding of the situation of young people and their needs is required to design and successfully implement interventions to stem the tide of infections among young people. Without this information, the scale of the response required and the focus and relative urgency
of the interventions remain unknown. Since most new infections are in young people, modest changes in behaviour in this age group may have a significant impact on the epidemic. A positive feature of arming young people with knowledge and skills makes them more likely to adopt safe sexual behaviour compared to the older people with already entrenched habits.

In order to design evidence-based interventions among the young people, it is essential to understand the levels of knowledge about HIV/AIDS, attitude and sexual behaviour of young people. BSS are internationally standardised tools used for understanding the knowledge, attitude and behaviour of populations. Undertaking BSS is an important effort to monitor changes in behavioural aspects of young people who are vulnerable to HIV infection. This is expected to help national and sub-national programme managers to derive necessary implications from the resultant changes between the 'recommended behaviour' and 'reported behaviour' for strategising appropriate programmatic solutions.

### 1.3 Behavioural Surveillance Surveys

The expansion of HIV programmes and assessment of impact of programme interventions is intrinsically complex and multifaceted because of the needs associated with HIV infection and the dynamics of an expanding epidemic. This expanding epidemic demands that limited resources should be used as effectively as possible to curb the further spread of HIV/AIDS and reduce the impact of infection. This is often done with several different tools to analyse the progress of the programme interventions leading to better decision-making in resource allocation and the improvement of programme strategies.

BSS are a monitoring and evaluation methodology designed to track trends in HIV AIDS knowledge, attitudes and risk behaviour in selected segments of a country. The BSS methodology is a powerful tool for HIV/AIDS prevention programmes. BSS enable programme managers to plan and implement interventions that respond to trends in risk behaviour and to evaluate the interventions' intermediate outcomes.

The conceptual premise of BSS is based on the classical HIV and STD serologic surveillance methods that comprise repeated cross-sectional sentinel surveys of key population groups. The purpose of this survey is to systematically monitor trends in behavioural indicators over a period of time that helps implementers to understand the outcome of interventions being carried out among the select population sub-groups.

One of the most important characteristics of BSS is its consistency over time. It employs a consistent sampling methodology and data collection methods for tracking a consistent set of behavioural indicators over time. The entire approach is designed to allow for reliable tracking of trends over time.

### 1.4 Objectives of the Study

The aim of the study is to provide a baseline for the interventions among the young people supported by NACO and UNICEF. The study will be repeated periodically for trend analysis, which will indicate the impact of interventions as well generate invaluable information about behaviour and lifestyle of young people in the country. The specific objectives of the study are:

- Measure changes in the key knowledge and behavioural indicators of youth, based on BSS 2001 estimates of the indicators identifying the persistent problem areas.
- Explore programmatic implications which will help in expansion of interventions leading to reduction in the transmission of HIV/AIDS among the young people.
- Generate quantitative measure of knowledge and behavioural indicators for analysis within wave and trend analysis over multiple waves.


### 1.5 Methodology

To effectively monitor the trends in knowledge and awareness on HIV/AIDS and to assess changes in sexual behaviour and risk practices, NACO conducted BSS in 2001 i.e. towards the beginning of NACP-II. BSS 2001 was conducted among general population in the age group of 15-49 years, bridge population (clients of Female Sex Workers) and high-risk groups (FSWs, MSM and IDUs). To understand the HIV/AIDS knowledge and sexual behaviour of the young people, UNICEF and NACO undertook a detailed disaggregated analysis of the National BSS 2001 data for the 15-24 population segments of the general population and high risk groups. The disaggregated analysis of BSS 2001 data brought out interesting observations regarding the HIV/AIDS knowledge and sexual behaviour of young people. However, the sample of 15-24 population culled out from BSS 2001 data was not adequate to provide representative estimates for male and female population (15-24 years) in rural and urban areas of different states. In view of this, UNICEF planned to undertake a more comprehensive National BSS survey by covering representative sample of young people across the country. Keeping in view the coverage of the National BSS among the young population and the time and resources required for the same, UNICEF and NACO decided to carry out the Youth survey along with BSS 2006 which was conducted by NACO in the year 2006. The methodology followed for National BSS 2006 and the Youth BSS 2006 has been described in this section. The section also deals with the process followed for fieldwork, quality control and the estimation procedure for the Youth BSS 2006.

### 1.5.1 Consultative Process in Planning the Survey

While planning for Youth BSS, it was felt important that all the key stakeholders agree on the goals of data collection as well as the practicalities. Keeping this basic premise in mind, UNICEF and NACO initiated a systematic consultation process among all the key partners right from the beginning of the planning stage of this survey. For the study, a Technical Resource Group (TRG) was constituted that included members from different national and international organisations such as UNAIDS, UNICEF, WHO, NIMS, Clinton Foundation, RCSHA and Population Council. TRG meetings were held on a weekly basis at every stage of the study to review progress and plan for the effective use of the emerging data for BSS 2006 as well as Youth BSS. The TRG members contributed substantially in terms of providing ideas and shared their experiences throughout the study period. The list of TRG members and other experts involved in Youth BSS is provided at Annex-VI.

### 1.5.2 Target Respondents

The target respondents for the study comprised the young population in the age group of 15-24 years.

### 1.5.3 Key Indicators

The key knowledge and behavioural indicators covered in the survey are given below:

- Awareness of HIV/AIDS
- Knowledge of HIV prevention methods
- No incorrect beliefs about HIV transmission
- Awareness of Sexually Transmitted Diseases (STDs)
- Knowledge of STD symptoms and treatment seeking behaviour
- Age at first sex
- Sex with different type of sex partners
- Last time condom use with different type of sex partners
- Consistent condom use with different type of sex partners
- HIV risk perceptions
- Exposure to media
- Exposure to interventions.


### 1.5.4 Coverage of the Survey

This was a National survey covering rural and urban areas in all the states and union territories of India. The smaller states were combined with adjacent large states. The list of state/group of states covered is as follows:

1. Andhra Pradesh
2. Assam
3. Bihar
4. Chhattisgarh
5. Delhi
6. Goa and Daman \& Diu
7. Gujarat and Dadra \& Nagar Haveli
8. Haryana
9. Himachal Pradesh
10. Jammu \& Kashmir
11. Jharkhand
12. Karnataka
13. Kerala and Lakshadweep
14. Madhya Pradesh
15. Maharashtra
16. Manipur
17. Orissa
18. Other NE States (Arunachal Pradesh, Nagaland, Meghalaya, Mizoram and Tripura)
19. Punjab and Chandigarh
20. Rajasthan
21. Sikkim
22. Tamil Nadu and Puducherry
23. Uttar Pradesh
24. Uttarakhand
25. West Bengal and Andaman \& Nicobar Islands

### 1.5.5 Research Instruments

The required information for the Youth survey was collected through the same questionnaires used for BSS 2006.

In order to ascertain the suitability of questionnaires in actual field conditions, the questionnaire was pre-tested in the field in three states - Uttar Pradesh, Rajasthan and Orissa. The pre-test results were shared with TRG and based on their comments and suggestions the questionnaire was suitably modified. The questionnaire used for the survey has been presented in Annex - I.

A detailed manual was prepared for field teams for their ready reference. The manual highlighted the survey objectives, methodology, techniques for interviewing and recording the answers and detailed description of each question.

The finalised questionnaires were translated into various regional languages. The questionnaires were back-translated into English to ensure that the meaning of the questions has not changed while translating them into regional languages. The bilingual questionnaires were used for the survey.

### 1.6 Sample Design

As discussed earlier, the BSS among young people was carried out following the same methodology adopted for survey among general population (GP) in BSS 2006. The sampling design followed for the GP survey in BSS 2006 has been given in Annex II. As per the sampling design adopted for BSS 2006, a total sample of 97240 respondents (males and females in the age group of 15-49 years) have been covered for the GP survey. This sample has been covered from 2434 rural and urban PSUs scattered over 25 states/group of states. In each selected PSU, a sample of 40 respondents ( 20 males and 20 females) was covered for the GP survey, which also included respondents in the age group of 15-24 years. Besides the above sample, an additional sample of 20 respondents ( 10 males and 10 females) aged $15-24$ years was covered in each PSU for the BSS among young people. The total sample covered for the BSS among young people was 78,916 of which 30,791 was from the GP survey and 48,125 was the additional sample covered for the Youth survey. The actual coverage of respondents from the age group of 15-24 years in the GP survey and the Youth survey in each of the states and group of states is presented in Table 1.1.

Table 1.1: Sample covered for BSS among young people (15-24 years)

| SI. <br> No. | State/Group of States | Sample covered in GP survey | Additional sample taken for Youth survey | Total sample |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 809 | 1,034 | 1,843 |
| 2. | Assam | 1560 | 2,290 | 3,850 |
| 3. | Bihar | 876 | 1,458 | 2,334 |
| 4. | Chhattisgarh | 816 | 1,230 | 2,046 |
| 5. | Delhi | 1,553 | 2,312 | 3,865 |
| 6. | Goa + Daman \& Diu | 626 | 1,203 | 1,829 |
| 7. | Gujarat + Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 774 | 1,564 | 2,338 |
| 8. | Haryana | 1,684 | 2,384 | 4,068 |
| 9. | Himachal Pradesh | 1,205 | 2,314 | 3,519 |
| 10. | Jammu \& Kashmir | 1,432 | 2,119 | 3,551 |
| 11. | Jharkhand | 1,102 | 1,475 | 2,577 |
| 12. | Karnataka | 1,278 | 2,045 | 3,323 |
| 13. | Kerala + Lakshadweep | 1,058 | 2,300 | 3,358 |
| 14. | Madhya Pradesh | 818 | 1,233 | 2,051 |
| 15. | Maharashtra | 1,641 | 2,195 | 3,836 |
| 16. | Manipur | 1,082 | 1,969 | 3,051 |
| 17. | Orissa | 1,217 | 2,010 | 3,227 |
| 18. | Other North Eastern States | 1,238 | 1,637 | 2,875 |
| 19. | Punjab + Chandigarh | 1,656 | 2,413 | 4,069 |
| 20. | Rajasthan | 1,570 | 2,266 | 3,836 |
| 21. | Sikkim | 1,289 | 1,716 | 3,005 |
| 22. | Tamil Nadu + Puducherry | 1,159 | 2,247 | 3,406 |
| 23. | Uttar Pradesh | 1,626 | 2,332 | 3,958 |
| 24. | Uttarakhand | 1,398 | 2,156 | 3,554 |
| 25. | West Bengal + Andaman \& Nicobar Islands | 1,324 | 2,223 | 3,547 |
| All India |  | 30,791 | 48,125 | 78,916 |

In each PSU, two sets of sample households were selected independently - one for BSS 2006 and the other for BSS among young people. As the unavailable and refusal cases were not to be replaced, an extra sample of six households was selected for each category of respondents. Thus in each PSU 46 and 26 respondents were selected for the GP and Youth surveys respectively.

Prior to selection of respondents for the two surveys the total number of households in a PSU was estimated at the time of survey. An interval was calculated by dividing the total number of households in a village by 46 for the GP survey and by 26 for the Youth survey. Two separate random starts were chosen for selecting the households having the respondents for GP survey and Youth survey. After choosing the random starting point every nth household was contacted for interviewing the required number of eligible respondents for GP and Youth surveys. In a selected household one respondent was randomly selected using a KISH grid.

The total sample fixed in each of the states/group of states and the actual sample covered for the Youth survey is presented in Table 1.2. With the exception of few states, the achievement of sample size was 95 percent or above in all the states/group of states. The achievement percentage varied between 86 and 94 percent in the states of Kerala and Lakshadweep, Tamil Nadu and Puducherry, Gujarat and Dadra \& Nagar Haveli, Himachal Pradesh and Manipur. Non-availability of the selected respondents during the visit of field investigators appeared as the major reason for relatively lower response rates in these states/group of states.

Table 1.2: Response rates

| SI. No. | State/Group of states | Target sample | Achieved sample | Percent achieved |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 1750 | 1,843 | 105 |
| 2. | Assam | 3857 | 3,850 | 100 |
| 3. | Bihar | 2431 | 2,334 | 96 |
| 4. | Chhattisgarh | 2036 | 2,046 | 100 |
| 5. | Delhi | 3826 | 3,865 | 101 |
| 6. | Goa + Daman \& Diu | 1795 | 1,829 | 102 |
| 7. | Gujarat + Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 2638 | 2,338 | 89 |
| 8. | Haryana | 4142 | 4,068 | 98 |
| 9. | Himachal Pradesh | 3897 | 3,519 | 90 |
| 10. | Jammu \& Kashmir | 3710 | 3,551 | 96 |
| 11. | Jharkhand | 2431 | 2,577 | 106 |
| 12. | Karnataka | 3455 | 3,323 | 96 |
| 13. | Kerala + Lakshadweep | 3892 | 3,358 | 86 |
| 14. | Madhya Pradesh | 2036 | 2,051 | 101 |
| 15. | Maharashtra | 3175 | 3,836 | 121 |
| 16. | Manipur | 3261 | 3,051 | 94 |
| 17. | Orissa | 3367 | 3,227 | 96 |
| 18. | Other North Eastern States | 2701 | 2,875 | 106 |
| 19. | Punjab + Chandigarh | 3985 | 4,069 | 102 |
| 20. | Rajasthan | 3771 | 3,836 | 102 |
| 21. | Sikkim | 2938 | 3,005 | 102 |
| 22. | Tamil Nadu + Puducherry | 3861 | 3,406 | 88 |
| 23. | Uttar Pradesh | 3864 | 3,958 | 102 |
| 24. | Uttarakhand | 3684 | 3,554 | 96 |
| 25. | West Bengal + Andaman \& Nicobar Islands | 3727 | 3,547 | 95 |
| All India |  | 80322 | 78,916 | 98 |

The details of sample size achieved in different states/group of states by age, gender and residence has been presented in Annex III. The list of districts and towns covered for the Youth survey has been given in Annex IV.

### 1.7 Training of Research and Field Teams

### 1.7.1 Orientation Meeting of Professionals \& Field Executives

A three-day training workshop was organised in Delhi for all the study team members. All the research professionals and state field coordinators involved in the study, participated in it. Representatives from NACO, RCSHA and Population Council were also present to observe the proceedings and guide the teams. The aim of the training workshop was to:

- Develop participants' understanding of the objectives of BSS and areas of enquiry covered by the assessment
- Explain the participants the correct method of sampling the respondents, approaching the respondents and rapport building, the process of seeking consent and the method of asking the questions/interviewing
- Develop the participants' understanding of issues related to sexuality, STIs and HIV/AIDS
- Sensitise participants about importance of informed consent, empathy and confidentiality
- Plan the field logistics and brainstorm on possible problems and the efforts to be made to solve these problems.


### 1.7.2 Recruitment of Field Staff

While selecting the field staff, it was ensured that skilled male and female candidates with prior experience of social research are recruited. Further, efforts were also made to recruit fieldworkers having prior experience of BSS or mapping studies. Keeping in mind the dropout rate, 20 percent extra candidates were recruited and trained. In addition to the field teams recruited for the GP survey in BSS 2006, an additional 116 field teams were recruited for carrying out the Youth BSS. Each field team recruited for the Youth survey had one supervisor, three male interviewers and three female interviewers.

### 1.7.3 Training of Field Staff

The training programme for the field staff recruited for the GP and Youth survey was organised in all the states/group of states. Four days intensive training workshop for the field staff was organised to train them thoroughly on the interview techniques and appropriate recording of responses. Training included interactive sessions at the classroom and field exposure visit. Training was provided in regional languages. Guest speakers/resource persons from SACS, NGOs were invited to discuss issues on HIV/AIDS, STIs and ongoing prevention activities. The cooperation and active participation of the experts created the desired interactive ambiance in the training programme and it helped the participants to delve into the information areas and skills required to work in BSS.

A detailed training agenda was prepared and shared with all the field executives. The main issues addressed in the training were:

- Understanding the concepts of Sex \& Sexuality, HIV/AIDS, Sexually Transmitted Diseases
- Understanding and familiarising with the lifestyles of the target population groups
- Self-Introspection of one's own ability and attitude to work with the 'hard to reach populations'
- Inquiry areas of the questionnaires, questionnaire administration techniques
- Approach and probing techniques: How to approach, language, non-verbal expressions, documentation techniques, skills to handle agitated situation/respondents
- Sampling techniques and procedure for selection of respondents
- Other fieldwork protocols.


### 1.8 Fieldwork \& Field Monitoring

The fieldwork for the GP survey as well as Youth survey was carried out simultaneously in all over India. It was initiated in mid May 2006 and was completed in June 2006. Each state/state group had four to six teams each consisting of six field interviewers (3 males \& 3 females) and one supervisor.

The core research team members made a number of field visits across different states/state groups for ensuring quality of survey data. Field supervisors made spot checks to ensure completeness and accuracy of the filled up questionnaires. Detailed manual scrutiny of the filled in questionnaires as well as the coding exercise was initiated by field supervisors during the fieldwork itself. Some TRG members also visited field to observe the field work.

### 1.9 Process of Informed Consent

In order to protect the right of the respondents, prior to approaching them for the detailed interview, their oral consent to participate in the interviews was obtained after providing them full and correct information regarding the purpose of the study, nature of information required, benefits of the study, confidentiality to be maintained and freedom to be exercised by the respondents during the interviews.

A consent form (which was a part of the questionnaire) covering the following aspects was used as an instrument to communicate necessary information to the respondents and obtain their consents:

- Aims and objectives of the BSS and purpose of the study
- Type and nature of information required
- Benefits of the study (the study may not directly benefit the individual respondents but it will benefit the community as a whole)
- Freedom to participate in the interview (participation is voluntary and the respondent may cancel the interview at any time or refuse to answer any specific question)
- Confidentiality of the information provided.

In order to facilitate proper understanding of the respondents the consent form was prepared in local/regional languages using simple and clear statements. Further, the interviewer responded to questions if any asked by the respondents and provided necessary clarifications.

The interviewer had to sign the questionnaire after getting consent from the respondent. The interviews were carried out with only those respondents who gave consent for the interview. The following paragraph was read out prior to obtaining the consent of the respondents:
"We are undertaking this study to take an account of the health scenario in this state. The output of the study will benefit the functionaries involved in the implementation of the Health Promotion Programme. I am going to ask you some very personal questions that some people find difficult to answer. Your answers are completely confidential. Your name will not be written on this form, and will never be used in connection with any of the information you tell me. You do not have to answer any questions that you do not want to answer and you may end this interview at any time you want to. However, your honest answer to these questions will help us better
understand what people think, say and do about certain kinds of behaviours. We would greatly appreciate your help in responding to this survey. However, if you feel uncomfortable at any point of time, you could discontinue the proceedings. The survey will take about half an hour to ask the questions. Would you be willing to participate?"

### 1.10 Data Management and Analysis

### 1.10.1 Data Scrutiny

Before data entry each and every questionnaire was scrutinised first in the respective state field offices and then in the five data entry locations. About 80 coders and 5 coding supervisors were recruited across India. All coders and supervisors were given training for carrying out the scrutiny and coding exercise. Research professionals also supervised the entire scrutiny operation for monitoring the quality output.

### 1.10.2 Data Management

In view of the large volume of data, the entire data entry was handled at five centres namely Delhi, Kolkata, Lucknow, Baroda and Hyderabad where facilities to handle large volumes of data are available. Data were entered in the Integrated System for Survey Analysis (ISSA) package. This package was preferred due to its in-built capacity of making range and consistency checks. A senior system analyst with the support of a programmer monitored the data entry. About 10 percent of the questionnaires were double entered to ensure that error levels are below 0.5 percent. The results of the double data entry were shared with the TRG members.

### 1.10.3 Data Analysis

The core team members and the system analyst under the guidance of the team leader/core team prepared the analysis/tabulation plan. One day workshop was organised at NIMS to finalise the analysis and tabulation plan for the Youth BSS. The workshop was attended by the TRG members and experts in health sector particularly in HIV/AIDS and the core team members of the survey team.

### 1.11 Estimation

As mentioned above, the data for youth survey is derived from two sample - subset of GP survey and special youth survey. Therefore, before merging the two sets of data appropriate weighting was done as disproportionate allocation of sample took place at state and other levels. Accordingly, the following projection factors were developed and used while pooling the two data sets.

## Projection Factors for Rural Sample for General Survey

In each state, three stages of sampling were conducted in district, village and HHs as the first, second and third stages of sampling and in each HH one eligible respondent was selected for the survey. Hence, as per the design the projection factors were developed at three stages as reflected in the following estimated equation:

- Total number of rural HHs in the state/number of rural HHs in all the sampled districts
- Total number of rural HHs in the selected district/total number of HHs in the selected PSUs of the selected district
- Estimated number of persons aged 15-49 in the selected PSU/number of respondents aged 15-49 covered in the survey.

These projection factors were multiplied at PSU level and the product was used as the weight for the entire sample of HHs in the corresponding PSU.

## Projection Factors for Urban Sample for General Survey

In each state, three stages of sampling were conducted in town, wards/CEBs and HHs as the first, second and third stages of sampling and in each HH one eligible respondent was selected for the survey. Hence as per the design the projection factors were developed at three stages as reflected in the following estimated equation:

- Total number of urban HHs in the state/number of urban HHs in all the sampled towns
- Total number of urban HHs in the selected town/total number of HHs in the selected PSUs of the selected town
- Estimated number of persons aged 15-49 in the selected PSU/number of respondents aged 15-49 covered in the survey.

These projection factors were multiplied at each PSU level and the product was used as the weight for the entire sample of HHs in the corresponding PSU.

## Projection Factors for Rural Sample for Youth Survey

In each state, three stages of sampling were conducted in district, village and HHs as the first, second and third stages of sampling and in each HH one eligible respondent was selected for the survey. Hence as per the design the projection factors were developed at three stages as reflected below:

- Total number of rural HHs in the state/number of rural HHs in all the sampled districts
- Total number of rural HHs in the selected district/total number of HHs in the selected PSUs of the selected district
- Estimated number of person aged 15-24 in the selected PSU/number of respondents aged 15-24 covered in the survey.

These projection factors were multiplied at each PSU level and the product was used as the weight for the entire sample of HHs in the corresponding PSU.

## Projection Factors for Urban Sample for Youth Survey

In each state, three stages of sampling were done in town, wards/CEBs and HHs as the first, second and third stages of sampling and in each HH one eligible respondent was selected for the survey. Hence as per the design the projection factors were developed at three stages as reflected in the following estimating equation:

- Total number of urban HHs in the state/number of urban HHs in all the sampled towns
- Total number of urban HHs in the selected town/total number of HHs in the selected PSUs of the selected town
- Estimated number of person aged 15-24 in the selected PSU/number of respondents aged 15-24 covered in the survey.

These projection factors were multiplied at each PSU level and the product was used as the weight for the entire sample of HHs in the corresponding PSU.

Subsequently to take care of bias in allocation of sample among males and females and possible age distortions in the general survey, standardisation has been done for these sub populations, taking the corresponding Census 2001 figures.

On applying these projection factors on the data pertaining to youth, samples from both surveys were merged for further analysis. The projected base/N used for different issues covered in the study has been presented in Annex V.

### 1.12 Validation

The data pertaining to youth has been collected during general population survey among respondents aged 15-49 years and additional sample of $15-24$ years from the same PSUs. The two data sets were independently projected following the procedure mentioned above. Thereafter, the average values of both the datasets were taken for each indicator to arrive at the combined estimates.

The average estimated 15-24 population from BSS 2006 and projected census proportions has been given in the Table 1.3. As the table shows, the estimated 15-24 population from BSS 2006 and projected census population till the survey date is fairly similar at the national level as well as for each of the states/group of states.

Table 1.3: Comparison of 15-24 population estimated from BSS 2006 and census population projected to survey date

| SI. <br> No. | State/Group of States | 15-24 years |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Urban |  | Rural |  | Total |  |
|  |  | Projected | Census | Projected | Census | Projected | Census |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 4701775 | 4834804 | 10274671 | 10765077 | 14976446 | 15599882 |
| 2. | Assam | 756298 | 783228 | 4739640 | 4593966 | 5495939 | 5377194 |
| 3. | Bihar | 2009125 | 1943798 | 14030534 | 13419243 | 16039659 | 15363041 |
| 4. | Chhattisgarh | 1007114 | 999763 | 2938157 | 3031551 | 3945270 | 4031314 |
| 5. | Delhi | 3330989 | 3357754 | 211325 | 208951 | 3542314 | 3566704 |
| 6. | Goa + Daman \& Diu | 171789 | 169868 | 162414 | 170651 | 334203 | 340518 |
| 7. | Gujarat + Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 4997700 | 4691709 | 6712608 | 6587231 | 11710308 | 11278940 |
| 8. | Haryana | 1430394 | 1572538 | 3165976 | 3266194 | 4596370 | 4838732 |
| 9. | Himachal Pradesh | 129350 | 149547 | 1162673 | 1167192 | 1292023 | 1316739 |
| 10. | Jammu \& Kashmir | 511489 | 597324 | 1480780 | 1729946 | 1992268 | 2327270 |
| 11. | Jharkhand | 1471897 | 1434920 | 3580044 | 3854633 | 5051942 | 5289552 |
| 12. | Karnataka | 3777131 | 4367825 | 6690427 | 6890324 | 10467558 | 11258150 |
| 13. | Kerala + Lakshadweep | 1509712 | 1583206 | 4614626 | 4680949 | 6124338 | 6264155 |
| 14. | Madhya Pradesh | 3862207 | 3736566 | 8516370 | 8370390 | 12378577 | 12106956 |
| 15. | Maharashtra | 9763788 | 9924027 | 11379523 | 10740853 | 21143311 | 20664879 |
| 16. | Manipur | 120800 | 128304 | 461472 | 373583 | 582273 | 501887 |
| 17. | Orissa | 1316572 | 1318585 | 5711125 | 5965230 | 7027696 | 7283815 |
| 18. | Other North Eastern States | 530417 | 538467 | 1291561 | 1682153 | 1821977 | 2220619 |
| 19. | Punjab + Chandigarh | 2287638 | 2272677 | 3466364 | 3627571 | 5754002 | 5900249 |
| 20. | Rajasthan | 3163939 | 3141531 | 7827805 | 8585755 | 10991744 | 11727286 |
| 21. | Sikkim | 19776 | 19317 | 120456 | 119449 | 140231 | 138767 |
| 22. | Tamil Nadu + Puducherry | 6754807 | 6749839 | 6901819 | 6647878 | 13656626 | 13397717 |
| 23. | Uttar Pradesh | 7303184 | 8318766 | 27448230 | 24736793 | 34751414 | 33055559 |
| 24. | Uttarakhand | 455315 | 542481 | 1277797 | 1347745 | 1733112 | 1890226 |
| 25. | West Bengal + Andaman \& Nicobar Islands | 4865741 | 4727501 | 12776603 | 11369781 | 17642343 | 16097282 |

An attempt has been made in Table 1.4 to compare the findings on profile of the young population as per the weighted and unweighted analysis. As the table shows the median age of the young population was more or less similar in almost all the states. However, there existed notable differences in the proportion of literates (weighted $87 \%$, unweighted $90 \%$ ) and proportion of currently married respondents (weighted 30\%, unweighted 26\%). In four (Assam, Chhattisgarh, Karnataka and Jharkhand) out of 25 states/group of states, the variation in proportion of literates was in the range of $\pm$ four percent. The proportion of literates varied between the ranges of $\pm 5-7$ percent in the states/group of states of Delhi, Jharkhand, Uttar Pradesh, Bihar and other NE states.

Table 1.4: Comparison of profile of 15-24 year population based on weighted and unweighted data

| SI. <br> No. | State/Group of States | 15-24 years |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Median age |  | Percent literates |  | Percent currently married |  |
|  |  | Weighted | Unweighted | Weighted | Unweighted | Weighted | Unweighted |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 20.0 | 20.0 | 92.5 | 89.9 | 30.1 | 32.6 |
| 2. | Assam | 20.0 | 20.0 | 92.3 | 96.2 | 19.3 | 17.8 |
| 3. | Bihar | 20.0 | 19.0 | 70.8 | 73.4 | 46.3 | 40.4 |
| 4. | Chhattisgarh | 20.0 | 20.0 | 87.4 | 90.2 | 31.5 | 32.0 |
| 5. | Delhi | 19.0 | 20.0 | 95.9 | 93.7 | 22.9 | 29.6 |
| 6. | Goa + Daman \& Diu | 20.0 | 20.0 | 98.4 | 98.5 | 14.4 | 14.2 |
| 7. | Gujarat + Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 20.0 | 20.0 | 89.2 | 87.5 | 32.5 | 33.4 |
| 8. | Haryana | 19.0 | 19.0 | 89.0 | 89.9 | 33.2 | 30.4 |
| 9. | Himachal Pradesh | 20.0 | 20.0 | 95.0 | 95.1 | 27.2 | 24.0 |
| 10. | Jammu \& Kashmir | 20.0 | 20.0 | 86.9 | 86.4 | 12.4 | 13.8 |
| 11. | Jharkhand | 19.0 | 19.0 | 92.4 | 89.3 | 21.1 | 25.9 |
| 12. | Karnataka | 20.0 | 20.0 | 91.2 | 87.2 | 23.1 | 25.1 |
| 13. | Kerala + Lakshadweep | 20.0 | 20.0 | 99.5 | 99.6 | 18.7 | 18.0 |
| 14. | Madhya Pradesh | 20.0 | 20.0 | 82.5 | 80.7 | 34.0 | 35.2 |
| 15. | Maharashtra | 19.0 | 19.0 | 97.3 | 96.4 | 23.7 | 25.2 |
| 16. | Manipur | 20.0 | 20.0 | 94.3 | 93.6 | 15.4 | 16.7 |
| 17. | Orissa | 20.0 | 20.0 | 83.8 | 83.9 | 30.4 | 28.5 |
| 18. | Other North Eastern States | 20.0 | 20.0 | 97.3 | 97.8 | 23.6 | 17.8 |
| 19. | Punjab + Chandigarh | 20.0 | 20.0 | 94.9 | 94.8 | 20.8 | 22.1 |
| 20. | Rajasthan | 20.0 | 20.0 | 85.0 | 82.2 | 40.6 | 42.2 |
| 21. | Sikkim | 20.0 | 20.0 | 90.5 | 92.9 | 19.8 | 21.3 |
| 22. | Tamil Nadu + Puducherry | 20.0 | 20.0 | 95.7 | 94.7 | 24.7 | 25.8 |
| 23. | Uttar Pradesh | 19.0 | 19.0 | 75.3 | 78.0 | 34.9 | 29.7 |
| 24. | Uttarakhand | 19.0 | 19.0 | 89.7 | 92.0 | 22.0 | 21.4 |
| 25. | West Bengal + Andaman \& Nicobar Islands | 20.0 | 20.0 | 89.6 | 90.6 | 32.6 | 29.8 |
| All India |  | 20.0 | 20.0 | 87.1 | 90.4 | 30.4 | 25.9 |

### 1.13 Quality Control Mechanisms

Although the mechanisms were mentioned in the earlier sections, they are summarized here as follows:

- Recruitment of professionals with prior experience of working in similar projects
- National level training programme for all the key research professionals and field staff
- State level training workshops for field interviewers and supervisors in all states
- Pre-testing of tools and back translation of questionnaires from regional languages to English
- Regular field visits by senior professionals, TRG members and representatives from NACO
- 20 percent spot checks for ensuring accuracy of the collected information during field visits
- Double data entry of 10 percent questionnaires
- Data analysis in consultation with TRG.


### 1.14 Comparison of Findings of BSS 2001 and BSS 2006

Given the nature of the epidemic and its impact on young people, it is felt important to compare the findings of BSS 2001 with that of BSS 2006 with respect to certain key indicators relating to knowledge, attitude and behaviour of the young people in the age group of 15-24 years. In this report, an attempt has been made to compare the results of BSS 2001 (disaggregated sample from BSS 2001) and BSS 2006 (disaggregated sample from BSS 2006 and additional sample for Youth survey) for the young population. Before comparing the findings of the two surveys it is felt necessary to compare the profile of the respondents covered in BSS 2001 and BSS 2006.

As shown in Table 1.5 at the national level the median age, percent of literates and percent of married respondents was almost identical in the two surveys. Further, in majority of the states/ group of states there existed marginal variations in the age profile, literacy status and marital status of the respondents (Table 1.5).

Although the profile of the respondents covered in the two surveys was almost identical, the following issues need to be considered while comparing the results of BSS 2006 and BSS 2001:

- While the baseline results are the disaggregated analysis of BSS 2001 data for 15-24 population, the results of this National Youth BSS 2006 are based on the data obtained through two sources i.e data culled out from sample of 15-24 population during BSS 2006 as well as the data of additional sample of $15-24$ population covered along with BSS 2006.
- Due to the above, there is a wide variation in the total sample covered in both the surveys. The sample covered in 2006 was 78,916 while in 2001 it was 26,716 .
- BSS 2001 results for Youth are based on unweighted data while appropriate weights have been applied to the National Youth BSS 2006 data.
- In 2001, the states of Chhattisgarh, Uttarakhand and Jharkhand were part of Madhya Pradesh, Uttar Pradesh and Bihar respectively and thus were not covered separately. However, in National Youth BSS 2006, separate estimates for these states have been provided. The above should also be kept in mind while comparing the results across 2001 and 2006 for Uttar Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh and Bihar.

Table 1.5: Profile of respondents covered in BSS 2001 and BSS 2006

| SI. | State/Group of States |  |  | Perc | rates | Percent c | married |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. |  | 2001 | 2006 | 2001 | 2006 | 2001 | 2006 |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 20 | 20 | 88.1 | 92.5 | 39.9 | 30.1 |
| 2. | Assam | 20 | 20 | 87.5 | 92.3 | 22.3 | 19.3 |
| 3. | Bihar | 20 | 20 | 77.3 | 70.8 | 39.6 | 46.3 |
| 4. | Chhattisgarh | * | 20 | * | 87.4 | * | 31.5 |
| 5. | Delhi | 20 | 19 | 87.1 | 95.9 | 41.3 | 22.9 |
| 6. | Goa + Daman \& Diu | 20 | 20 | 97.1 | 98.4 | 13.5 | 14.4 |
| 7. | Gujarat + Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 20 | 20 | 77.6 | 89.2 | 44.7 | 32.5 |
| 8. | Haryana | 20 | 19 | 89.6 | 89.0 | 34.8 | 33.2 |
| 9. | Himachal Pradesh | 20 | 20 | 94.0 | 95.0 | 25.8 | 27.2 |
| 10. | Jammu \& Kashmir | 20 | 20 | 94.7 | 86.9 | 16.0 | 12.4 |
| 11. | Jharkhand | * | 19 | * | 92.4 | * | 21.1 |
| 12. | Karnataka | 20 | 20 | 83.2 | 91.2 | 33.9 | 23.1 |
| 13. | Kerala + Lakshadweep | 20 | 20 | 99.9 | 99.5 | 22.3 | 18.7 |
| 14. | Madhya Pradesh | 20 | 20 | 79.7 | 82.5 | 42.7 | 34.0 |
| 15. | Maharashtra | 19 | 19 | 93.4 | 97.3 | 25.7 | 23.7 |
| 16. | Manipur | 20 | 20 | 91.5 | 94.3 | 18.8 | 15.4 |
| 17. | Orissa | 20 | 20 | 85.3 | 83.8 | 28.3 | 30.4 |
| 18. | Other North Eastern States | 19 | 20 | 90.4 | 97.3 | 20.4 | 23.6 |
| 19. | Punjab + Chandigarh | 20 | 20 | 92.5 | 94.9 | 31.3 | 20.8 |
| 20. | Rajasthan | 20 | 20 | 79.0 | 85.0 | 40.6 | 40.6 |
| 21. | Sikkim | 20 | 20 | 90.6 | 90.5 | 23.0 | 19.8 |
| 22. | Tamil Nadu + Puducherry | 20 | 20 | 93.8 | 95.7 | 31.6 | 24.7 |
| 23. | Uttar Pradesh | 20 | 19 | 73.6 | 75.3 | 37.8 | 34.9 |
| 24. | Uttarakhand | * | 19 | * | 89.7 | * | 22.0 |
| 25. | West Bengal + Andaman \& Nicobar Islands | 20 | 20 | 82.6 | 89.6 | 41.0 | 32.6 |
| All India |  | 20 | 20 | 87.7 | 87.1 | 30.6 | 30.4 |

*As these states were carved out after 2001, separate state level BSS estimates are not available for these states.

### 1.15 Presentation of the Report

The findings of the present report have been presented in eight chapters. This introductory and methodology chapter is followed by Chapter II which deals with profile of respondents covered in the National Youth BSS. Chapter III presents awareness about HIV/AIDS and its modes of transmission. The awareness about STDs and its treatment seeking behaviour has been presented in Chapter IV. The awareness about condoms and sexual behaviour has been presented in Chapter V and Chapter VI respectively. Chapter VII deals with awareness about HIV/AIDS testing facilities and stigma against PLHA. The exposure to mass media and IEC has been discussed in Chapter VIII.

## Profile of the Respondents

As discussed in the previous chapter, the required information for Youth BSS 2006 has been collected through individual interviews with young males and females in the age group of 15-24 years. The present chapter presents selected socio-demographic characteristics of the projected young population in the age group of 15-24 years. The salient findings are as follows:

### 2.1 Median Age of Respondents

All the respondents were asked to mention their current age (at the time of survey) in completed years. Table 2.1 presents the median age of the young population by residence and gender.

Table 2.1: Median age (in years) of respondents (15-24 years) by residence and gender

| SI. <br> No. | State/Group of States | 15-24 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male |  |  | Female |  |  | Total |  |  |
|  |  | Urban | Rural | Total | Urban | Rural | Total | Urban | Rural | Total |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 19 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| 2. | Assam | 20 | 20 | 20 | 21 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| 3. | Bihar | 19 | 20 | 20 | 19 | 20 | 19 | 19 | 20 | 20 |
| 4. | Chhattisgarh | 19 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 19 | 19 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| 5. | Delhi | 19 | 20 | 19 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 19 | 20 | 19 |
| 6. | Goa + Daman \& Diu | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 21 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| 7. | Gujarat + Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 20 | 19 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| 8. | Haryana | 20 | 19 | 19 | 20 | 19 | 19 | 20 | 19 | 19 |
| 9. | Himachal Pradesh | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| 10. | Jammu \& Kashmir | 20 | 19 | 19 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 19 | 20 |
| 11. | Jharkhand | 19 | 19 | 19 | 20 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 |
| 12. | Karnataka | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| 13. | Kerala + Lakshadweep | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| 14. | Madhya Pradesh | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| 15. | Maharashtra | 20 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 |
| 16. | Manipur | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| 17. | Orissa | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 19 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| 18. | Other North Eastern States | 20 | 19 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| 19. | Punjab + Chandigarh | 19 | 19 | 19 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 19 | 20 |
| 20. | Rajasthan | 20 | 19 | 20 | 20 | 19 | 20 | 20 | 19 | 20 |
| 21. | Sikkim | 19 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| 22. | Tamil Nadu + Puducherry | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 19 | 20 | 20 | 19 | 20 |
| 23. | Uttar Pradesh | 20 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 |
| 24. | Uttarakhand | 19 | 19 | 19 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 19 | 19 | 19 |
| 25. | West Bengal + Andaman \& Nicobar Islands | 20 | 19 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| All India |  | 20 | 19 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |

Base: All respondents

At the national level, the median age of the youths was 20 years. The median age was similar (20 years) in urban and rural areas. The median age was almost similar across gender and residence. The median age for females (20 years) was marginally higher as compared to their male counterparts (19 years) in rural areas.

As regards the state-wise analysis, the median age ranged between 19 and 21 years. More or less similar trend was observed in the rural and urban areas of different states/group of states.

In 18 out of the 25 states/group of states, there was no rural-urban difference in median age of the youths (15-24 years), whereas in rest of the 7 states/group of states, there was oneyear difference in the median age of the respondents. When analysed by gender, the recorded difference in the median age was observed in six states/group of states and the difference was one year in all the states.

### 2.2 Literacy Status

The following tables present the proportion of literate youth (15-24 years) for each state/group of states by age, residence and gender (Table 2.2a \& b).

At all India level, nearly 87 percent of the youth were reported as literates. In line with the general expectations, the proportion of literate respondents was substantially higher in the urban areas ( $94 \%$ ) than the rural areas ( $84 \%$ ). Further, the proportion of literates was significantly higher for males (92\%) than females (81\%).

Among states/group of states, the highest proportion of literate respondents was observed in Kerala and Lakshadweep (99.5\%) followed by Goa and Daman \& Diu (98\%), Maharashtra and Other NE States (97\%). Lower proportion of literate respondents was reported in Bihar (71\%), Uttar Pradesh (75\%) and Madhya Pradesh (83\%).

Table 2.2a: Proportion of literate respondents (15-24 years) by residence and gender

| (All figures are in percentage) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SI. <br> No. | State/Group of States | 15-24 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  | Total |  |  |
|  |  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 95.7 | 89.0 | 92.5 | 95.0 | 89.7 | 92.4 | 95.2 | 89.5 | 92.5 |
| 2. | Assam | 99.7 | 98.7 | 99.3 | 91.5 | 90.8 | 91.2 | 92.7 | 91.8 | 92.3 |
| 3. | Bihar | 91.8 | 78.8 | 86.2 | 79.3 | 56.9 | 68.6 | 81.0 | 59.4 | 70.8 |
| 4. | Chhattisgarh | 98.2 | 91.4 | 94.9 | 85.0 | 84.8 | 84.9 | 88.4 | 86.5 | 87.4 |
| 5. | Delhi | 98.1 | 93.2 | 96.1 | 96.5 | 86.4 | 92.5 | 98.0 | 92.8 | 95.9 |
| 6. | Goa + Daman \& Diu | 99.0 | 97.1 | 98.1 | 99.3 | 97.6 | 98.6 | 99.2 | 97.3 | 98.4 |
| 7. | Gujarat + Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 97.1 | 93.0 | 95.3 | 93.7 | 75.8 | 84.6 | 95.2 | 82.5 | 89.2 |
| 8. | Haryana | 95.5 | 82.5 | 89.9 | 95.3 | 79.7 | 88.7 | 95.4 | 80.6 | 89.0 |
| 9. | Himachal Pradesh | 97.3 | 91.5 | 94.6 | 97.9 | 92.2 | 95.0 | 97.9 | 92.2 | 95.0 |
| 10. | Jammu \& Kashmir | 97.5 | 90.9 | 94.5 | 91.7 | 75.0 | 84.2 | 93.1 | 79.1 | 86.9 |

(Contd.)
(Contd.)

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SI. } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | State/Group of States | 15-24 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  | Total |  |  |
|  |  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| 11. | Jharkhand | 98.0 | 90.7 | 94.8 | 95.3 | 86.6 | 91.4 | 96.1 | 87.8 | 92.4 |
| 12. | Karnataka | 98.5 | 96.3 | 97.6 | 89.6 | 85.5 | 87.6 | 93.0 | 89.1 | 91.2 |
| 13. | Kerala + Lakshadweep | 99.5 | 99.6 | 99.6 | 99.2 | 99.6 | 99.4 | 99.3 | 99.6 | 99.5 |
| 14. | Madhya Pradesh | 98.0 | 88.3 | 93.6 | 85.5 | 67.6 | 77.4 | 89.4 | 74.0 | 82.5 |
| 15. | Maharashtra | 98.6 | 97.3 | 98.0 | 97.3 | 95.9 | 96.7 | 97.9 | 96.5 | 97.3 |
| 16. | Manipur | 98.8 | 96.6 | 97.7 | 94.8 | 92.0 | 93.5 | 95.6 | 93.0 | 94.3 |
| 17. | Orissa | 95.5 | 90.4 | 93.2 | 91.4 | 71.7 | 81.6 | 92.2 | 75.0 | 83.8 |
| 18. | Other North Eastern States | 99.8 | 97.8 | 98.9 | 99.5 | 93.8 | 96.7 | 99.6 | 95.0 | 97.3 |
| 19. | Punjab + Chandigarh | 97.3 | 95.9 | 96.7 | 94.9 | 92.3 | 93.7 | 95.9 | 93.6 | 94.9 |
| 20. | Rajasthan | 95.4 | 82.1 | 89.4 | 92.9 | 72.0 | 83.2 | 93.6 | 74.9 | 85.0 |
| 21. | Sikkim | 97.2 | 99.3 | 98.1 | 90.2 | 87.8 | 89.2 | 91.2 | 89.5 | 90.5 |
| 22. | Tamil Nadu + Puducherry | 97.5 | 97.8 | 97.6 | 95.7 | 91.7 | 93.7 | 96.6 | 94.7 | 95.7 |
| 23. | Uttar Pradesh | 88.3 | 77.4 | 83.6 | 86.6 | 57.5 | 73.1 | 86.9 | 61.4 | 75.3 |
| 24. | Uttarakhand | 95.3 | 87.0 | 91.8 | 95.2 | 83.1 | 89.0 | 95.2 | 84.0 | 89.7 |
| 25. | West Bengal + Andaman \& Nicobar Islands | 97.9 | 97.7 | 97.8 | 92.0 | 80.1 | 86.5 | 93.6 | 85.1 | 89.6 |
| All India |  | 96.3 | 91.5 | 94.2 | 90.6 | 76.7 | 84.0 | 92.4 | 81.1 | 87.1 |

Base: All respondents

Across the age groups, it was observed that the proportion of literate respondents was higher among the respondents in 15-19 years age group ( $90 \%$ ) as compared to 20-24 years age group (84\%). For both the age groups, the literacy status was observed to be better among males as compared to their female counterparts. However, in the urban areas, the proportion of literates was significantly higher as compared to rural areas across both age groups.

### 2.3 Marital Status

As mentioned in the methodology section, the sample selection during the survey was done irrespective of the marital status of the male and female respondents. The proportion of currently married youth has been presented in Table 2.3a \& b.

Overall, less than one-third (30\%) of the youths were currently married. The proportion of the currently married was higher in rural areas (33\%) as compared to urban areas (24\%) possibly because of lower age at marriage in the rural areas. Analysis by gender shows that the proportion of currently married was significantly higher among the females (44\%) than the males (18\%). This also could be a result of variable age at marriage, which is higher for males. A similar trend was observed in the proportion of currently married respondents across urban and rural areas when compared across gender.
Table 2．2b：Proportion of literate respondents by age，residence and gender

|  |  | $\bigcirc \square$ | ò | $\begin{aligned} & \bullet \\ & \underset{U}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  | ¢ ¢ | ¢ |  | $\underset{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{O}} \\ \infty \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\stackrel{n}{\infty}$ | － | $\dot{f}$ |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | ¢ | N | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\vec{\sigma}$ | $\cdots$ | $\infty$ |  |  | $\propto$ |  | $\stackrel{n}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{+}{ \pm}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 든 | － | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & 8 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \stackrel{n}{n} \\ \stackrel{n}{2} \end{gathered}\right.$ |  | $\stackrel{\substack{\infty \\ \underset{\infty}{\infty} \\ \hline \\ \hline}}{ }$ | ぶ |  | $\stackrel{-}{\infty}$ | No | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \hline \dot{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | \％ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \dot{\infty} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\stackrel{-}{6}$ | O | ু | 8 | $\stackrel{\ddots}{\sigma}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{N}}}{\mathbf{\prime}}$ | Nóo | $\dot{\vdots}$ |  | $\underset{\sim}{\infty}$ | $\bigcirc$ |  | $\underset{\infty}{\substack{m \\ \hline}}$ | $\stackrel{9}{0}$ |
|  |  | $\Sigma$ パٌ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ | $\vdots$ | $\stackrel{i}{i} \stackrel{9}{\infty}$ | $\underset{\infty}{\stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{\infty}}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{\alpha}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{m} \\ \dot{O} \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{A}}$ | $\stackrel{+}{4}$ | -i |  | ুু |  | $\infty$ | ふু | ু | $\infty$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\uparrow}{\dot{j}}$ | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{\text { y }}$ | $\underset{\infty}{\infty}$ |  | $\infty$ | $\underset{\sim}{\infty}$ |  | $\underset{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{0}{6}$ |
|  | 空 | $\vdash \begin{gathered}\infty \\ \infty \\ \infty \\ \infty\end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{n}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \underset{O}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | $0$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\circ}$ |  | $\underset{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\infty}}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\infty}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{n}{\Omega} \\ \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\substack{0 \\ \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline}}{ }$ | $\underset{\sim}{\circ}$ | $\ldots$ | oi |  | $\underset{N}{N}$ | 万 | のー | ミ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{6}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{j}{2} \\ & \text { ぶ } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\uparrow}{\infty}$ | $\underset{\infty}{\underset{\infty}{m}}$ | む | $\mathfrak{j}$ | $\underset{\infty}{\infty}$ |  | $\underset{\infty}{\underset{\infty}{\sim}}$ | M |
|  |  | $\text { ᄂ } \begin{aligned} & o \\ & \dot{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & T \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\dot{G}}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ®. }}{\substack{\text { ® } \\ \infty}}$ | $\underset{\infty}{n}$ |  |  | $\underset{\star}{\star}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | 인 | $\stackrel{2}{N}$ | $\underset{\infty}{\infty}$ | o | $\underset{\infty}{\infty}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \infty \\ \infty \\ \infty \end{gathered}$ | N | 8 | ¢ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{m}{\alpha}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ \hline 1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\mathfrak{c}$ |  | $\mathfrak{F}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\wedge}$ |  | 안 | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{\text { N }}$ |
|  |  | 눙 | $\stackrel{n}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{0}{\text { + }}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} -1 \\ \hline \infty \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{6}$ |  | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\aleph}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bullet \\ & \dot{j} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\varrho}{\AA}$ | $\underset{\infty}{\substack{\infty \\ \infty}}$ | 잉 |  | $\stackrel{\substack{1}}{\underset{\sigma}{2}}$ |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\infty}$ | 欠 | N | $\infty$ | గু | $\underset{\text { İ }}{\substack{2}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\mathfrak{\infty}$ | ob | $\underset{\infty}{0}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { o } \\ & \dot{j} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{m}{\underset{\sigma}{2}}$ | $\stackrel{\text { n }}{\infty}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 言 } \\ & \stackrel{y}{5} \end{aligned}$ | $-\stackrel{\bullet}{\infty}$ | 오 | $\stackrel{0}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\infty} \underset{\sim}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{n}{\mathrm{~N}}$ |  |  | ๗ૂ | $\underset{\infty}{\substack{n}}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\dot{N}}}{ }$ | $\stackrel{+}{n}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{G}$ |  | $\stackrel{n}{\circ}$ |  | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\text { ®i }}$ | O | の | 万 | $\stackrel{\wedge}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\pi}{\infty}$ | $\underset{\infty}{\infty}$ | ஷ | $\infty$ | $\underset{\infty}{+}$ |  | $\infty$ | ¢ |
|  |  | ᄂ Ņ | $\underset{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { no } \\ & \vdots \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{6}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty} \underset{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{y}{2}$ |  | $\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{N}}$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{N}}}$ | B | $\mathfrak{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{n}{\infty}$ |  | © |  | $\dot{\infty}$ | $\bigcirc$ | ¢\％ | $\infty$ | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{\text { N }}$ | 잉 | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{\underset{~}{2}}$ | ~ | O | 8ํ | $\stackrel{1}{2}$ |  | N゙ | m |
|  |  | $\Sigma \stackrel{0}{\check{\circ}}$ | $\stackrel{N}{2}$ | $\underset{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\infty \underset{\infty}{\infty} \underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{2}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{A}} \underset{\sim}{\sim}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{n}{\hbar}$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\check{\sim}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & \text { ᄋ } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{2}$ | $\underset{\infty}{\infty}$ |  | ু |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\alpha}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\infty}$ | ¢ | ু | ু் | $\stackrel{\Omega}{2}$ | Ņ | $\stackrel{\varrho}{\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}}$ | ஆ |  | $\stackrel{\infty}{\tilde{m}}$ |  | $\underset{\text { N }}{\text { N }}$ | O－ |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & n \\ & -\quad n \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{n}$ | $\stackrel{\underset{N}{\mathrm{~N}}}{ }$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { N } \\ \\ \sim \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\sigma}{7}$ | So |  | Ň | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{2} \\ & \stackrel{2}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{N}{n}$ | $\underset{\sim}{4}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\tilde{m}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\varrho}{6}$ |  | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\bigcirc$ | o | $\infty$ | -i | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\dot{\alpha}}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | ス̇ | － | $\infty$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{m}}{\infty}$ |  | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{2}}$ | Q－ |
|  |  | $\text { ᄂ } \stackrel{\bullet}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{0}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{-}{4}$ | $\underset{6}{-1}$ |  | Yi |  | $\underset{\infty}{\stackrel{N}{\infty}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{n}{n} \\ & \infty \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | No | $\grave{\substack{i}} \underset{\sim}{i}$ | $\hat{h}$ | $\overrightarrow{3}$ |  |  | $\infty$ | ๑๐ | か | $\infty$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\infty}$ | $\dot{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\infty}$ | $\hat{\circ}$ | \％ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & 8 . \end{aligned}$ |  | $\underset{\infty}{\infty}$ | － |
|  |  | $\Sigma \frac{N}{\grave{L}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \substack{n \\ \dot{d}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bullet \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $$ | $\underset{\sim}{\circ}$ |  |  | ̈ু | な九 | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\underset{\sim}{n}$ | - |  |  |  | $8$ | $\bigcirc$ | の | 万 | ֵૂ | $\stackrel{0}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\text { N}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\sim}$ | － |  |  |  | $\vec{~}$ | ¢ั |
|  | 空 | 人̀ | $\stackrel{\dot{N}}{\mathbf{N}}$ | 읏 | 웃 |  | $\stackrel{\infty}{\circ} \underset{\circ}{\infty}$ |  | $\underset{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \hline \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{n}{\check{\sigma}}$ | $\stackrel{n}{n} \underset{\infty}{\stackrel{+}{\infty}}$ | $\pm \underset{\sim}{\mathrm{N}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Y} \\ & \underset{r}{\prime} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{+}{\infty}$ |  | $\infty$ | $\bigcirc$ | 亿 | $\infty$ | -̇ | $\begin{aligned} & \sigma \\ & \dot{\sigma} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\sim}{\infty}}{\stackrel{\sim}{0}}$ | $\stackrel{\text { N}}{\sim}$ | 0 |  | ふু |  | mi | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\infty}$ |
|  |  | ᄂ ふু゙ | $\underset{\sim}{n}$ | $\xrightarrow[\text { fi }]{\substack{\text { f }}}$ |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{n}{\wedge}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bullet \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\infty} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\dot{\vdots}$ | $\stackrel{\text { t }}{\lambda}$ | N | $\begin{aligned} & \text { y } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\infty}{\mathbf{N}}$ |  | － | ¢ | Nু | $\bigcirc$ | $\underset{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{2}}$ | $\stackrel{-}{\infty}$ | $\mathfrak{m}$ |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\underset{\infty}{\infty}}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\text { N }}$ |
|  |  | $\Sigma \dot{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\infty}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\sim}$ | N |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\infty}{\aleph}$ | ஷু | $\vdots$ |  | 会 |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\circ$ | ふ | ת | 太̇ | $\stackrel{N}{\grave{n}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O. } \\ & \text { ぶ } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \circ \\ \underset{\sim}{\circ} \\ \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{n}{\infty}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{O}} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\bigcirc}{\text { O}}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 듫 } \\ & \stackrel{8}{3} \end{aligned}$ | ふু | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\dot{8}} \stackrel{\stackrel{0}{\circ}}{\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\mathrm{O}} \stackrel{1}{2}$ |  |  | Nֻ | $\dot{\circ}$ | No | $\underset{\sim}{n}$ |  |  |  |  | ๕ٌ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | ¢ | -i | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{\circ} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{2}}$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\varrho}{\kappa}$ | － |
|  |  |  | ing |  | Ni ભૂં | $\underset{\sim}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{n}{6}$ |  | $\stackrel{\wedge}{\kappa}$ | ふু | $\dot{\sim}$ |  | $\stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{\dot{H}}$ |  |  |  | ભૂં | ¢ | ু | ন | $\stackrel{\llcorner }{\infty}$ | ò |  | $\vdots \stackrel{n}{2}$ | ¢ |  | ¢ |  | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ}$ | \％ |
|  |  | $\Sigma \stackrel{\infty}{\Omega}$ | $\stackrel{N}{\Omega}$ |  | $\stackrel{y}{c} \underset{\sim}{\circ}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\infty}$ | $\infty$ |  | $\stackrel{\infty}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}}$ | $\mathfrak{\infty}$ | $\frac{9}{2}$ | $\stackrel{m}{ু}$ | $!$ |  |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\infty}$ | $\propto$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\circ}$ | ু | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{N}{\grave{\circ}}$ |  | পপ寸 | \％ |  |  |  | ूু | \％＇80 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 年 |  |  | 过 |  |  | － |
|  |  | $-$ | ～ |  | ヴナ゙ | ＋${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | i 0 |  | ヘ | $\infty$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $\bigcirc$ | － | $\bigcirc$ | シ |  | コ | ก | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | ， | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | 9 | $\bigcirc$ | N |  | N | － |  | へ | $\overline{\overline{<}}$ |

Table 2.3a: Proportion of currently married respondents (15-24 years) by residence and gender

| (All figures are in percentage) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SI. No. | State/Group of States | 15-24 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  | Total |  |  |
|  |  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 10.0 | 49.3 | 28.7 | 15.4 | 46.6 | 30.7 | 13.7 | 47.4 | 30.1 |
| 2. | Assam | 2.0 | 33.2 | 16.1 | 7.6 | 32.2 | 19.8 | 6.8 | 32.3 | 19.3 |
| 3. | Bihar | 14.6 | 42.4 | 26.5 | 35.6 | 64.1 | 49.1 | 32.7 | 61.6 | 46.3 |
| 4. | Chhattisgarh | 10.2 | 38.6 | 24.2 | 27.5 | 40.6 | 34.0 | 23.1 | 40.1 | 31.5 |
| 5. | Delhi | 11.9 | 37.5 | 22.3 | 18.0 | 56.4 | 33.2 | 12.2 | 38.6 | 22.9 |
| 6. | Goa + Daman \& Diu | 4.2 | 26.8 | 14.5 | 7.6 | 23.1 | 14.3 | 5.9 | 25.1 | 14.4 |
| 7. | Gujarat + Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 11.2 | 36.7 | 22.2 | 28.0 | 52.3 | 40.2 | 20.2 | 46.2 | 32.5 |
| 8. | Haryana | 18.7 | 46.5 | 30.8 | 21.3 | 51.6 | 34.3 | 20.5 | 50.0 | 33.2 |
| 9. | Himachal Pradesh | 10.0 | 37.5 | 22.8 | 13.5 | 41.5 | 27.7 | 13.1 | 41.1 | 27.2 |
| 10. | Jammu \& Kashmir | 4.1 | 18.7 | 10.7 | 5.7 | 22.1 | 13.0 | 5.3 | 21.2 | 12.4 |
| 11. | Jharkhand | 6.1 | 35.2 | 18.7 | 10.0 | 37.2 | 22.1 | 8.8 | 36.6 | 21.1 |
| 12. | Karnataka | 7.0 | 30.9 | 17.1 | 15.0 | 38.7 | 26.5 | 11.9 | 36.1 | 23.1 |
| 13. | Kerala + Lakshadweep | 5.7 | 28.9 | 17.9 | 5.3 | 31.2 | 19.0 | 5.4 | 30.6 | 18.7 |
| 14. | Madhya Pradesh | 17.8 | 47.3 | 31.2 | 24.6 | 48.3 | 35.3 | 22.5 | 48.0 | 34.0 |
| 15. | Maharashtra | 14.4 | 29.8 | 21.0 | 19.0 | 33.9 | 25.9 | 16.8 | 32.1 | 23.7 |
| 16. | Manipur | 5.3 | 20.7 | 13.2 | 11.2 | 20.9 | 16.0 | 10.0 | 20.9 | 15.4 |
| 17. | Orissa | 11.5 | 30.3 | 20.1 | 13.5 | 52.3 | 32.8 | 13.1 | 48.5 | 30.4 |
| 18. | Other North Eastern States | 7.6 | 25.0 | 15.8 | 9.5 | 44.7 | 26.8 | 8.9 | 39.1 | 23.6 |
| 19. | Punjab + Chandigarh | 9.9 | 31.9 | 19.5 | 13.5 | 31.0 | 21.6 | 12.0 | 31.3 | 20.8 |
| 20. | Rajasthan | 23.5 | 53.7 | 37.0 | 32.6 | 53.1 | 42.1 | 29.9 | 53.3 | 40.6 |
| 21. | Sikkim | 12.2 | 17.4 | 14.5 | 16.2 | 26.6 | 20.6 | 15.7 | 25.3 | 19.8 |
| 22. | Tamil Nadu + Puducherry | 13.8 | 38.8 | 26.1 | 9.5 | 37.3 | 23.3 | 11.6 | 38.0 | 24.7 |
| 23. | Uttar Pradesh | 12.1 | 34.3 | 21.6 | 27.3 | 51.5 | 38.5 | 24.0 | 48.1 | 34.9 |
| 24. | Uttarakhand | 10.1 | 36.5 | 21.2 | 9.2 | 34.8 | 22.3 | 9.5 | 35.2 | 22.0 |
| 25. | West Bengal + Andaman \& Nicobar Islands | 12.0 | 44.1 | 27.1 | 13.7 | 59.2 | 34.6 | 13.3 | 55.0 | 32.6 |
| All India |  | 12.5 | 37.9 | 23.9 | 20.8 | 47.2 | 33.4 | 18.2 | 44.4 | 30.4 |

Base: All respondents
There were variations in proportion of married youth across states/group of states. The state of Bihar ( $46 \%$ ) had the highest proportion of currently married youth. There were 13 states/ group of states, where less than one-fourth of the youth were currently married. The lowest proportion of currently married youth was observed in Jammu \& Kashmir (12\%), followed by Goa and Daman \& Diu (14\%) and Manipur (15\%).

Across the age groups, higher proportion of youth from 20-24 years (50\%) reported to be currently married as compared to those in the age group of 15-19 years (10\%). As expected, in both the age groups, the proportion of currently married respondents was significantly higher among the females than their male counterparts. Among the youth aged 20-24 years, the proportion of currently married youth was higher in rural areas (55\%) as compared to urban areas (41\%). The trend was similar among the respondents in the age group of 15-19 years (urban - $5 \%$, rural $-12 \%$ ).
Table 2．3b：Proportion of currently married respondents by age，residence and gender
All figures are in percentage）

|  |  |  | $\stackrel{\Omega}{\underset{\sim}{2}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\bullet}{\bullet} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{n}{n}$ | $\stackrel{\underset{\sim}{\gamma}}{ }$ | $\overrightarrow{\underset{\sim}{J}}$ | $\stackrel{\substack{n \\ i}}{2}$ | 영 | $\underset{\mathcal{Z}}{\underset{\sim}{2}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{2}}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{n}{m}}{\underset{\sim}{n}}$ | $\dot{\sim}$ | io | ம் | $\mathfrak{F}$ | ~่ | $\dot{q}$ | $\stackrel{m}{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ti } \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | O． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\stackrel{\overline{0}}{\circ}$ | ＋ | $\underset{\sim}{n}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{6}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \dot{j} \end{aligned}$ | $9$ | $\begin{gathered} \infty \\ \underset{\infty}{\infty} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & i \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{m}{\underset{m}{n}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \hline i \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\circ}$ | $8$ | $\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{m}}$ | N | $\hat{n}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\infty}{\stackrel{\infty}{i}}$ | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{\text { N }}$ |
|  |  | $\Sigma \underset{\sim}{\text { N }}$ | $\stackrel{\mathrm{O}}{\mathrm{~A}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \dot{n} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\dot{G}}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{m}{m}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { N゙ } \\ \text { Ç } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{n}{0}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\underset{-}{\infty}}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\mathrm{O}}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{6}$ | $\dot{ల}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\text { in }}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | ㅊ | $\underset{\sim}{\mathscr{T}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{N}{\sim}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\text { ¢ }}$ |
| $$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { co } \\ & \text { 童 } \end{aligned}$ | $\vdash \stackrel{\llcorner }{\infty}$ | $\underset{\sim}{n}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{n}$ | $\stackrel{0}{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\stackrel{\mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\underset{\sim}{n}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \text { di} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} m \\ i \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bullet \\ & \underset{寸}{9} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{m}}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0}$ | $\stackrel{\underset{\mathcal{V}}{2}}{ }$ | $\stackrel{\underset{\sim}{i}}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{2}}$ | ${ }_{\sim}^{\infty}$ | $\underset{子}{\underset{子}{x}}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\dot{\mp}$ | 영 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\text { ® }}$ | ำ |
|  |  | － | $\stackrel{9}{n}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \dot{\infty} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{m}{6}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \dot{\Phi} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\sim}{N}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\underset{\sim}{N}$ | $\underset{\text { Ni }}{\substack{2}}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\grave{\mathrm{O}}}{ }$ | $\stackrel{F}{G}$ | $\stackrel{n}{i}$ | N | ํ | $\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{m}}$ | $\bigcirc$ | む் |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\underset{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{1}{1}$ |
|  |  | $\Sigma \stackrel{\substack{\circ}}{\text { ¢ }}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{m}$ | nٌ | $$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{B}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{n}$ | 令 | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\underset{\mathcal{G}}{\prime}}$ | $\stackrel{\grave{N}}{\underset{N}{2}}$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\square}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{i}}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\circ}$ | \％ | $\underset{\sim}{\dot{m}}$ | ㄱ | N | ヘٌ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{o}}$ | \％ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 들 } \\ & \text { 年 } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\bullet}{+}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\bullet}{\dot{\sim}} \\ & \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Nu } \\ \text { in } \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\dot{q}}}{\substack{2}}$ | 아 | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{*}}$ | $\begin{gathered} n \\ m \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{m}{\sim}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \dot{m} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{m}{\square}$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\tilde{m}}$ | $\stackrel{\underset{N}{7}}{ }$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | is | $\stackrel{i n}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\underset{m}{\dot{m}}$ | N่ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Y | $\stackrel{\text { n }}{\substack{\text { O}}}$ |
|  |  | － | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \underset{\infty}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\wedge}{\mathrm{O}}$ |  | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{~}{\sim}}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\stackrel{\infty}{n}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\infty}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \text { in } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{+} \end{aligned}$ | in | Nั | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | ス | 过 | న் | $\propto$ | ¢ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{+}{+}$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\text { ¢ }}$ |
|  |  | $\Sigma \underset{\sim}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{n}$ | $\stackrel{\grave{N}}{\underset{\sim}{n}}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{N}}}$ | $\stackrel{m}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{9}{6}$ | $\stackrel{m}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{m}}$ | $\stackrel{\star}{\lambda}$ | $\stackrel{7}{7}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{Z}}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{-}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{m}{m}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{N}}$ | O | － | $\stackrel{\infty}{\tilde{\sim}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{N}}$ | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{\text { N }}$ |
|  |  | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{+}$ | $\stackrel{m}{\sim}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{7}{m}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{7}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{-}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\bigcirc}{+}$ | $\stackrel{ }{-}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\substack{\text { c }}}$ | N゙ | فั | $\stackrel{\text { H }}{ }$ | 2 | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | n |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\square}$ | กั |
|  |  | － | $\stackrel{\sim}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{N}{m}$ | $\underset{\sim}{9}$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | $\underset{\sim}{2}$ | $\stackrel{\text { t }}{\underset{\sim}{2}}$ | $\stackrel{n}{\sim}$ | $\cdots$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\underset{\sim}{i}}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{-}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{0}{0}$ | $\stackrel{m}{0}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\underset{\sim}{n}$ | $\stackrel{-}{\bullet}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | ～ | $\stackrel{\text { No }}{\substack{\text { ¢ }}}$ |
|  |  | $\sum 9$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{1}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{\bigcirc}{+}$ | § | 9 | $\stackrel{\infty}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{i}$ | － | $\stackrel{\square}{7}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\square}$ | O | $\cdots$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\square}{-}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \frac{n}{3} \\ \underset{\sim}{2} \\ \underset{\sim}{1} \\ 1 \\ \end{gathered}$ |  | が | ก | $\stackrel{n}{n}$ | $\stackrel{n}{\circ}$ | $\underset{\sim}{N}$ | $\stackrel{\cap}{0}$ | $\underset{\underset{\sim}{m}}{\square}$ | $\stackrel{t}{t}$ | ¢ | $\stackrel{\square}{\text { j}}$ | $\cdots$ | $\bigcirc$ | N | $\cdots$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | － | $\stackrel{0}{\square}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | － | $\stackrel{\sim}{\square}$ |
|  |  | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{1}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\text { a }}$ | $\stackrel{0}{\mathrm{n}}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{ \pm}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\underset{=}{-}}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{N}}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{7}$ | $\underset{\sim}{~}$ | $\underset{\sim}{m}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{m}$ | ค่ | $\stackrel{N}{N}$ | T | 6 | N | $\stackrel{0}{2}$ |  |  |  |  | $\mathfrak{N}$ |  | $\stackrel{n}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ |
|  |  | $\Sigma \stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{+}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\infty}$ | กั่ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{n}{\underset{\sim}{\square}}$ | $\cdots$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 9 | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\bigcirc$ | กิ | N | － | $\stackrel{-}{-}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ |  |  |  |  | \％ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{+}$ |
|  |  | $\vdash \stackrel{\sim}{0}^{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | F | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\xrightarrow{3}$ | $\bigcirc$ | F | ¢ | $\stackrel{\sim}{0}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\text {－}}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{m}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ |  | 子 | $\stackrel{1}{1}$ |  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | \％ |  | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{9}{8}$ |
|  |  | $\text { レ } \stackrel{0}{\mathrm{~m}}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | $\underset{\square}{9}$ | N | $\underset{\sim}{N}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{m}$ | $0$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{O}{\square}$ | กั่ | คก | $\underset{\sim}{n}$ | $\bigcirc$ | ¢ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ |  |  |  |  | － |  | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | ベ |
|  |  | $\Sigma \stackrel{\sim}{i}$ | － | $\stackrel{7}{7}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\square}$ | $\bigcirc$ | 9 | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{m}{0}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{0}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | \％ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | － | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | N |  |  |  |  | ＋ |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{n}$ | $\stackrel{\text { J }}{\substack{\text { a }}}$ |
|  |  |  |  | $\frac{\text { 言 }}{\substack{\text { in }}}$ |  | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\Gamma}{\bar{O}}}{\stackrel{\circ}{0}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 름 } \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ + \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \text { N} \\ & \text { तָ } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 믄 듳 득 |  |  |  | $\sum^{5}$ |  |  | 흫 |  |  |  | B | ， |  |  | $\cdots$ |
|  | － |  | N | m | チ | ค่ |  | － | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | － | ̇ | m | コ | ค | O |  | $\infty$ |  | $\bigcirc$ | － | N | ๓ |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | ¢ |

Base：All respondents

# Awareness of HIV/AIDS and its Modes of Transmission and Prevention 

This chapter attempts to gauge awareness of HIV/AIDS among the young population (15-24 years). Besides assessing their level of awareness on HIV/AIDS, a set of questions relating to transmission routes and methods for prevention were asked. The disproportionate allocation by residence, gender and age differentials were taken care by assigning appropriate sample weights to the data, the detailed procedure for which has been described in Chapter II of this report. The results presented in all subsequent chapters are based on the weighted data.

### 3.1 Awareness of HIV/AIDS

### 3.1.1 Ever Heard of 'HIV or AIDS or Both'

It needs to be mentioned here that in BSS 2001, a single question was asked to ascertain the level of awareness on HIV/AIDS. These two terms in medical terminology have different connotations, though in common man's mind, they go together. Hence, in BSS 2006, all the respondents were asked about each of these two terms to assess their awareness of the two terms individually. While asking this question, proper care was taken not to mix HIV and AIDS. The interviewers provided no description about the disease or its symptoms and a spontaneous answer to this question was recorded. We would discuss the level of awareness on AIDS, HIV, and both subsequently. This section presents the percentage of respondents who had ever heard of either HIV or AIDS or both (Table 3.1a \& 3.1b) which is comparable to BSS 2001.

Table 3.1a: Proportion of respondents (15-24 years) who had heard of HIV or AIDS or both by residence and gender

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (All figures are in percentage) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SI. <br> No. | State/Group of States | 15-24 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  | Total |  |  |
|  |  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 100.0 | 99.9 | 99.9 | 99.2 | 98.0 | 98.6 | 99.4 | 98.6 | 99.0 |
| 2. | Assam | 99.7 | 99.8 | 99.7 | 95.3 | 93.2 | 94.3 | 96.0 | 94.1 | 95.0 |
| 3. | Bihar | 89.8 | 67.4 | 80.2 | 71.4 | 33.2 | 53.2 | 73.9 | 37.1 | 56.6 |
| 4. | Chhattisgarh | 94.0 | 88.7 | 91.4 | 74.6 | 69.1 | 71.9 | 79.6 | 74.1 | 76.9 |
| 5. | Delhi | 97.7 | 92.4 | 95.6 | 96.1 | 88.8 | 93.2 | 97.6 | 92.2 | 95.4 |
| 6. | Goa + Daman \& Diu | 98.7 | 97.5 | 98.1 | 98.5 | 94.5 | 96.8 | 98.6 | 96.1 | 97.5 |
| 7. | Gujarat + Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 97.6 | 90.5 | 94.6 | 85.3 | 72.3 | 78.7 | 91.0 | 79.3 | 85.5 |
| 8. | Haryana | 92.3 | 84.5 | 89.0 | 95.4 | 84.1 | 90.5 | 94.4 | 84.2 | 90.1 |
| 9. | Himachal Pradesh | 98.6 | 94.9 | 96.9 | 95.3 | 92.3 | 93.8 | 95.7 | 92.5 | 94.1 |
| 10. | Jammu \& Kashmir | 96.9 | 86.7 | 92.3 | 92.1 | 73.2 | 83.7 | 93.3 | 76.7 | 85.9 |
| 11. | Jharkhand | 90.1 | 81.9 | 86.5 | 88.1 | 68.7 | 79.4 | 88.7 | 72.5 | 81.5 |
| 12. | Karnataka | 97.5 | 88.2 | 93.6 | 89.8 | 84.2 | 87.1 | 92.8 | 85.5 | 89.5 |
| 13. | Kerala + Lakshadweep | 99.9 | 99.3 | 99.6 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.8 | 99.9 |
| 14. | Madhya Pradesh | 96.3 | 93.0 | 94.8 | 77.3 | 58.2 | 68.7 | 83.3 | 69.1 | 76.8 |

(Contd.)
(Contd.)

| SI.No. | State/Group of States | 15-24 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  | Total |  |  |
|  |  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| 15. | Maharashtra | 98.7 | 98.4 | 98.6 | 98.3 | 96.4 | 97.4 | 98.5 | 97.3 | 98.0 |
| 16. | Manipur | 99.8 | 100.0 | 99.9 | 95.3 | 93.1 | 94.3 | 96.2 | 94.6 | 95.4 |
| 17. | Orissa | 99.6 | 96.6 | 98.2 | 94.2 | 84.4 | 89.3 | 95.3 | 86.5 | 91.0 |
| 18. | Other North Eastern States | 98.5 | 97.5 | 98.0 | 98.1 | 95.4 | 96.8 | 98.2 | 96.0 | 97.2 |
| 19. | Punjab + Chandigarh | 97.4 | 95.4 | 96.5 | 93.9 | 88.9 | 91.6 | 95.4 | 91.4 | 93.6 |
| 20. | Rajasthan | 96.2 | 84.9 | 91.1 | 88.3 | 66.2 | 78.0 | 90.6 | 71.4 | 81.8 |
| 21. | Sikkim | 98.1 | 98.6 | 98.3 | 89.1 | 86.4 | 88.0 | 90.3 | 88.2 | 89.4 |
| 22. | Tamil Nadu + Puducherry | 98.9 | 98.6 | 98.8 | 96.8 | 93.1 | 95.0 | 97.9 | 95.8 | 96.8 |
| 23. | Uttar Pradesh | 93.2 | 82.7 | 88.7 | 89.0 | 68.5 | 79.5 | 89.9 | 71.3 | 81.5 |
| 24. | Uttarakhand | 96.3 | 91.6 | 94.3 | 94.1 | 84.9 | 89.4 | 94.7 | 86.4 | 90.7 |
| 25. | West Bengal + Andaman \& Nicobar Islands | 97.4 | 98.5 | 97.9 | 82.9 | 67.9 | 76.0 | 86.8 | 76.5 | 82.0 |
| All India (BSS 2006) |  | 96.9 | 92.6 | 95.0 | 88.6 | 74.9 | 82.1 | 91.3 | 80.2 | 86.1 |
| All India (BSS 2001) |  | 94.7 | 88.8 | 91.8 | 84.7 | 71.6 | 78.0 | 89.8 | 80.0 | 84.9 |

Base: All respondents

## Awareness of HIV/AIDS

Figure 3.1: Proportion of respondents (15-24 years) who had heard of HIV or AIDS or both by residence and gender


[^1]Table 3．1b：Proportion of respondents who had heard of HIV or AIDS or both by age，residence and gender

|  |  | $\infty$ | $\infty \stackrel{\llcorner }{\infty}$ | ṇ | $\stackrel{H}{N}$ | ঞ্் |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \dot{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \circ \\ \infty \\ \infty \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \underset{\sim}{n} \\ \text { nin } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \substack{0 \\ \dot{\infty}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\sim} \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | ু | $\stackrel{9}{\lambda}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & \grave{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \text { 这 } \end{aligned}$ | $\overrightarrow{~ i}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Y } \\ & \dot{\sigma} \end{aligned}$ | $\hat{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{N}{\text { N. }}$ | $\cdots$ | $\begin{aligned} & n \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} N \\ \vdots \end{gathered}$ | ò | － |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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|  |  | $\Sigma$ へ̀ | ুi ৷ | $\underset{\sim}{n}$ | ợ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \widehat{\infty} \\ \infty \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & \text { ぶ } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{m}{\dot{g}}$ | oi | $\begin{gathered} \infty \\ \dot{\sigma} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & \infty \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\grave{n}}$ | $0$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\dot{\infty}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & \grave{9} \end{aligned}$ | ゾ | ふi | $\stackrel{\uparrow}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \text { GU } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \sigma \\ \dot{\sigma} \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\grave{\alpha}}{\hat{\alpha}}$ | $\stackrel{n}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\alpha}{N} \\ & \underset{\alpha}{2} \end{aligned}$ | ふু | - | O－1 |
|  | 들 | $\stackrel{\sim}{\infty}$ | $\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{y} & 0 \\ \infty & \dot{\sigma} \end{array}$ | $\underset{\sim}{n}$ | oo | $\begin{aligned} & n \\ & \text { n } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \grave{c} \\ & \grave{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\infty}{\infty} \\ & \stackrel{1}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\text { a }}$ | $\stackrel{-}{\text { n}}$ | $\underset{\infty}{\underset{\infty}{\sim}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & m \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bullet \\ & \dot{\infty} \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $0$ | o | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{\Omega} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ம} \\ & \text { Gi } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & n \\ & \stackrel{i}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \text { の } \end{aligned}$ | חٌ | o. | $\stackrel{-}{\aleph}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} n \\ \infty \\ \infty \end{array}\right\|$ | $\overrightarrow{\text { পi }}$ | Ṇ | ¢ |
|  |  |  |  | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{2}}$ | $\frac{0}{6}$ | $\begin{aligned} & m \\ & \stackrel{8}{8} \end{aligned}$ | $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{8}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{N}{N} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \dot{8} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{N}{n}$ | $\begin{aligned} & n \\ & 0 \\ & \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & {\underset{N}{2}}^{2} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 8 \\ & \hline 1 \end{aligned}$ | 훈 | $\begin{aligned} & \grave{\grave{j}} \\ & \stackrel{y}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \text { ji } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & n \\ & \dot{L} \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | o | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \text { ふi } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{+}{8}$ | $\underset{\infty}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\infty}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & \text { Lू } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \stackrel{y}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | -1 | N |
|  |  |  |  | $\hat{i}$ | $\underset{N}{N}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{1}{2} \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & n \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\infty}{\substack{~}}$ | గి | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\circ}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & \infty \\ & \hline 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & m \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { r. } \\ & \text { on } \end{aligned}$ | $0$ | $\stackrel{7}{\lambda}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\grave{\infty}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \text { ֵin } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { g } \\ & \dot{j} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hat{\infty} \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \text { ふ̀ } \end{aligned}$ | ஷి | $\begin{gathered} \sigma \\ \vdots \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & n \\ & \vdots \\ & \text { n } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\infty}{\underset{\infty}{\prime}}$ | N |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 들 } \\ & \text { 익 } \end{aligned}$ | $0$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 8 \\ & 8 \\ & \hline-1 \\ & \Omega \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{m}{\infty}$ | ம் | ஸ̌ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | ふু | No | $\begin{aligned} & \hat{6} \\ & \dot{6} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \grave{2} \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ | ホ | 능 | ம் | '뭉 | $\underset{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & n \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bullet \\ & \stackrel{0}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\hat{\infty}$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\begin{aligned} & n \\ & 8 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{1}{2} \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ | - | กิ่ |
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|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 8 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ñ } \\ \text { in } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\text { ñ }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | O | $\stackrel{n}{\stackrel{n}{2}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{1}{6} \\ & \text { ó } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \grave{N} \\ & \grave{n} \end{aligned}$ | か | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -1 \\ & \infty \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N. } \\ & \text { gi } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ |  | $\cdots$ | $\underset{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{n}{n} \\ & \text { Ó } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | ুু | $\stackrel{0}{\circ}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{0} 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} \text { ni } \\ \text { ふin } \\ \hline 1 \end{gathered}$ | ִి | $\underset{\sim}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \dot{G} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \grave{N} \\ & \grave{\Omega} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{o} \\ & \dot{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ṇ } \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{2} \end{aligned}$ | 븡 | $\underset{\infty}{0}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -1 \\ & \infty \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | O. | ুু | N | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \text { に } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | N. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & \text { に! } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ふ̀ } \\ & \text { N} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{0}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{0}{\infty}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ñ } \\ \text { 成 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Ni | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \infty \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | － |
|  |  |  |  | o | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & N \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { m } \\ & \text { a } \end{aligned}$ | ボ | n | $\stackrel{\uparrow}{\dot{\infty}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \dot{I} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & \stackrel{0}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{m}{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | が |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hat{\prime} \\ & \dot{o} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\llcorner }{n} \\ & \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} m \\ \infty \\ \infty \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{~} \\ & \text { N. } \end{aligned}$ | $0$ | $\underset{N}{n}$ | $\stackrel{n}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & م \end{aligned}$ | $\xrightarrow{-7}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{N}}$ | $\stackrel{18}{\sim}$ |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\bullet}{\mathrm{N}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{n} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hat{o} \\ & \dot{\sigma} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | ৷ | $\begin{aligned} & \bullet \\ & \dot{T} \end{aligned}$ | ৷ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \dot{1} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{0}{\stackrel{0}{\infty}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \text { ぶ } \end{aligned}$ | $0$ | $\begin{aligned} & n \\ & \underset{\sim}{\prime} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \text { í } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & n \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{6} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{J}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \dot{\circ} \\ & \text { O. } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & 68 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \infty \\ \infty \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{m}{\infty}$ | ¢？ |
|  | 즐 | இi | gi 웅 | $\underset{n}{n}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { n } \\ \vdots \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \dot{\Omega} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | க | $\begin{aligned} & \text { or } \\ & \text { of } \end{aligned}$ | N | $\stackrel{0}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{\infty} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 8 \\ & \hline 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\substack{J \\ \infty \\ \hline}}{ }$ | $\stackrel{-}{4}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \underset{n}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{L}{6} \\ & \overleftarrow{\sigma} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ন } \\ & \text { ぶ } \end{aligned}$ | กٌ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | ㄴ | $\underset{\infty}{\sim}$ |
|  |  |  | ふু | $\stackrel{\underset{\sim}{m}}{ }$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & n \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & n \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{N}{N}$ | $\stackrel{J}{\mathrm{~L}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \dot{\square} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\grave{N}}{\mathrm{~N}}$ | $\stackrel{0}{6}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 8 \\ & \hline 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & i n \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} n \\ \vdots \\ \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \text { ふ̀ } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\infty}{m}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \text { ぶ } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\infty}{-7}$ | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{\underset{~}{+}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{n}{n} \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ò } \\ & \dot{8} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{n}{\stackrel{n}{0}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M } \\ & \underset{\infty}{+} \end{aligned}$ | $6$ | $\stackrel{+}{\text { ¢ }}$ |
|  |  |  | $\infty$ | N | $\stackrel{n}{\stackrel{n}{\wedge}}$ | $\stackrel{ষ}{ণ}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\infty}{\infty} \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & m \\ & \dot{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | 능 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { g } \\ & \text { G } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \dot{T} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \underset{\infty}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 8 \\ & \hline 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{N}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { r} \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & \stackrel{n}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N゙ } \\ & \text { ńn } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{n}{2} \\ & \stackrel{n}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{n} \\ & \stackrel{n}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \text { G } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \vdots \\ \infty \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \infty \\ \dot{\alpha} \\ \vdots \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & n \\ & \vdots \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | O |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 든 } \\ & \frac{\text { 잉 }}{} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\circ}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \dot{\Omega} \\ & \dot{\Omega} \end{aligned}$ | No | $\begin{aligned} & \text { m } \\ & \text { ぶ } \end{aligned}$ | O | $\begin{gathered} m \\ \infty \\ \infty \end{gathered}$ | $\vec{n}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \text { ふ் } \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{-}{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \grave{j} \\ & \text { ふi } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\infty}{\infty} \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} n \\ \vdots \\ \sigma \end{gathered}$ | N | $\begin{gathered} m \\ \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -1 \\ & \text { ু } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \text { gi } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}}$ | $\stackrel{-}{-}$ | 亡 | $\begin{aligned} & \grave{j} \\ & \text { ぶ } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\wedge}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{N} \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \infty \\ \infty \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \underset{G}{2} \end{aligned}$ | ṇ | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{\text { N }}$ |
|  |  |  | ুু | $\underset{\sim}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & n \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \bullet \\ \text { ब- } \end{gathered}$ | $0$ | - | N' | $\underset{ণ}{\underset{~}{~+~}}$ | $\underset{\substack{\underset{\infty}{2} \\ \hline}}{\text { n }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { y } \\ \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\infty}{\underset{\infty}{ \pm}}$ | ন্ | ત̀ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \dot{\circ} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\underset{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\hat{8}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bullet \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 0 \\ i \\ \infty \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{n}{2} \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\substack{\infty \\ \hline}}{ }$ | ふ |
|  |  | $\pm 2$ | ু | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & \text { 合 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \dot{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & n \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | -' | $\stackrel{m}{n}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { m } \\ & \text { g } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\llcorner }{\stackrel{n}{\Omega}}$ | $\stackrel{0}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { さ. } \\ & \stackrel{y}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 8 \\ & \hline 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{ }{\mathbf{N}}$ | ホু | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & \text { gi } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ñ } \\ & \text { ুi } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\infty}{\infty}$ | ডু | గু | $\stackrel{-1}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ু } \\ & \text { ুi } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \dot{\Omega} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { o } \\ & \text { ুু } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O } \\ & \text { O } \end{aligned}$ | C80 |
| State／Group of | $\frac{\underset{y}{0}}{\frac{\pi}{\hbar}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathfrak{N}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ～ | लं | ナ | ค่ | ${ }^{\circ}$ | N | $\infty$ | $0^{\circ}$ | 0 | $\cdots$ | $\underset{\sim}{1}$ | $\cdots$ | $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{1}{\square}$ | ${ }^{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{1}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\square}$ | 9 | 안 | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | N | ข | N | ก | ¢ |

[^2]Overall, about 86 percent of the youth in BSS 2006 were aware of either HIV or AIDS or both. The level of awareness of HIV/AIDS among youth has remained almost the same since BSS 2001 (85\%).

The proportion of youth aware of HIV/AIDS was significantly higher among males at 91 percent as compared to females ( $80 \%$ ). Further, the awareness in rural areas ( $82 \%$ ) was significantly lower than the urban areas ( $95 \%$ ). Within both urban and rural areas, higher proportion of males was aware of HIV/AIDS than females.

Among states/group of states, the highest level of awareness with respect to HIV/AIDS was observed in Kerala and Lakshadweep where almost all respondents were aware of the term. It was followed by Andhra Pradesh (99\%), Goa and Daman \& Diu and Maharashtra (98\%). The proportion was observed to be lowest in Bihar (57\%), Chhattisgarh and Madhya Pradesh (77\%) where the proportion of literates (Table 2.2a) was also lower. On the contrary, in Orissa although 84 percent of the youths were literates, 91 percent of the respondents were reportedly aware of HIV/AIDS or both. Only 37 percent of female respondents in Bihar and 69 to 74 percent of those in Madhya Pradesh, Uttar Pradesh, Rajasthan, Jharkhand and Chhattisgarh had reportedly ever heard of HIV/AIDS.

Almost similar proportion of respondents (around $85 \%$ ) in 15-19 as well as 20-24 age groups were aware about HIV/AIDS. For both the age groups the proportion of respondents aware of HIV/AIDS was significantly higher in urban areas and among male respondents. Lower proportion of rural female respondents from both the age groups in the states of Bihar, Madhya Pradesh, Uttar Pradesh and West Bengal and Andaman \& Nicobar Islands reported awareness of HIV/AIDS.

### 3.1.2 Ever Heard of HIV

Overall, 72 percent of the youth reported to have heard of 'HIV'. This percentage was significantly higher in urban (85\%) than in the rural (66\%) areas. The data shows that male respondents are more likely to have heard of 'HIV' (78\%) than their female (65\%) counterparts (Table 3.2a).

Table 3.2a: Proportion of respondents (15-24 years) who had heard of HIV by residence and gender

| (All figures are in percentage) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SI. No. | State/Group of States | 15-24 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  | Total |  |  |
|  |  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 97.9 | 96.1 | 97.0 | 88.3 | 87.3 | 87.8 | 91.4 | 90.0 | 90.7 |
| 2. | Assam | 96.4 | 93.9 | 95.3 | 79.8 | 78.7 | 79.3 | 82.2 | 80.7 | 81.5 |
| 3. | Bihar | 78.6 | 54.3 | 68.1 | 57.4 | 26.3 | 42.6 | 60.3 | 29.5 | 45.8 |
| 4. | Chhattisgarh | 85.0 | 80.9 | 83.0 | 59.4 | 60.7 | 60.0 | 65.9 | 65.8 | 65.9 |
| 5. | Delhi | 90.3 | 73.0 | 83.3 | 94.9 | 82.5 | 90.0 | 90.6 | 73.6 | 83.7 |
| 6. | Goa + Daman \& Diu | 97.3 | 96.5 | 97.0 | 97.9 | 92.8 | 95.7 | 97.6 | 94.8 | 96.3 |
| 7. | Gujarat + Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 95.6 | 87.3 | 92.0 | 79.9 | 59.4 | 69.6 | 87.2 | 70.2 | 79.2 |
| 8. | Haryana | 82.7 | 70.3 | 77.3 | 72.4 | 56.4 | 65.6 | 75.6 | 60.7 | 69.2 |
| 9. | Himachal Pradesh | 94.1 | 88.0 | 91.3 | 92.3 | 79.6 | 85.9 | 92.5 | 80.4 | 86.4 |
| 10. | Jammu \& Kashmir | 92.3 | 83.7 | 88.4 | 81.5 | 64.5 | 73.9 | 84.2 | 69.5 | 77.6 |
| 11. | Jharkhand | 86.5 | 76.7 | 82.3 | 72.9 | 53.1 | 64.0 | 76.9 | 59.8 | 69.4 |
| 12. | Karnataka | 90.9 | 81.2 | 86.8 | 69.6 | 64.5 | 67.2 | 77.9 | 70.1 | 74.3 |
| 13. | Kerala + Lakshadweep | 99.2 | 96.4 | 97.7 | 99.0 | 96.5 | 97.7 | 99.1 | 96.5 | 97.7 |

(Contd.)
(Contd.)

| SI. <br> No. | State/Group of States | 15-24 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  | Total |  |  |
|  |  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| 14. | Madhya Pradesh | 91.2 | 76.0 | 84.3 | 75.2 | 49.5 | 63.6 | 80.2 | 57.8 | 70.0 |
| 15. | Maharashtra | 87.8 | 84.3 | 86.3 | 90.9 | 75.3 | 83.6 | 89.4 | 79.3 | 84.8 |
| 16. | Manipur | 99.5 | 99.5 | 99.5 | 92.8 | 88.3 | 90.6 | 94.2 | 90.7 | 92.5 |
| 17. | Orissa | 84.5 | 54.0 | 70.5 | 54.2 | 37.5 | 45.9 | 60.2 | 40.4 | 50.5 |
| 18. | Other North Eastern States | 95.4 | 91.1 | 93.4 | 84.1 | 77.5 | 80.9 | 87.5 | 81.4 | 84.5 |
| 19. | Punjab + Chandigarh | 62.2 | 67.5 | 64.5 | 63.4 | 67.0 | 65.0 | 62.9 | 67.2 | 64.8 |
| 20. | Rajasthan | 90.9 | 73.3 | 83.0 | 77.8 | 52.1 | 65.9 | 81.6 | 58.1 | 70.8 |
| 21. | Sikkim | 90.0 | 93.4 | 91.5 | 70.6 | 62.8 | 67.3 | 73.2 | 67.3 | 70.7 |
| 22. | Tamil Nadu + Puducherry | 86.9 | 90.0 | 88.4 | 68.9 | 68.4 | 68.7 | 77.8 | 79.1 | 78.4 |
| 23. | Uttar Pradesh | 76.4 | 67.5 | 72.6 | 74.8 | 51.5 | 64.0 | 75.1 | 54.7 | 65.8 |
| 24. | Uttarakhand | 88.8 | 80.0 | 85.1 | 85.8 | 65.3 | 75.3 | 86.7 | 68.7 | 77.9 |
| 25. | West Bengal + Andaman \& Nicobar Islands | 90.6 | 91.8 | 91.2 | 55.2 | 45.6 | 50.8 | 64.8 | 58.6 | 61.9 |
| All India |  | 87.6 | 81.1 | 84.7 | 73.3 | 58.3 | 66.2 | 77.9 | 65.1 | 71.9 |

Base: All respondents

In Bihar and Orissa more than 50 percent of the respondents had never heard of 'HIV'. In nine states/group of states (Andhra Pradesh, Assam, Delhi, Goa and Daman \& Diu, Himachal Pradesh, Kerala and Lakshadweep, Maharashtra, Manipur and Other North Eastern States) more than 80 percent of the respondents reported to have heard of 'HIV'.

It is a matter of concern that only 26 percent of rural females ( 15 to 24 years) in Bihar, 38 percent in Orissa and 45-60 percent in Uttar Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh, Rajasthan, West Bengal and Andaman \& Nicobar Islands and Jharkhand had ever heard of HIV.

Comparison of the results for the two age groups (15-19 and 20-24 years) shows that there was not much variation in the proportion of youth who had ever heard of HIV. However, for both the age groups, higher proportion of males than females had reportedly heard of HIV. Within the particular age groups, significant rural-urban as well as male-female differences existed in the proportion of youth reporting awareness of HIV (Table 3.2b).

### 3.1.3 Ever Heard of AIDS

Table 3.3b provides the estimated levels of awareness about AIDS by state, place of residence and sex of the respondents. At the national level, 86 percent of the respondents had, reportedly, heard of 'AIDS'. There was significant difference between awareness in the urban and rural areas ( $95 \%$ and $82 \%$, respectively) and among male and female respondents ( $91 \%$ and $80 \%$, respectively). It may be pointed out here that the youths appear to be more familiar with the terminology "AIDS" than "HIV" as only 72 percent of the youths were aware of the latter terminology.

Looking into state-wise figures, it was found that except for three states (Bihar 56\%; Madhya Pradesh $76 \%$ and Chhattisgarh 77\%), over four-fifths of the respondents in all the states/ group of states had ever heard of 'AIDS'. In southern states (Andhra Pradesh, Tamil Nadu and Puducherry, and Kerala and Lakshadweep), north-eastern states (Assam, Manipur and other North Eastern states) and a few western (Maharashtra, Goa and Daman \& Diu) states, this proportion was more than 95 percent.
Table 3.2b: Proportion of respondents who had heard of HIV by age, residence and gender

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | All fig | are in | centas |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SI. } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | State/Group of States | 15-19 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20-24 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  | Total |  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  | Total |  |  |
|  |  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 99.7 | 96.1 | 98.2 | 92.9 | 92.2 | 92.6 | 95.3 | 93.3 | 94.4 | 95.9 | 96.1 | 96.0 | 84.5 | 83.1 | 83.8 | 87.8 | 87.4 | 87.6 |
| 2. | Assam | 94.8 | 92.7 | 94.0 | 80.0 | 79.0 | 79.5 | 82.1 | 80.3 | 81.3 | 97.9 | 94.6 | 96.2 | 79.6 | 78.4 | 79.0 | 82.4 | 80.9 | 81.7 |
| 3. | Bihar | 77.2 | 55.8 | 68.0 | 63.5 | 28.2 | 46.2 | 65.7 | 31.7 | 49.3 | 80.2 | 52.3 | 68.3 | 52.0 | 24.5 | 39.3 | 55.2 | 27.3 | 42.5 |
| 4. | Chhattisgarh | 84.8 | 79.5 | 82.4 | 63.2 | 62.7 | 62.9 | 69.3 | 66.5 | 67.9 | 85.3 | 82.0 | 83.6 | 56.1 | 58.5 | 57.2 | 62.8 | 65.1 | 63.9 |
| 5. | Delhi | 87.3 | 72.1 | 81.9 | 92.9 | 81.3 | 88.6 | 87.6 | 72.6 | 82.2 | 94.2 | 73.8 | 84.8 | 96.5 | 83.3 | 91.1 | 94.4 | 74.4 | 85.2 |
| 6. | Goa + Daman \& Diu | 96.9 | 97.3 | 97.1 | 97.4 | 88.7 | 94.1 | 97.1 | 93.8 | 95.7 | 97.6 | 95.9 | 96.9 | 98.3 | 95.0 | 96.7 | 98.0 | 95.4 | 96.8 |
| 7. | Gujarat + Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 94.6 | 86.8 | 91.2 | 81.1 | 60.6 | 71.8 | 86.9 | 71.5 | 80.1 | 96.4 | 87.7 | 92.7 | 78.7 | 58.7 | 67.6 | 87.4 | 69.2 | 78.3 |
| 8. | Haryana | 82.5 | 75.4 | 79.5 | 74.4 | 56.7 | 67.0 | 76.7 | 62.1 | 70.6 | 82.8 | 65.8 | 75.3 | 70.1 | 56.1 | 63.9 | 74.3 | 59.4 | 67.7 |
| 9. | Himachal Pradesh | 94.7 | 86.5 | 91.0 | 90.8 | 82.3 | 86.7 | 91.2 | 82.7 | 87.2 | 93.7 | 89.2 | 91.5 | 93.5 | 78.1 | 85.3 | 93.5 | 79.0 | 85.9 |
| 10. | Jammu \& Kashmir | 93.7 | 83.5 | 88.9 | 81.4 | 63.7 | 74.1 | 84.1 | 68.9 | 77.6 | 91.2 | 83.9 | 88.0 | 81.6 | 65.3 | 73.8 | 84.4 | 70.1 | 77.7 |
| 11. | Jharkhand | 82.0 | 74.2 | 78.9 | 73.4 | 55.1 | 65.3 | 76.1 | 60.2 | 69.2 | 91.9 | 79.1 | 85.9 | 72.3 | 51.0 | 62.7 | 77.9 | 59.4 | 69.5 |
| 12. | Karnataka | 90.3 | 77.1 | 84.7 | 70.1 | 71.2 | 70.7 | 77.7 | 72.9 | 75.4 | 91.4 | 84.1 | 88.3 | 69.2 | 57.9 | 64.1 | 78.0 | 67.5 | 73.3 |
| 13. | Kerala + Lakshadweep | 99.5 | 94.8 | 96.9 | 99.6 | 97.2 | 98.3 | 99.6 | 96.6 | 98.0 | 99.0 | 97.8 | 98.4 | 98.6 | 96.0 | 97.2 | 98.7 | 96.4 | 97.5 |
| 14. | Madhya Pradesh | 89.4 | 68.0 | 79.9 | 76.8 | 49.6 | 64.7 | 80.5 | 55.1 | 69.2 | 92.6 | 82.4 | 87.9 | 73.7 | 49.3 | 62.5 | 79.9 | 60.2 | 70.8 |
| 15. | Maharashtra | 87.7 | 82.8 | 85.6 | 91.1 | 75.2 | 83.6 | 89.5 | 78.5 | 84.5 | 87.9 | 86.0 | 87.1 | 90.6 | 75.5 | 83.6 | 89.3 | 80.2 | 85.2 |
| 16. | Manipur | 99.5 | 99.6 | 99.5 | 92.7 | 87.2 | 90.1 | 94.1 | 89.5 | 91.9 | 99.6 | 99.5 | 99.5 | 92.9 | 89.2 | 91.1 | 94.3 | 91.6 | 92.9 |
| 17. | Orissa | 85.9 | 57.4 | 72.4 | 49.7 | 39.9 | 44.6 | 56.7 | 42.7 | 49.5 | 83.5 | 51.2 | 69.0 | 57.7 | 35.1 | 47.1 | 63.0 | 38.2 | 51.5 |
| 18. | Other North Eastern States | 95.9 | 89.3 | 92.7 | 78.7 | 68.7 | 74.1 | 83.5 | 74.7 | 79.4 | 94.9 | 92.8 | 93.9 | 89.6 | 84.6 | 87.0 | 91.3 | 86.9 | 89.1 |
| 19. | Punjab + Chandigarh | 51.1 | 62.7 | 55.8 | 65.6 | 57.4 | 61.9 | 59.7 | 59.3 | 59.6 | 73.7 | 71.4 | 72.6 | 60.9 | 76.3 | 68.3 | 66.3 | 74.3 | 70.1 |
| 20. | Rajasthan | 90.0 | 77.6 | 84.9 | 76.4 | 53.7 | 65.8 | 80.2 | 59.3 | 70.7 | 91.7 | 70.4 | 81.5 | 79.2 | 50.3 | 65.9 | 83.0 | 56.9 | 70.9 |
| 21. | Sikkim | 90.1 | 94.8 | 92.0 | 71.1 | 65.0 | 68.5 | 73.9 | 68.9 | 71.7 | 89.8 | 92.3 | 91.0 | 70.0 | 60.6 | 66.1 | 72.6 | 65.7 | 69.7 |
| 22. | Tamil Nadu + Puducherry | 81.3 | 91.4 | 86.7 | 65.7 | 69.4 | 67.6 | 72.2 | 78.9 | 75.7 | 89.8 | 89.1 | 89.4 | 71.8 | 67.2 | 69.7 | 81.7 | 79.2 | 80.5 |
| 23. | Uttar Pradesh | 73.8 | 68.0 | 71.2 | 72.9 | 53.7 | 64.0 | 73.1 | 56.4 | 65.4 | 79.0 | 67.0 | 74.1 | 77.0 | 48.9 | 64.0 | 77.5 | 52.6 | 66.3 |
| 24. | Uttarakhand | 88.0 | 81.1 | 85.3 | 84.8 | 63.8 | 74.3 | 85.8 | 67.6 | 77.2 | 89.6 | 79.0 | 84.8 | 87.0 | 66.9 | 76.4 | 87.7 | 69.7 | 78.6 |
| 25. | West Bengal + Andaman \& Nicobar Islands | 86.9 | 91.0 | 89.1 | 56.7 | 44.8 | 51.7 | 63.3 | 58.8 | 61.3 | 92.9 | 92.6 | 92.8 | 53.7 | 46.3 | 50.0 | 66.2 | 58.4 | 62.5 |
| All India |  | 85.6 | 79.9 | 83.1 | 74.0 | 59.3 | 67.0 | 77.5 | 65.1 | 71.8 | 89.4 | 82.2 | 86.1 | 72.7 | 57.3 | 65.4 | 78.3 | 65.1 | 72.1 |

[^3]Table 3.3a: Proportion of respondents (15-24 years) who had heard of AIDS by residence and gender
(All figures are in percentage)

| SI. | State/Group of States | 15-24 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  | Total |  |  |
|  |  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 99.8 | 99.6 | 99.7 | 98.9 | 97.7 | 98.3 | 99.2 | 98.3 | 98.8 |
| 2. | Assam | 99.2 | 99.2 | 99.2 | 95.0 | 92.9 | 94.0 | 95.6 | 93.7 | 94.7 |
| 3. | Bihar | 89.3 | 67.4 | 79.9 | 70.4 | 33.2 | 52.7 | 73.0 | 37.1 | 56.1 |
| 4. | Chhattisgarh | 93.9 | 88.0 | 91.0 | 74.3 | 69.1 | 71.7 | 79.3 | 73.9 | 76.6 |
| 5. | Delhi | 97.3 | 92.3 | 95.3 | 96.1 | 87.8 | 92.8 | 97.2 | 92.0 | 95.1 |
| 6. | Goa + Daman \& Diu | 98.5 | 96.7 | 97.7 | 98.2 | 94.5 | 96.6 | 98.3 | 95.7 | 97.2 |
| 7. | Gujarat + Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 96.9 | 90.5 | 94.1 | 85.3 | 72.3 | 78.7 | 90.6 | 79.3 | 85.3 |
| 8. | Haryana | 92.3 | 84.5 | 88.9 | 95.4 | 83.5 | 90.3 | 94.4 | 83.8 | 89.9 |
| 9. | Himachal Pradesh | 98.6 | 94.9 | 96.9 | 94.7 | 92.2 | 93.4 | 95.1 | 92.5 | 93.8 |
| 10. | Jammu \& Kashmir | 96.5 | 86.5 | 92.0 | 92.1 | 73.0 | 83.6 | 93.2 | 76.5 | 85.7 |
| 11. | Jharkhand | 89.3 | 81.5 | 85.9 | 88.0 | 67.5 | 78.9 | 88.4 | 71.5 | 80.9 |
| 12. | Karnataka | 97.4 | 88.0 | 93.4 | 89.8 | 84.0 | 87.0 | 92.7 | 85.3 | 89.3 |
| 13. | Kerala + Lakshadweep | 98.8 | 98.5 | 98.6 | 99.8 | 99.7 | 99.7 | 99.5 | 99.4 | 99.5 |
| 14. | Madhya Pradesh | 95.4 | 91.3 | 93.5 | 76.7 | 58.0 | 68.2 | 82.5 | 68.4 | 76.1 |
| 15. | Maharashtra | 97.8 | 98.1 | 97.9 | 98.0 | 92.6 | 95.5 | 97.9 | 95.0 | 96.6 |
| 16. | Manipur | 99.8 | 99.8 | 99.8 | 94.9 | 93.1 | 94.0 | 95.9 | 94.5 | 95.2 |
| 17. | Orissa | 99.6 | 96.6 | 98.2 | 94.2 | 84.4 | 89.3 | 95.3 | 86.5 | 91.0 |
| 18. | Other North Eastern States | 98.3 | 97.1 | 97.7 | 98.1 | 95.3 | 96.7 | 98.2 | 95.8 | 97.0 |
| 19. | Punjab + Chandigarh | 97.2 | 95.3 | 96.4 | 93.9 | 88.4 | 91.3 | 95.3 | 91.0 | 93.3 |
| 20. | Rajasthan | 96.2 | 84.8 | 91.1 | 87.9 | 66.2 | 77.8 | 90.3 | 71.4 | 81.6 |
| 21. | Sikkim | 98.1 | 97.6 | 97.9 | 86.9 | 84.6 | 85.9 | 88.5 | 86.5 | 87.6 |
| 22. | Tamil Nadu + Puducherry | 98.3 | 98.1 | 98.2 | 96.7 | 92.5 | 94.6 | 97.5 | 95.3 | 96.4 |
| 23. | Uttar Pradesh | 92.5 | 82.7 | 88.3 | 88.9 | 68.4 | 79.5 | 89.7 | 71.3 | 81.3 |
| 24. | Uttarakhand | 96.3 | 91.4 | 94.3 | 94.1 | 84.6 | 89.2 | 94.7 | 86.2 | 90.6 |
| 25. | West Bengal + Andaman \& Nicobar Islands | 97.2 | 98.5 | 97.8 | 82.5 | 67.8 | 75.7 | 86.5 | 76.4 | 81.8 |
| All India |  | 96.4 | 92.3 | 94.6 | 88.3 | 74.5 | 81.7 | 90.9 | 79.8 | 85.7 |

Base: All respondents
No significant differences existed in the proportion of respondents who had heard of AIDS in the age groups of 15-19 and 20-24 years (Table 3.3b). However, there existed wide gender variations in both the age groups in relation to awareness about HIV/AIDS in the rural areas. In the age group of 15-19 years, only one-third of the rural female respondents in the state of Bihar and 57 to 70 percent of those in Jharkhand, Madhya Pradesh and West Bengal and Andaman \& Nicobar Islands had heard of AIDS. In almost all the states/group of states, more males than females stated that they had heard of AIDS.

### 3.2 Knowledge of Different Modes of HIV/AIDS Transmission

HIV can be transmitted through blood, sexual fluids, or breast milk of an HIV-infected person. People can get HIV if one of these fluids enters the body and into the bloodstream. The disease can be passed during unprotected sex with HIV-infected person. An HIV-infected mother can transmit HIV to her infant during pregnancy, delivery or while breastfeeding. People can also become infected with HIV when using injection drugs through sharing needles and other equipment.
Table 3.3b: Proportion of respondents who had heard of AIDS by age, residence and gender


[^4]Only when people are aware of the transmission routes of HIV/AIDS, they can take measures to avoid the infection. Thus to be able to design communication strategies for preventing HIV transmission, it is imperative to understand the level of awareness with respect to the various transmission routes.

Table 3.4 presents the level of awareness with respect to various modes of transmission of HIV among the respondents who had heard of HIV/AIDS. At the national level most of the youth (92-95\%) aware of HIV/AIDS also knew that HIV/AIDS could be transmitted through unprotected sexual contacts, transfusion of infected blood and a needle that has been already used by someone else who is infected. However, compared to above three modes of transmission, lower proportion of the respondents knew that an HIV-infected mother could infect the child in her womb (83\%) and HIV/AIDS could be transmitted through breast feeding (67\%).

No gender differentials were observed with respect to awareness on transmission of HIV/AIDS through unprotected sexual contacts, transfusion of infected blood and needle that has been already used by someone else who is infected. However, relatively higher proportion of female respondents compared to their male counterparts was aware that an HIV-infected mother could infect the child in her womb and HIV/AIDS could be transmitted through breast feeding.

Across states, more than three fourths of respondents from all the states reported that the disease can be transmitted through sexual contact. The lowest proportion of respondents in the states of Andhra Pradesh (76\%) and Sikkim (78\%) were aware of transmission of HIV/AIDS through sexual contact.

As regards, awareness of transmission through blood transfusion highest proportion of the respondents in the states of Andhra Pradesh, Goa, Daman \& Diu and Jammu \& Kashmir (99\%) and lowest proportion in Sikkim (85\%) followed by Assam (92\%) and Karnataka (93\%) were aware of transmission of HIV/AIDS through this route.

The proportion of respondents reporting transmission of HIV/AIDS through needle sharing was highest in Andhra Pradesh and Jammu and Kashmir (98\%) followed by Goa \& Daman Diu (97\%) and Delhi, Haryana, Uttarakhand, Punjab and Other North Eastern States (96\%). The high level of awareness in the North eastern states may be due to prevalence of injecting drug use behavior in this area. The proportion was observed to be lowest in Sikkim (84\%) and Karnataka (88\%).

With respect to the transmission of HIV/AIDS from an infected mother to her unborn child, the highest level of awareness was observed in Jammu \& Kashmir and Other North Eastern states (94\%) closely followed by Goa \& Daman Diu (92\%). The proportion was observed to be lowest in Sikkim (71\%), Punjab \& Chandigarh (73\%), Manipur (76\%).

As compared to the other routes of transmission, lower proportion of the respondents in all the states/group of states were aware that a child could be infected with HIV through breast milk of HIV positive mother. Compared to over two-third of the respondents in Andhra Pradesh (66\%), Chhattisgarh and Kerala \& Lakshadweep (67\%), Gujarat \& Dadra \& Nagar Haveli (79\%), Bihar, Orissa, Rajasthan and Himachal Pradesh (71\%), Jammu \& Kashmir (78\%), Jharkhand, Tamil Nadu and Puducherry (75\%), Uttar Pradesh (69\%), Madhya Pradesh (68\%), and Uttarakhand (72\%), lower proportion of respondents in Maharashtra (54\%) and Sikkim (48\%) were aware of this issue.

### 3.2.1 Awareness of Atleast Two Correct Modes of HIV/AIDS Transmission

It is assumed that knowledge about AIDS and how to prevent it would lead to behaviour change. This indicator measures the extent to which the messages related to HIV transmission have reached the youth population surveyed. The indicator is derived from correct answers given for transmission modes described in the previous section. Someone only identifying one of the transmission modes is not counted and all those who identify at least two transmission modes are included in the analysis.
Table 3.4: Proportion of respondents (15-24 years) aware of various modes of HIV/AIDS transmission by gender


## Awareness of HIV/AIDS

Figure 3.2a: Proportion of respondents (15-24 years) aware of various modes of HIV/AIDS transmission: 2006


Base: All respondents aware of HIV/AIDS

Awareness of HIV/AIDS
Figure 3.2b: Distribution of states by proportion of respondents
(15-24 years) aware of HIV transmission through breastfeeding: 2006

Number of States


Base: All respondents aware of HIV/AIDS

## Awareness of HIV/AIDS

Figure 3.3: Proportion of respondents (15-24 years) aware of HIV transmission by sexual contact: Interstate comparison. 2006


Base: All respondents aware of HIV/AIDS

At the national level, among the respondents (15-24 years) who were aware of HIV/AIDS or both, 98 percent could identify two correct modes of HIV transmission. There were not much urbanrural, male-female and statewise differences in this respect (Table 3.5a). Similar findings were also observed for the two age groups of 15-19 and 20-24 years (Table 3.5b). The above findings indicate that almost all the respondents who were aware of HIV/AIDS also knew about atleast two modes of its transmission.

Table 3.5a: Proportion of respondents (15-24 years) aware of atleast two correct modes of HIV transmission by residence and gender

| (All figures are in percentage) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SI. <br> No. | State/Group of States | 15-24 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  | Total |  |  |
|  |  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 98.8 | 99.3 | 99.1 | 99.5 | 98.9 | 99.2 | 99.3 | 99.0 | 99.2 |
| 2. | Assam | 99.7 | 99.4 | 99.6 | 93.5 | 88.6 | 91.1 | 94.5 | 90.1 | 92.4 |
| 3. | Bihar | 97.1 | 98.3 | 97.6 | 96.3 | 99.4 | 97.2 | 96.4 | 99.2 | 97.3 |
| 4. | Chhattisgarh | 99.1 | 98.2 | 98.7 | 95.9 | 94.6 | 95.3 | 96.9 | 95.7 | 96.3 |
| 5. | Delhi | 98.9 | 98.8 | 98.9 | 99.5 | 99.1 | 99.4 | 98.9 | 98.9 | 98.9 |
| 6. | Goa + Daman \& Diu | 99.6 | 100.0 | 99.8 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.8 | 100.0 | 99.9 |
| 7. | Gujarat + Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 99.6 | 97.4 | 98.7 | 99.3 | 97.3 | 98.4 | 99.4 | 97.3 | 98.5 |
| 8. | Haryana | 98.8 | 96.6 | 97.9 | 99.5 | 97.1 | 98.6 | 99.3 | 96.9 | 98.4 |
| 9. | Himachal Pradesh | 99.6 | 98.5 | 99.1 | 99.3 | 96.8 | 98.1 | 99.3 | 97.0 | 98.2 |
| 10. | Jammu \& Kashmir | 99.7 | 100.0 | 99.8 | 99.4 | 99.7 | 99.5 | 99.5 | 99.8 | 99.6 |
| 11. | Jharkhand | 98.8 | 99.4 | 99.1 | 99.5 | 96.7 | 98.4 | 99.3 | 97.6 | 98.6 |
| 12. | Karnataka | 97.6 | 94.8 | 96.5 | 95.7 | 95.1 | 95.4 | 96.5 | 95.0 | 95.8 |
| 13. | Kerala + Lakshadweep | 99.2 | 97.8 | 98.5 | 99.8 | 99.3 | 99.5 | 99.6 | 98.9 | 99.2 |
| 14. | Madhya Pradesh | 98.2 | 97.8 | 98.0 | 98.2 | 97.3 | 97.9 | 98.2 | 97.5 | 97.9 |
| 15. | Maharashtra | 99.0 | 98.2 | 98.7 | 99.3 | 94.3 | 97.0 | 99.2 | 96.1 | 97.8 |
| 16. | Manipur | 98.8 | 100.0 | 99.4 | 98.6 | 98.8 | 98.7 | 98.7 | 99.1 | 98.9 |
| 17. | Orissa | 99.4 | 98.1 | 98.8 | 94.4 | 96.4 | 95.3 | 95.4 | 96.7 | 96.0 |
| 18. | Other North Eastern States | 99.6 | 99.6 | 99.6 | 98.0 | 97.8 | 97.9 | 98.5 | 98.3 | 98.4 |
| 19. | Punjab + Chandigarh | 99.2 | 99.2 | 99.2 | 99.2 | 98.1 | 98.7 | 99.2 | 98.5 | 98.9 |
| 20. | Rajasthan | 98.1 | 97.3 | 97.8 | 98.5 | 98.2 | 98.4 | 98.4 | 97.9 | 98.2 |
| 21. | Sikkim | 88.5 | 93.2 | 90.6 | 89.6 | 90.4 | 90.0 | 89.5 | 90.9 | 90.1 |
| 22. | Tamil Nadu + Puducherry | 94.9 | 97.6 | 96.3 | 98.0 | 95.9 | 97.0 | 96.5 | 96.8 | 96.6 |
| 23. | Uttar Pradesh | 99.8 | 97.5 | 98.8 | 97.9 | 95.8 | 97.1 | 98.4 | 96.2 | 97.5 |
| 24. | Uttarakhand | 98.5 | 97.7 | 98.2 | 99.7 | 97.5 | 98.6 | 99.4 | 97.5 | 98.5 |
| 25. | West Bengal + Andaman \& Nicobar Islands | 99.1 | 98.3 | 98.7 | 97.7 | 95.4 | 96.7 | 98.1 | 96.4 | 97.4 |
| All India (BSS 2006) |  | 98.5 | 98.0 | 98.3 | 97.9 | 96.4 | 97.3 | 98.1 | 96.9 | 97.6 |
| All India (BSS 2001) |  | 98.7 | 97.4 | 98.1 | 97.3 | 95.9 | 96.7 | 98.1 | 96.7 | 97.4 |

Base: All respondents aware of HIV/AIDS

### 3.3 Knowledge of HIV/AIDS Prevention

Successful HIV prevention depends on changing risk behaviours. This includes increasing condom use and reducing the numbers of sex partners among sexually active people, reducing needlesharing behaviour among injecting drug users, and delaying the onset of first intercourse among young people - to name only a few. As HIV continues to spread, more efforts are being made to promote the changes in behaviours. The programme managers need information to guide the design of appropriate prevention programmes and to monitor whether these efforts are successful.

As part of the AIDS prevention programme, the Government of India has been using mass media, especially electronic media to create awareness among general public about HIV/AIDS. In order
Table 3.5b: Proportion of respondents aware of atleast two correct modes of HIV transmission by age, residence and gender

to document and assess the awareness level of the sample respondents on HIV/AIDS, questions on modes of HIV transmission were followed by a few queries on methods of HIV prevention. The questions on methods of prevention of HIV/AIDS were asked to only those respondents who had reportedly heard of either HIV or AIDS or both the terminologies.

The Table 3.6 presents the awareness of HIV prevention measures among the young population with respect to sexual abstinence, consistent condom use and faithful sexual partner. The study shows that, even among the respondents who had ever heard of HIV/AIDS, nearly one-fifth were not aware of the above three measures of prevention of HIV/AIDS. No gender differentials were observed except regarding consistent condom use, where awareness was greater among males than females. (Males - 88\%, Females - 77\%).

Table 3.6: Proportion of respondents (15-24 years) aware of various methods of HIV/AIDS prevention
(All figures are in percentage)

| SI. <br> No. | State/Group of States | Transmission of HIV/AIDS can be prevented through : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Sexual abstinence |  |  | Consistent condom use |  |  | Having one uninfected faithful sex partner |  |  |
|  |  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 82.8 | 78.0 | 80.5 | 88.0 | 89.9 | 88.8 | 77.7 | 84.4 | 80.9 |
| 2. | Assam | 79.3 | 56.6 | 68.3 | 80.6 | 60.0 | 70.9 | 77.8 | 60.6 | 69.5 |
| 3. | Bihar | 60.1 | 69.5 | 63.0 | 81.1 | 53.3 | 71.5 | 67.3 | 74.3 | 69.5 |
| 4. | Chhattisgarh | 60.3 | 71.1 | 65.4 | 76.8 | 83.6 | 79.7 | 64.4 | 72.9 | 68.5 |
| 5. | Delhi | 67.0 | 59.2 | 63.9 | 96.2 | 86.2 | 92.3 | 85.5 | 65.6 | 77.7 |
| 6. | Goa + Daman \& Diu | 84.3 | 80.9 | 82.8 | 93.2 | 83.4 | 89.0 | 75.7 | 74.2 | 75.0 |
| 7. | Gujarat + Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 89.0 | 87.3 | 88.2 | 95.8 | 82.2 | 89.9 | 90.9 | 90.6 | 90.8 |
| 8. | Haryana | 84.7 | 81.5 | 83.4 | 94.8 | 76.4 | 87.3 | 83.8 | 81.9 | 83.1 |
| 9. | Himachal Pradesh | 87.6 | 81.1 | 84.3 | 94.0 | 89.8 | 91.9 | 92.9 | 90.3 | 91.6 |
| 10. | Jammu \& Kashmir | 92.8 | 91.3 | 92.2 | 95.1 | 92.2 | 94.1 | 87.8 | 87.1 | 87.5 |
| 11. | Jharkhand | 54.9 | 66.6 | 59.5 | 86.3 | 72.7 | 81.0 | 67.6 | 69.6 | 68.4 |
| 12. | Karnataka | 74.6 | 72.2 | 73.6 | 90.8 | 89.5 | 90.4 | 74.0 | 66.0 | 70.5 |
| 13. | Kerala + Lakshadweep | 93.3 | 87.9 | 90.4 | 97.3 | 93.0 | 95.1 | 87.7 | 82.9 | 85.2 |
| 14. | Madhya Pradesh | 61.0 | 83.3 | 70.1 | 86.4 | 70.7 | 80.3 | 77.5 | 83.7 | 80.0 |
| 15. | Maharashtra | 84.3 | 80.9 | 82.7 | 98.3 | 92.4 | 95.8 | 80.1 | 69.1 | 75.2 |
| 16. | Manipur | 70.1 | 81.8 | 75.9 | 93.9 | 86.1 | 90.2 | 76.3 | 83.3 | 79.8 |
| 17. | Orissa | 67.2 | 78.5 | 72.4 | 80.2 | 75.4 | 78.1 | 57.0 | 76.6 | 66.1 |
| 18. | Other North Eastern States | 77.3 | 58.6 | 68.3 | 85.8 | 74.1 | 80.1 | 71.3 | 64.2 | 67.9 |
| 19. | Punjab + Chandigarh | 63.6 | 64.2 | 63.9 | 91.1 | 80.9 | 86.7 | 86.0 | 70.2 | 79.0 |
| 20. | Rajasthan | 69.3 | 75.1 | 71.6 | 88.1 | 74.3 | 82.1 | 77.4 | 77.9 | 77.6 |
| 21. | Sikkim | 51.3 | 60.7 | 55.3 | 62.3 | 61.5 | 61.9 | 47.4 | 49.8 | 48.5 |
| 22. | Tamil Nadu + Puducherry | 86.0 | 83.4 | 84.7 | 80.1 | 84.7 | 82.2 | 84.9 | 89.0 | 87.0 |
| 23. | Uttar Pradesh | 83.0 | 85.6 | 84.0 | 89.4 | 70.1 | 81.5 | 89.9 | 84.5 | 87.7 |
| 24. | Uttarakhand | 78.6 | 80.6 | 79.6 | 93.7 | 77.6 | 86.1 | 92.4 | 85.8 | 89.3 |
| 25. | West Bengal + Andaman \& Nicobar Islands | 61.5 | 61.8 | 61.7 | 77.0 | 54.8 | 67.1 | 64.7 | 51.7 | 59.1 |
| All India |  | 76.0 | 77.3 | 76.6 | 88.1 | 76.7 | 83.3 | 79.2 | 76.6 | 78.1 |

Base: All respondents aware of HIV/AIDS


The state-wise analysis shows that among the respondents aware of HIV/AIDS, 55 to 74 percent of the respondents in Sikkim, West Bengal and Andaman \& Nicobar Islands, Orissa, Other North Eastern States, Jharkhand, Chhattisgarh, Assam, Bihar and Karnataka were aware of sexual abstinence as a mode of prevention of HIV/AIDS. The proportion was reported to be highest in Jammu \& Kashmir (92\%) followed by Kerala and Lakshadweep (90\%), Gujarat and Dadra Nagar Haveli (88\%) and Tamil Nadu and Puducherry (85\%).

Over 90 percent of the respondents in Manipur, Karnataka, Himachal Pradesh, Delhi, Jammu \& Kashmir, Kerala and Lakshadweep and Maharashtra were aware that consistent condom use could prevent transmission of HIV/AIDS. The corresponding percentage was remarkably lower ( $62-72 \%$ ) in the states of Sikkim, West Bengal and Andaman \& Nicobar Islands, Assam and Bihar.

The awareness of prevention of HIV/AIDS by having one uninfected faithful sex partner was lowest in Sikkim (49\%) followed by West Bengal and AN Islands (59\%). The proportion was highest in Himachal Pradesh (92\%), followed by Gujarat and Dadra \& Nagar Haveli (91\%).

### 3.3.1 Awareness of Two Important Methods of Prevention

Correct belief about measures of prevention of HIV/AIDS has a great significance for policy makers and planners to control the spread of HIV/AIDS. The two important methods of HIV referred to are consistent condom use and having one uninfected and faithful sexual partner. The level of knowledge pertaining to these two prevention methods has already been discussed separately in the previous sub sections. Table $3.7 \mathrm{a} \& \mathrm{~b}$ present the proportion of respondents who had knowledge of both the methods of prevention.

Table 3.7a: Proportion of respondents (15-24 years) aware of two important methods of prevention by residence and gender

| (All figures are in percentage) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SI.No. | State/Group of States | 15-24 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  | Total |  |  |
|  |  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 90.4 | 78.9 | 84.9 | 56.8 | 53.2 | 55.1 | 67.6 | 61.3 | 64.5 |
| 2. | Assam | 73.1 | 55.1 | 64.9 | 66.8 | 41.2 | 54.2 | 67.8 | 43.0 | 55.8 |
| 3. | Bihar | 69.0 | 61.9 | 66.4 | 56.8 | 54.9 | 56.3 | 58.8 | 56.4 | 58.1 |
| 4. | Chhattisgarh | 72.9 | 54.5 | 64.1 | 54.2 | 57.2 | 55.6 | 59.8 | 56.3 | 58.2 |
| 5. | Delhi | 82.4 | 59.2 | 73.3 | 81.2 | 59.1 | 72.9 | 82.3 | 59.2 | 73.2 |
| 6. | Goa + Daman \& Diu | 76.4 | 61.2 | 69.5 | 65.7 | 57.6 | 62.3 | 71.1 | 59.5 | 66.0 |
| 7. | Gujarat + Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 86.4 | 83.5 | 85.2 | 90.7 | 74.5 | 83.2 | 88.6 | 78.5 | 84.2 |
| 8. | Haryana | 83.8 | 73.1 | 79.4 | 81.9 | 69.1 | 76.9 | 82.5 | 70.4 | 77.6 |
| 9. | Himachal Pradesh | 88.3 | 73.5 | 81.6 | 90.3 | 87.1 | 88.7 | 90.1 | 85.8 | 88.0 |
| 10. | Jammu \& Kashmir | 87.4 | 72.6 | 81.1 | 78.0 | 57.6 | 70.1 | 80.5 | 62.0 | 73.1 |
| 11. | Jharkhand | 70.5 | 60.3 | 66.3 | 58.9 | 51.0 | 55.8 | 62.4 | 54.0 | 59.1 |
| 12. | Karnataka | 76.2 | 42.8 | 62.9 | 58.1 | 37.9 | 48.7 | 65.5 | 39.6 | 54.0 |
| 13. | Kerala + Lakshadweep | 77.4 | 72.4 | 74.8 | 86.5 | 76.4 | 81.1 | 84.2 | 75.4 | 79.6 |
| 14. | Madhya Pradesh | 68.8 | 70.7 | 69.6 | 75.1 | 56.6 | 68.0 | 72.8 | 62.5 | 68.6 |
| 15. | Maharashtra | 84.5 | 64.8 | 76.1 | 68.8 | 52.0 | 61.0 | 76.3 | 57.7 | 68.0 |
| 16. | Manipur | 70.9 | 87.2 | 79.2 | 69.6 | 62.6 | 66.2 | 69.8 | 68.1 | 69.0 |
| 17. | Orissa | 72.6 | 64.2 | 68.8 | 44.0 | 51.0 | 47.3 | 50.0 | 53.6 | 51.6 |
| 18. | Other North Eastern States | 63.1 | 49.0 | 56.5 | 66.9 | 51.2 | 59.3 | 65.8 | 50.5 | 58.5 |
| 19. | Punjab + Chandigarh | 83.9 | 60.8 | 73.9 | 81.3 | 61.3 | 72.2 | 82.4 | 61.1 | 72.9 |
| 20. | Rajasthan | 79.3 | 72.3 | 76.4 | 71.3 | 71.5 | 71.4 | 73.8 | 71.8 | 73.0 |
| 21. | Sikkim | 43.1 | 42.6 | 42.9 | 38.5 | 42.4 | 40.1 | 39.2 | 42.4 | 40.5 |
| 22. | Tamil Nadu + Puducherry | 59.0 | 59.8 | 59.4 | 78.3 | 70.7 | 74.6 | 68.6 | 65.1 | 66.9 |
| 23. | Uttar Pradesh | 90.5 | 71.6 | 83.0 | 85.1 | 69.5 | 78.9 | 86.3 | 70.0 | 79.8 |
| 24. | Uttarakhand | 85.8 | 81.2 | 83.9 | 90.0 | 67.4 | 79.0 | 88.7 | 70.7 | 80.3 |
| 25. | West Bengal + Andaman \& Nicobar Islands | 46.7 | 33.6 | 40.5 | 54.7 | 40.2 | 48.8 | 52.3 | 37.8 | 46.0 |
| All India (BSS 2006) |  | 77.2 | 63.9 | 71.4 | 70.4 | 58.8 | 65.4 | 72.7 | 60.5 | 67.4 |
| All India (BSS 2001) |  | 69.5 | 52.6 | 61.4 | 61.8 | 44.3 | 53.4 | 65.9 | 48.7 | 57.6 |

Base: All respondents aware of HIV/AIDS

## Prevention of HIV/AIDS

Figure 3.5a: Proportion of respondents ( $15-24$ years) aware of two important methods of prevention of HIV/AIDS by residence and gender


Base: All respondents aware of HIV/AIDS

At the all India level, two-thirds of the respondents having awareness of HIV/AIDS reported that the disease can be prevented by consistent condom use and by having one faithful uninfected sex partner. This proportion has significantly increased since BSS 2001 (58\%).

Higher proportion of males (73\%) reported of both the methods of prevention as compared to their female counterparts (61\%). Also, the knowledge level was observed to be higher in urban areas ( $71 \%$ ) as compared to rural areas ( $65 \%$ ).

As regards the state-wise analysis, the knowledge of both the methods of prevention was highest in the state of Himachal Pradesh (88\%), followed by Gujarat and Dadra \& Nagar Haveli (84\%) and Uttarakhand (80\%). The proportion was lowest in Sikkim (41\%), West Bengal and AN Islands (46\%) and Orissa (52\%). Nearly three-fifths of the rural females in Karnataka, West Bengal and Andaman \& Nicobar Islands, Assam and Sikkim and half of the respondents in Jharkhand, Orissa, Other North Eastern States and Maharashtra were not aware of both the methods of prevention of HIV/AIDS.

The proportion of respondents aware of both the methods of prevention were relatively higher among respondents in the age group of 20-24 years (70\%) compared to 15-19 years (64\%). For both the age groups, the proportion of respondents reporting two important methods of preventing HIV/AIDS was relatively higher in urban areas as compared to rural areas. Overall, it was observed that the knowledge about both the methods of preventing HIV/AIDS was higher among male respondents as compared to females across residence and age groups (Table 3.7b).

In the states of Karnataka, Assam, West Bengal and Andaman \& Nicobar Islands, less than two-fifths of the rural female respondents aged 15-19 years were aware of the two important methods of preventing HIV/AIDS although they were aware of HIV/AIDS. Similarly in the age

## Prevention of HIV/AIDS

Figure 3.5b: Proportion of respondents (15-24 years) aware of two important methods of prevention of HIV/AIDS: Interstate comparison, 2006


Base: All respondents aware of HIV/AIDS
group of 20-24 years less than half of the rural female respondents in the states of Sikkim, West Bengal and Andaman \& Nicobar Islands, Karnataka, Assam and Orissa reported awareness of both the methods of prevention.

### 3.4 Misconceptions on Transmission of HIV/AIDS

The information relating to correct beliefs of the respondents on the three common misconceptions on HIV/AIDS transmission has been presented in Table $3.8 \mathrm{a} \& \mathrm{~b}$. This indicator is defined as the proportion of respondents who, in response to prompted question, correctly identified the following three most common misconceptions about HIV transmission:

- HIV can be transmitted through mosquito bites
- HIV can be transmitted by sharing meal with any infected person
- A healthy looking person cannot transmit HIV.
Table 3.7b: Proportion of respondents aware of two important methods of prevention by age, residence and gender

Base: All respondents aware of HIV/AIDS

The respondents who correctly rejected the first two most common misconceptions about HIV transmission and who also knew that a healthy person can transmit HIV were included. The information on the above three issues was obtained from the respondents who were aware of HIV/AIDS.

More than two-fifths (43\%) of the respondents correctly identified three common misconceptions on transmission of HIV/AIDS. Significantly higher proportions of respondents from urban areas ( $52 \%$ ) as compared to rural areas (39\%) reported the same. In urban areas, this proportion was higher among males as compared to females and a similar trend was observed in the rural areas.

Table 3.8a: Proportion of respondents (15-24 years) who correctly identified three common misconceptions on transmission of HIV/AIDS by residence and gender

| (All figures are in percentage) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SI. <br> No. | State/Group of States | 15-24 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  | Total |  |  |
|  |  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 76.3 | 35.3 | 56.8 | 34.2 | 21.5 | 28.0 | 47.7 | 25.8 | 37.1 |
| 2. | Assam | 55.6 | 46.7 | 51.5 | 30.3 | 34.2 | 32.2 | 34.2 | 35.9 | 35.0 |
| 3. | Bihar | 45.4 | 53.6 | 48.4 | 29.5 | 45.1 | 34.1 | 32.1 | 46.9 | 36.7 |
| 4. | Chhattisgarh | 56.8 | 48.8 | 53.0 | 38.8 | 25.3 | 32.4 | 44.2 | 32.5 | 38.6 |
| 5. | Delhi | 67.7 | 53.8 | 62.2 | 58.3 | 57.3 | 57.9 | 67.1 | 54.0 | 62.0 |
| 6. | Goa + Daman \& Diu | 71.8 | 61.3 | 67.1 | 48.3 | 45.6 | 47.2 | 60.2 | 54.0 | 57.5 |
| 7. | Gujarat + Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 34.8 | 42.8 | 38.1 | 36.6 | 37.0 | 36.8 | 35.7 | 39.6 | 37.4 |
| 8. | Haryana | 53.6 | 58.4 | 55.6 | 46.5 | 45.9 | 46.3 | 48.7 | 49.8 | 49.1 |
| 9. | Himachal Pradesh | 57.6 | 64.5 | 60.8 | 60.9 | 61.9 | 61.4 | 60.5 | 62.1 | 61.3 |
| 10. | Jammu \& Kashmir | 39.1 | 36.3 | 37.9 | 27.2 | 18.8 | 23.9 | 30.3 | 24.0 | 27.8 |
| 11. | Jharkhand | 60.7 | 51.4 | 56.9 | 45.1 | 38.3 | 42.5 | 49.8 | 42.5 | 47.0 |
| 12. | Karnataka | 28.5 | 38.6 | 32.5 | 33.7 | 41.7 | 37.4 | 31.6 | 40.6 | 35.6 |
| 13. | Kerala + Lakshadweep | 54.8 | 54.3 | 54.5 | 55.0 | 50.1 | 52.4 | 54.9 | 51.1 | 52.9 |
| 14. | Madhya Pradesh | 49.8 | 60.6 | 54.6 | 61.1 | 45.0 | 54.9 | 57.0 | 51.6 | 54.8 |
| 15. | Maharashtra | 72.4 | 70.4 | 71.6 | 61.7 | 58.3 | 60.1 | 66.8 | 63.7 | 65.4 |
| 16. | Manipur | 64.9 | 69.5 | 67.3 | 62.4 | 48.9 | 55.8 | 62.9 | 53.5 | 58.3 |
| 17. | Orissa | 52.6 | 47.0 | 50.1 | 35.7 | 28.4 | 32.3 | 39.2 | 32.1 | 35.9 |
| 18. | Other North Eastern States | 63.8 | 59.0 | 61.6 | 44.3 | 28.7 | 36.7 | 50.1 | 37.4 | 44.0 |
| 19. | Punjab + Chandigarh | 67.7 | 53.0 | 61.3 | 59.7 | 40.7 | 51.1 | 63.0 | 45.6 | 55.3 |
| 20. | Rajasthan | 62.2 | 62.0 | 62.2 | 53.9 | 48.5 | 51.7 | 56.5 | 53.0 | 55.1 |
| 21. | Sikkim | 37.4 | 52.4 | 44.1 | 31.9 | 30.7 | 31.4 | 32.7 | 34.3 | 33.4 |
| 22. | Tamil Nadu + Puducherry | 34.8 | 37.5 | 36.1 | 36.2 | 35.4 | 35.8 | 35.5 | 36.5 | 36.0 |
| 23. | Uttar Pradesh | 49.2 | 46.5 | 48.1 | 29.0 | 30.4 | 29.5 | 33.6 | 34.0 | 33.8 |
| 24. | Uttarakhand | 54.1 | 59.6 | 56.3 | 58.1 | 49.5 | 53.9 | 56.9 | 51.9 | 54.6 |
| 25. | West Bengal + Andaman \& Nicobar Islands | 40.3 | 35.2 | 37.9 | 28.5 | 21.3 | 25.5 | 32.1 | 26.3 | 29.6 |
| All India |  | 53.4 | 49.6 | 51.7 | 39.9 | 37.1 | 38.7 | 44.5 | 41.4 | 43.1 |

Base: All respondents aware of HIV/AIDS
Table 3.8b: Proportion of respondents who correctly identified three common misconceptions on transmission of HIV/AIDS by age,

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | fil fig | re | ntage) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SI. | State/Group of States |  |  |  |  | - 19 ye |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - 24 |  |  |  |  |
| No. |  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  | Total |  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  | Total |  |
|  |  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 76.7 | 43.9 | 63.0 | 36.6 | 20.8 | 28.8 | 50.7 | 27.3 | 39.7 | 76.0 | 29.4 | 51.4 | 32.3 | 22.1 | 27.4 | 45.1 | 24.6 | 35.0 |
| 2. | Assam | 54.0 | 48.2 | 51.8 | 25.3 | 31.6 | 28.3 | 29.6 | 33.2 | 31.3 | 57.0 | 45.9 | 51.4 | 35.1 | 36.7 | 35.9 | 38.6 | 38.2 | 38.4 |
| 3. | Bihar | 46.7 | 57.3 | 50.6 | 28.9 | 53.2 | 36.4 | 32.1 | 54.1 | 39.2 | 43.9 | 49.0 | 45.7 | 30.0 | 36.8 | 32.0 | 32.1 | 39.1 | 34.2 |
| 4. | Chhattisgarh | 58.4 | 52.7 | 55.9 | 41.2 | 26.6 | 33.8 | 46.8 | 33.6 | 40.4 | 54.9 | 45.4 | 50.0 | 36.6 | 23.8 | 31.0 | 41.7 | 31.2 | 36.8 |
| 5. | Delhi | 64.7 | 50.2 | 59.8 | 57.8 | 60.1 | 58.6 | 64.4 | 50.7 | 59.7 | 71.4 | 56.6 | 64.8 | 58.7 | 55.4 | 57.4 | 70.4 | 56.5 | 64.3 |
| 6. | Goa + Daman \& Diu | 69.2 | 60.1 | 65.0 | 54.8 | 45.3 | 51.4 | 62.1 | 54.3 | 58.9 | 73.9 | 62.3 | 68.7 | 43.4 | 45.8 | 44.5 | 58.6 | 53.8 | 56.5 |
| 7. | Gujarat + Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 39.0 | 43.8 | 41.0 | 36.2 | 41.2 | 38.3 | 37.5 | 42.4 | 39.5 | 31.2 | 42.0 | 35.6 | 36.9 | 34.1 | 35.5 | 34.0 | 37.4 | 35.5 |
| 8. | Haryana | 46.9 | 54.4 | 50.0 | 44.2 | 41.5 | 43.2 | 45.0 | 45.5 | 45.2 | 60.0 | 62.3 | 60.9 | 49.2 | 50.4 | 49.7 | 52.7 | 54.2 | 53.3 |
| 9. | Himachal Pradesh | 54.6 | 65.8 | 59.5 | 57.9 | 62.9 | 60.3 | 57.6 | 63.2 | 60.2 | 60.2 | 63.6 | 61.8 | 63.1 | 61.3 | 62.1 | 62.8 | 61.5 | 62.1 |
| 10. | Jammu \& Kashmir | 36.8 | 37.6 | 37.2 | 27.1 | 18.7 | 24.2 | 29.3 | 24.3 | 27.4 | 40.9 | 35.1 | 38.5 | 27.3 | 18.9 | 23.7 | 31.4 | 23.6 | 28.1 |
| 11. | Jharkhand | 57.9 | 50.7 | 55.2 | 47.0 | 39.2 | 44.0 | 50.3 | 42.7 | 47.4 | 63.8 | 52.1 | 58.6 | 43.2 | 37.4 | 40.9 | 49.3 | 42.4 | 46.5 |
| 12. | Karnataka | 29.4 | 41.3 | 34.0 | 38.1 | 48.4 | 43.4 | 34.7 | 46.4 | 40.1 | 27.9 | 36.8 | 31.5 | 30.4 | 34.6 | 32.2 | 29.3 | 35.5 | 31.9 |
| 13. | Kerala + Lakshadweep | 52.1 | 48.8 | 50.3 | 55.2 | 50.6 | 52.7 | 54.5 | 50.1 | 52.1 | 56.8 | 58.9 | 57.8 | 54.8 | 49.7 | 52.1 | 55.3 | 51.9 | 53.5 |
| 14. | Madhya Pradesh | 50.0 | 57.8 | 53.5 | 61.1 | 39.7 | 53.1 | 57.3 | 47.0 | 53.3 | 49.7 | 62.9 | 55.5 | 61.1 | 49.8 | 56.6 | 56.7 | 55.4 | 56.2 |
| 15. | Maharashtra | 71.7 | 70.1 | 71.0 | 64.2 | 58.6 | 61.6 | 67.7 | 63.7 | 65.9 | 73.2 | 70.7 | 72.1 | 58.8 | 57.9 | 58.4 | 65.9 | 63.7 | 64.9 |
| 16. | Manipur | 60.0 | 71.3 | 65.1 | 67.4 | 50.5 | 59.4 | 65.9 | 54.5 | 60.6 | 69.1 | 68.5 | 68.8 | 58.1 | 47.6 | 52.9 | 60.4 | 52.8 | 56.5 |
| 17. | Orissa | 57.2 | 51.9 | 54.8 | 28.3 | 34.1 | 31.1 | 34.1 | 37.3 | 35.7 | 49.1 | 43.0 | 46.4 | 41.6 | 22.8 | 33.3 | 43.2 | 27.1 | 36.0 |
| 18. | Other North Eastern States | 60.3 | 58.6 | 59.5 | 31.9 | 28.4 | 30.4 | 39.9 | 37.6 | 38.9 | 66.6 | 59.4 | 63.3 | 56.7 | 28.8 | 42.2 | 59.9 | 37.2 | 48.5 |
| 19. | Punjab + Chandigarh | 64.2 | 50.1 | 58.5 | 61.8 | 33.3 | 49.7 | 62.8 | 39.7 | 53.2 | 71.2 | 55.3 | 63.9 | 57.3 | 47.4 | 52.5 | 63.3 | 50.7 | 57.3 |
| 20. | Rajasthan | 61.6 | 62.4 | 61.9 | 50.1 | 47.8 | 49.1 | 53.5 | 51.8 | 52.8 | 62.8 | 61.8 | 62.3 | 57.7 | 49.3 | 54.6 | 59.3 | 54.3 | 57.4 |
| 21. | Sikkim | 34.1 | 50.9 | 40.9 | 31.9 | 22.7 | 27.9 | 32.3 | 26.8 | 29.9 | 41.0 | 53.5 | 46.9 | 31.8 | 38.6 | 34.5 | 33.0 | 41.3 | 36.4 |
| 22. | Tamil Nadu + Puducherry | 26.0 | 29.2 | 27.7 | 30.2 | 37.2 | 33.8 | 28.4 | 33.6 | 31.1 | 39.5 | 43.1 | 41.2 | 41.4 | 33.5 | 37.7 | 40.3 | 38.9 | 39.6 |
| 23. | Uttar Pradesh | 46.8 | 47.2 | 47.0 | 30.6 | 32.8 | 31.5 | 34.0 | 36.0 | 34.8 | 51.5 | 45.6 | 49.2 | 27.1 | 27.4 | 27.2 | 33.1 | 31.8 | 32.6 |
| 24. | Uttarakhand | 58.4 | 65.5 | 61.1 | 59.3 | 50.2 | 55.0 | 59.0 | 53.7 | 56.7 | 49.2 | 54.3 | 51.4 | 56.8 | 48.9 | 52.8 | 54.6 | 50.2 | 52.5 |
| 25. | West Bengal + Andaman \& Nicobar Islands | 28.9 | 33.5 | 31.4 | 28.7 | 20.0 | 25.4 | 28.7 | 25.2 | 27.3 | 46.8 | 36.8 | 42.5 | 28.3 | 22.3 | 25.6 | 34.9 | 27.2 | 31.5 |
| All | dia | 53.0 | 49.7 | 51.6 | 39.9 | 38.3 | 39.2 | 44.2 | 42.0 | 43.2 | 53.7 | 49.5 | 51.8 | 39.9 | 35.9 | 38.2 | 44.8 | 40.8 | 43.1 |

[^5]Among states/group of states, the highest level of respondents identifying three misconceptions correctly was observed in Maharashtra (65\%), Delhi (62\%) and Himachal Pradesh (61\%). The proportion was observed to be lowest in Jammu \& Kashmir (28\%) followed by West Bengal and Andaman \& Nicobar Islands (30\%), Sikkim, Uttar Pradesh (33\%) and Assam (35\%). Less than onethird of the rural female respondents in Jammu \& Kashmir, West Bengal and Andaman \& Nicobar Islands, Andhra Pradesh, Chhattisgarh, Orissa, Other North Eastern States, Uttar Pradesh and Sikkim could correctly identify three common misconceptions on transmission of HIV/AIDS.

Across the age groups, equal proportion of respondents (43\%) aged 15-19 years and 20-24 years reported the issue. Across both age groups, higher proportion of respondents from the urban areas as compared to rural areas correctly identified three common misconceptions on transmission of HIV/AIDS. It was observed that this proportion was higher among male respondents as compared to females across residence and age groups.

Less than one-fourth of the rural female respondents aged 15-19 years in the states of Andhra Pradesh, Jammu \& Kashmir, West Bengal and Andaman \& Nicobar Islands and Sikkim could correctly identify three common misconceptions on transmission of HIV/AIDS. In the age group of 20-24 years, less than one fourth of rural female respondents in the states of Andhra Pradesh, Jammu \& Kashmir, West Bengal and AN Islands, Orissa and Chhattisgarh identified the three misconceptions correctly.

### 3.5 Comprehensive Correct Knowledge about Transmission and Prevention of HIV/AIDS

Based on the information collected on awareness of different prevention methods, and misconceptions regarding HIV/AIDS, a composite indicator that indicates comprehensive correct

knowledge about HIV transmission and Prevention is constructed as 'Percentage of Population aged 15-24 years who could correctly identify the two major ways of preventing the sexual transmission of HIV (Consistent condom use and having one faithful uninfected sex partner), reject the two most common local misconceptions about HIV transmission (transmission of HIV/AIDS through mosquito bites and sharing of meals with HIV/AIDS patients), and who know that a healthy-looking person can transmit HIV. The composite indicator constructed on the basis of above information is presented for both BSS 2001 and BSS 2006 in Table 3.9a and 3.9b.

Table 3.9a: Proportion of respondents (15-24 years) with comprehensive correct knowledge about HIV transmission and prevention by residence and gender
(All figures are in percentage)

| SI. <br> No. | State/Group of States | 15-24 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  | Total |  |  |
|  |  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 71.2 | 32.0 | 52.5 | 22.2 | 11.9 | 17.2 | 38.0 | 18.2 | 28.4 |
| 2. | Assam | 42.4 | 30.0 | 36.8 | 24.2 | 18.9 | 21.6 | 27.0 | 20.4 | 23.8 |
| 3. | Bihar | 32.3 | 33.2 | 32.6 | 20.8 | 30.7 | 23.8 | 22.7 | 31.2 | 25.3 |
| 4. | Chhattisgarh | 43.0 | 25.8 | 34.8 | 25.5 | 18.9 | 22.4 | 30.8 | 21.0 | 26.2 |
| 5. | Delhi | 57.4 | 36.4 | 49.1 | 47.4 | 34.7 | 42.6 | 56.8 | 36.3 | 48.7 |
| 6. | Goa + Daman \& Diu | 58.2 | 41.1 | 50.5 | 34.8 | 33.9 | 34.5 | 46.6 | 37.8 | 42.7 |
| 7. | Gujarat + Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 31.2 | 37.6 | 33.8 | 35.5 | 29.4 | 32.7 | 33.3 | 33.0 | 33.2 |
| 8. | Haryana | 46.3 | 48.3 | 47.1 | 40.7 | 36.9 | 39.2 | 42.4 | 40.5 | 41.6 |
| 9. | Himachal Pradesh | 51.1 | 50.4 | 50.8 | 56.8 | 55.1 | 56.0 | 56.2 | 54.7 | 55.5 |
| 10. | Jammu \& Kashmir | 34.2 | 29.1 | 32.0 | 23.8 | 13.2 | 19.7 | 26.5 | 17.9 | 23.1 |
| 11. | Jharkhand | 45.2 | 31.8 | 39.7 | 33.0 | 19.8 | 27.9 | 36.7 | 23.7 | 31.5 |
| 12. | Karnataka | 22.5 | 22.8 | 22.6 | 24.8 | 20.1 | 22.6 | 23.9 | 21.0 | 22.6 |
| 13. | Kerala + Lakshadweep | 47.3 | 45.8 | 46.5 | 50.3 | 42.0 | 45.9 | 49.5 | 43.0 | 46.1 |
| 14. | Madhya Pradesh | 39.8 | 46.9 | 43.0 | 52.4 | 31.8 | 44.5 | 47.9 | 38.2 | 43.9 |
| 15. | Maharashtra | 64.4 | 47.6 | 57.2 | 45.8 | 37.3 | 41.9 | 54.7 | 41.9 | 49.0 |
| 16. | Manipur | 49.1 | 61.7 | 55.5 | 47.2 | 31.2 | 39.4 | 47.6 | 38.0 | 42.9 |
| 17. | Orissa | 40.9 | 32.7 | 37.2 | 12.1 | 16.6 | 14.2 | 18.1 | 19.8 | 18.9 |
| 18. | Other North Eastern States | 39.8 | 34.1 | 37.2 | 34.1 | 16.4 | 25.5 | 35.8 | 21.5 | 29.0 |
| 19. | Punjab + Chandigarh | 58.5 | 34.6 | 48.1 | 51.7 | 28.9 | 41.3 | 54.5 | 31.2 | 44.1 |
| 20. | Rajasthan | 52.1 | 46.8 | 49.9 | 43.7 | 33.4 | 39.7 | 46.3 | 37.9 | 43.0 |
| 21. | Sikkim | 18.8 | 21.7 | 20.1 | 21.7 | 16.8 | 19.7 | 21.3 | 17.6 | 19.7 |
| 22. | Tamil Nadu + Puducherry | 27.4 | 29.2 | 28.3 | 33.6 | 30.3 | 32.0 | 30.5 | 29.7 | 30.1 |
| 23. | Uttar Pradesh | 45.0 | 37.1 | 41.8 | 25.2 | 25.0 | 25.1 | 29.7 | 27.7 | 28.9 |
| 24. | Uttarakhand | 48.9 | 53.4 | 50.7 | 53.8 | 38.6 | 46.4 | 52.3 | 42.1 | 47.6 |
| 25. | West Bengal + Andaman \& Nicobar Islands | 21.4 | 15.2 | 18.5 | 15.9 | 12.9 | 14.7 | 17.6 | 13.8 | 15.9 |
| All India (BSS 2006) |  | 44.7 | 35.7 | 40.8 | 31.1 | 25.8 | 28.8 | 35.8 | 29.3 | 32.9 |
| All India (BSS 2001) |  | 22.2 | 19.7 | 15.1 | 11.6 | 27.6 | 21.9 | 17.7 | 13.8 | 22.2 |

Base: All respondents aware of HIV/AIDS

## Awareness of HIV/AIDS

Figure 3.6b: Proportion of respondents (15-24 years) with comprehensive correct knowledge about transmission and prevention of HIV/AIDS: Interstate comparison, 2006


Base: All respondents aware of HIV/AIDS

The proportion of respondents (15-24 years) with comprehensive correct knowledge about HIV transmission and prevention has increased significantly from 22 percent in BSS 2001 to 33 percent in BSS 2006. In both the surveys the corresponding percentage was significantly higher among males and in urban areas.

Nearly half of the respondents (15-24 years) in Himachal Pradesh, Maharashtra, Delhi, Uttarakhand and Kerala + Lakshadweep and over two-fifths in Haryana, Goa and Daman \& Diu, Manipur, Rajasthan, Madhya Pradesh and Punjab and Chandigarh have comprehensive correct knowledge about HIV transmission and prevention. The states of West Bengal and Andaman $\mathbb{\&}$ Nicobar Islands (16\%), Orissa (19\%) and Sikkim (20\%) reported lowest awareness in this regard.

Across the two age groups the proportion of respondents with comprehensive correct knowledge about HIV transmission and prevention was relatively higher for 20-24 age group (34\%) compared to
Table 3.9b: Proportion of respondents with comprehensive correct knowledge about HIV transmission and prevention by age, residence


the 15-19 years age group (32\%). Further, for both the age groups the awareness was significantly higher among male and urban respondents (Table 3.9b).

### 3.6 Implications of Findings on Awareness of HIV/AIDS

The study shows that a significant proportion of young males and females in both rural and urban areas were aware of HIV/AIDS. There has been a marginal increase in this regard since BSS 2001. Further, there existed wide inter-state variation in the level of awareness about HIV/AIDS. More efforts are required to augment the level of awareness among young females especially in the rural areas of Bihar, Madhya Pradesh, Uttar Pradesh, Rajasthan, Jharkhand and Chhattisgarh.

The youth appeared to be more familiar with the terminology "AIDS" than "HIV" as 86 and 72 percent of the youth had heard of AIDS and HIV respectively. This aspect needs to be kept in mind while planning communication interventions among youths.

Almost all the respondents aware of HIV/AIDS were also aware of two correct ways of HIV transmission. However, the same level of awareness was not observed in relation to HIV/AIDS prevention measures. Even among the youth who had heard of HIV/AIDS, nearly one-third were not aware of both the methods (consistent condom use and having one uninfected and faithful sexual partner) of HIV prevention. There is a need to augment the awareness levels among young population especially rural females on the various prevention measures of HIV/AIDS.

## Awareness and Prevalence of STDs and their Treatment Seeking Behaviour

Sexually Transmitted Diseases (STDs) are infections people contract through sex with someone who's infected. Some STDs are caused by bacteria and can be cured with antibiotics, and others are caused by viruses. Viral STDs can never be cured - the symptoms, such as sores or warts, can be treated, but the virus remains in the person's body and can cause those symptoms to flare up again at any time.

There are many reasons for the inter-relations between STDs and HIV. Perhaps HIV, with its effect on impairing immunity, alters the frequency, natural history and susceptibility to other STDs. The presence of an STD, in HIV negative partners, increases their susceptibility to HIV during sexual intercourse with an HIV positive partner, while an HIV positive partner is more infective when he/she has an STD.

There is an epidemiologic synergy between both these infections. And prevalence of HIV in a community, and new cases of STDs, may interact so that it ends up with a higher incidence of HIV and a higher prevalence of STDs. Some of the most common STDs are:

- Chlamydia
- Trichomoniasis
- Syphilis
- Gonorrhea
- Genital Herpes
- Genital Warts
- Hepatitis B

Strong STD prevention, testing and treatment can play a vital role in comprehensive programmes to prevent sexual transmission of HIV. Furthermore, STD trends can offer important insights into where the HIV epidemic may grow, making STD surveillance data helpful in forecasting where HIV rates are likely to increase. Better linkages are being fostered between HIV and STD prevention efforts nationwide in order to control both the epidemics.

This chapter highlights awareness of respondents (males and females aged 15 to 24 years) regarding STDs, their symptoms and linkages with HIV/AIDS. The chapter also presents analysis of self-reported STD prevalence among both male and female respondents and treatment seeking behaviour in case of any STD.

### 4.1 Ever Heard of STDs

In order to assess awareness on STDs, spontaneous answers were sought to a close-ended question that read as 'Have you ever heard of any diseases other than HIV/AIDS that can be transmitted through sexual contact?' While administering this question, appropriate local terminologies of 'STD' (like andruni bimariyan in Hindi) were used. The respondents were not given any further descriptions on STDs. The analysis of data generated is presented in Tables 4.1a \& b.

Table 4.1a: Proportion of respondents (15-24 years) who had ever heard of STDs by residence and gender

| (All figures are in percentage) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SI. <br> No. | State/Group of States | 15-24 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  | Total |  |  |
|  |  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 64.1 | 36.3 | 50.8 | 56.6 | 33.1 | 45.1 | 59.0 | 34.1 | 46.9 |
| 2. | Assam | 47.1 | 59.9 | 52.9 | 39.1 | 39.9 | 39.5 | 40.3 | 42.4 | 41.3 |
| 3. | Bihar | 21.9 | 39.4 | 29.4 | 20.0 | 8.6 | 14.6 | 20.3 | 12.1 | 16.4 |
| 4. | Chhattisgarh | 10.5 | 21.2 | 15.8 | 18.8 | 11.5 | 15.2 | 16.7 | 14.0 | 15.3 |
| 5. | Delhi | 39.0 | 43.3 | 40.8 | 30.5 | 46.4 | 36.8 | 38.5 | 43.5 | 40.5 |
| 6. | Goa + Daman \& Diu | 39.6 | 54.4 | 46.4 | 31.3 | 49.5 | 39.2 | 35.5 | 52.1 | 42.9 |
| 7. | Gujarat + Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 79.9 | 85.5 | 82.3 | 62.0 | 62.8 | 62.4 | 70.3 | 71.6 | 70.9 |
| 8. | Haryana | 25.0 | 46.9 | 34.5 | 27.4 | 34.3 | 30.4 | 26.7 | 38.3 | 31.6 |
| 9. | Himachal Pradesh | 53.0 | 44.6 | 49.1 | 38.5 | 42.6 | 40.6 | 40.1 | 42.8 | 41.4 |
| 10. | Jammu \& Kashmir | 14.5 | 8.2 | 11.6 | 9.6 | 7.3 | 8.6 | 10.8 | 7.5 | 9.4 |
| 11. | Jharkhand | 39.4 | 51.9 | 44.8 | 31.2 | 34.6 | 32.7 | 33.6 | 39.5 | 36.2 |
| 12. | Karnataka | 58.6 | 24.3 | 44.1 | 23.3 | 21.5 | 22.4 | 36.9 | 22.4 | 30.2 |
| 13. | Kerala + Lakshadweep | 44.3 | 40.8 | 42.4 | 41.1 | 40.2 | 40.6 | 41.9 | 40.3 | 41.1 |
| 14. | Madhya Pradesh | 18.0 | 25.1 | 21.2 | 6.8 | 10.5 | 8.5 | 10.3 | 15.0 | 12.4 |
| 15. | Maharashtra | 53.8 | 42.3 | 48.9 | 52.9 | 44.9 | 49.2 | 53.3 | 43.8 | 49.0 |
| 16. | Manipur | 47.4 | 48.3 | 47.9 | 32.8 | 29.9 | 31.4 | 35.7 | 33.8 | 34.8 |
| 17. | Orissa | 27.3 | 43.0 | 34.5 | 28.2 | 56.2 | 42.1 | 28.0 | 53.9 | 40.7 |
| 18. | Other North Eastern States | 47.4 | 42.3 | 45.0 | 35.3 | 31.0 | 33.2 | 39.0 | 34.2 | 36.6 |
| 19. | Punjab + Chandigarh | 32.5 | 41.8 | 36.6 | 35.9 | 27.4 | 31.9 | 34.5 | 32.9 | 33.8 |
| 20. | Rajasthan | 44.7 | 29.6 | 37.9 | 41.5 | 23.2 | 33.0 | 42.4 | 25.0 | 34.4 |
| 21. | Sikkim | 54.6 | 52.3 | 53.6 | 26.8 | 26.5 | 26.6 | 30.6 | 30.2 | 30.4 |
| 22. | Tamil Nadu + Puducherry | 30.7 | 21.9 | 26.3 | 25.5 | 17.3 | 21.4 | 28.0 | 19.6 | 23.8 |
| 23. | Uttar Pradesh | 25.7 | 44.9 | 34.0 | 38.2 | 60.2 | 48.4 | 35.5 | 57.2 | 45.3 |
| 24. | Uttarakhand | 11.0 | 43.5 | 24.7 | 26.0 | 36.8 | 31.5 | 21.6 | 38.3 | 29.8 |
| 25. | West Bengal + Andaman \& Nicobar Islands | 20.8 | 22.7 | 21.7 | 14.8 | 16.8 | 15.7 | 16.4 | 18.5 | 17.4 |
| All India (BSS 2006) |  | 41.0 | 38.7 | 40.0 | 33.3 | 34.3 | 33.8 | 35.7 | 35.6 | 35.7 |
| All India (BSS 2001) |  | 30.5 | 30.2 | 30.4 | 25.8 | 27.9 | 26.9 | 28.2 | 29.1 | 28.7 |

Base: All respondents

At the all India level, 36 percent of the respondents in BSS 2006 (against 29\% in BSS 2001) had ever heard of STDs. The proportion was significantly higher among urban respondents (40\%) as compared to rural areas ( $34 \%$ ). A similar proportion of male and female respondents reported that they were aware of STDs. Further, higher proportion of male respondents from urban areas had ever heard of STDs, unlike rural areas where similar proportion of male and female respondents had heard of STDs.
Table 4．1b：Proportion of respondents who had ever heard of STDs by age，residence and gender

|  |  | ì | $\stackrel{\square}{\text { ob }}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\text { ¢ }}$ | $\underset{\text { Nín }}{n}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \bullet \\ \stackrel{\circ}{寸} \\ \stackrel{y}{2} \end{array}$ | $\xrightarrow[\sim]{n}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{m}{n}}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{6}$ | $\stackrel{M}{\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{~N}}}$ | $$ | $\stackrel{T}{\dot{j}}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\underset{\leftarrow}{+}}$ | $\stackrel{\ddots}{\grave{\sim}}$ | ̇ㅗ | $\underset{\text { H }}{\underset{\text { H}}{2}}$ | ஷู่ ஷู่ | Mֻ | $\stackrel{M}{\mathcal{\gamma}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{m}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{2}}$ | ஸి | $\stackrel{\circ}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | ¢ั่ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\stackrel{\bar{\circ}}{\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}}$ | oి | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | 인 | $\stackrel{\text { 合 }}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{\text { H゙ }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bullet \\ & \stackrel{\oplus}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{n}$ | 守 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \text { O} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{ }$ | Bio | ఎి | §o | $\stackrel{\varrho}{\mathrm{O}}$ | ञ | $\stackrel{9}{7}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{0}$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{寸}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\varrho}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{-}$ | 잉 | テ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\mathrm{I}}$ | N |
|  |  | $\Sigma \begin{gathered} 0 \\ \dot{0} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N゙ } \\ & \text { び } \end{aligned}$ | Nì | $\stackrel{n}{\leftrightharpoons}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { i. } \\ & \stackrel{0}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\sim}{n}$ | $0$ | oి | $\begin{aligned} & \bullet \\ & \stackrel{6}{6} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\underset{J}{4}}$ | Oి | $\stackrel{\text { Y }}{\underset{\sim}{2}}$ | $\stackrel{\dot{子}}{\stackrel{\infty}{9}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{I}}$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\infty}$ | $\underset{\underset{\sim}{j}}{ }$ | $\stackrel{0}{\mathrm{~m}}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{N}}$ | $\underset{\mathcal{Y}}{\substack{0}}$ | -্寸 | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{m}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{m}$ | 긍 | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\text { O }}{\text { ¢ }}$ |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \bullet \\ & \underset{子}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | ○ | $\underset{\sim}{n}$ | $\stackrel{o}{寸}$ | $\stackrel{n}{\underset{\sim}{\circ}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & n \\ & \substack{n \\ \hdashline 6} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{i}$ | $\underset{\mathrm{j}}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\prime}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bullet \\ & \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{6} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{9}{0}$ | Oి | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\dot{+}}$ | $\stackrel{-0}{0}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\gtrless}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{m}{\lessgtr}$ | $\stackrel{\underset{子}{7}}{ }$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{-}{\lambda}$ | $\underset{\underset{\sim}{*}}{\underset{\sim}{2}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\text { N }}$ |  | $\stackrel{\star}{-}$ | F |
|  | 空 | $\text { ᄂ } \stackrel{\bullet}{\underset{\sim}{f}}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\dot{~}}}{ }$ | $\underset{7}{9}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\bullet}$ | 픙 | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \dot{G} \end{aligned}$ | - | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \dot{子} \end{aligned}$ | ¢ | ֵֻ | $\underset{\underset{\sim}{i}}{\ddagger}$ | $\stackrel{7}{7}$ | $\stackrel{\underset{\sim}{n}}{ }$ | $\underset{\sim}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\otimes}{\infty}$ |  | m | $\bar{m}$ | $\stackrel{9}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{I}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{F}$ | $\stackrel{0}{6}$ | \％ | $\underset{\sim}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{-}{\text { m }}$ |
|  |  | $\Sigma \stackrel{\circ}{i}$ | $\stackrel{\dot{于}}{ }$ | - | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | 谓 | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{N}{\stackrel{N}{m}} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\dot{\sim}}$ | M | $\underset{\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{M}}}{ }$ | N゙ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\sigma}$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\bullet}$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\text { Wi }}$ | $\stackrel{m}{m}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\underset{\sim}{\dot{m}}}$ | \& | $\dot{\sim}$ | $\underset{\underset{\sim}{*}}{\underset{\sim}{2}}$ | Ni | $\hat{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\stackrel{\circ}{m}}$ | m | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0}$ |
|  |  | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\infty}}{\substack{n}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & \underset{i}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\dot{\sim}}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\underset{\sim}{i}}$ | Oi | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\underset{子}{\underset{子}{9}}$ |  | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\bullet}}{ }$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\text { in }}$ | $\stackrel{m}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ti }}{\text { in }}$ | $\underset{\sim}{n}$ | ্ָণ | 울 | in | $\underset{\underset{\sim}{j}}{\underset{\sim}{2}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bullet \\ & \stackrel{i n}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathbf{~}}$ | $\stackrel{2}{\mathrm{~N}}$ | $\stackrel{\grave{N}}{\dot{N}}$ | M |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 들 } \\ & \text { 监 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bullet \\ & \stackrel{\dot{7}}{ } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\mathrm{N}}{\grave{N}}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\dot{\mathcal{H}}}$ | $\stackrel{0}{\mathrm{~N}}$ | $\stackrel{\text { y }}{\text { ソ }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \infty \\ i \end{gathered}$ | $\infty$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { a } \\ & \underset{\sim}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ň } \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $0$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{0}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\sim}$ |  | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{i}}$ | Ň | $\underset{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\infty}$ | in | กั่ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{M}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{1}{1} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{0}{i}$ | $\dot{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\text { N }}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\text { ¢ }}$ |
|  |  | $\Sigma \underset{\sim}{\lambda}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\text { Nin }}{\text { N }}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\underset{m}{m}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & i \\ & i \end{aligned}$ | ○ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{i}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{N}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & \stackrel{n}{i} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\text { N̈ }}{ }$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{i}$ | స్ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\stackrel{\text { N゙ }}{\text { L゙ }}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{0}{\infty}$ | ジ | $\stackrel{\grave{i}}{\mathbf{i}}$ | 궁 | ふొల | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{N}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{N}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{N}} \end{aligned}$ | － |
|  | 흉 | $\overrightarrow{\underset{\sim}{\mathcal{V}}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{m}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\Psi}$ | $\stackrel{N}{\underset{\sim}{7}}$ | $\stackrel{+}{\mathrm{N}}$ | $\stackrel{0}{\mathrm{~N}}$ | $\stackrel{m}{i}$ | 管 | $\vec{j}$ | $\stackrel{7}{6}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\infty}$ | 게 | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\sim}{\mathrm{m}}}{ }$ | $\stackrel{\odot}{\infty}$ | ت | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\underset{\sim}{f}}{ }$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\underset{\sim}{N}$ | $\stackrel{7}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{N}{\mathrm{~N}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{N}}$ | $\stackrel{\grave{\forall}}{\stackrel{1}{*}}$ | 을 | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\oplus}$ | N |
|  |  | ᄂ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\dot{m}} \end{aligned}$ | ¢ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{I}}}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\mathrm{p}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\bullet}{\dot{G}} \\ & \stackrel{y}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{n}$ | $\underset{\mathrm{m}}{\mathrm{~N}}$ | 궁 | $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\stackrel{\varrho}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{\text { }}$ | $\underset{\sim}{N}$ | ợ | $\underset{\sim}{\infty}$ | $2$ | $\stackrel{i}{i}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{M}}}{ }$ | $\stackrel{n}{\sim}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{i} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\underset{\sim}{i}}$ | $\stackrel{\text { n }}{\stackrel{1}{-}}$ | $\stackrel{9}{9}$ |
|  |  | $\geq \underset{\text { in }}{\text { in }}$ | O. | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $0$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\top}$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\mathrm{N}}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\mathrm{N}}$ | $\stackrel{m}{m}$ | $\stackrel{n}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{m}{m}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{m}{2}}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\substack{\text { on }}}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | N | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\mathrm{N}}$ | $\stackrel{N}{m}$ | $\stackrel{9}{\sim}$ | 소 | $\stackrel{\infty}{\omega}$ | $\stackrel{-\infty}{\cdots}$ | $\stackrel{O}{\underset{\sim}{1}}$ | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{\text { N }}$ |
|  |  | $\underset{\underset{甘}{\dot{H}}}{\underset{\sim}{2}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{m}{2}}$ | $\underset{\underset{\sim}{\lambda}}{ }$ | n | $\stackrel{\star}{\mathrm{N}}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{0}$ | $\stackrel{N}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{m}}$ | $\cdots$ | O. | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{-1}$ | $\stackrel{\uparrow}{6}$ | $\hat{i}$ | $\underset{\sim}{N}$ | ঙ্ণ | $\underset{\sim}{\sim}$ | Ni | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | N゙ | $\stackrel{n}{\sim}$ | -़ | ஸ̀ | $\stackrel{9}{\grave{m}}$ | $\stackrel{1}{\text { n }}$ |
|  |  | $\stackrel{\underset{\sim}{n}}{\stackrel{1}{2}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{m}{2}}$ | กั่ | $\overrightarrow{\mathrm{y}}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{1}{m}}{\text { + }}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \underset{\sim}{i} \\ \underset{m}{2} \end{array}$ | $\underset{0}{0}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{m}{m}$ | 운 | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { - } \\ & \underset{m}{1} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\Gamma}$ | ஷ் | $\underset{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ |  | $\stackrel{\llcorner }{\beth}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{2}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | - | ※゙ | $\stackrel{\sim}{n}$ | $\underset{\sim}{n}$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\text { m }}$ |
|  |  | $\Sigma \begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{0}{\dot{m}}$ | $\dot{\jmath}$ | $\stackrel{m}{\square}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\sim}$ | 毎 |  | $\stackrel{\infty}{\underset{\sim}{i}}$ | Ni | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\cap}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\wedge}{\infty}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{2}}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{\text { Hi }}{\text { in }}$ | $\stackrel{m}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{N}}}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\sim}$ | n | $\stackrel{N}{\mathrm{~m}}$ | $\stackrel{7}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\text { i }}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\underset{\sim}{N}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\grave{X}}$ | ＋ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 들 } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{5} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{Y}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \stackrel{N}{\mathrm{~m}} \end{aligned}$ | Òj | $\hat{o}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | oூ | $\stackrel{Y}{i}$ | $\underset{\sim}{n}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{\sim}}$ | ņ | $\stackrel{ \pm}{\mathbb{j}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\underset{\sim}{\dot{j}}}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{m}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & \dot{q} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\underset{\sim}{N}$ | mi | ～ | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{2}}$ | $\stackrel{m}{i}$ | $\overrightarrow{\mathrm{N}}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\wedge}{\mathrm{a}}$ | ì í | ¢ |
|  |  | $\stackrel{\llcorner }{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{m}{m}$ | $\underset{\underset{\sim}{J}}{\substack{2}}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{p}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bi } \\ & i \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\stackrel{0}{\infty}}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{m}}$ | oे | ñ | $\stackrel{O}{\underset{~}{\mathrm{~J}}}$ | 인 | 푱 | $\underset{\sim}{9}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\stackrel{j}{j}}$ | $\stackrel{\varrho}{\mathrm{m}}$ | $\hat{m}$ | m | $\underset{\sim}{\text { i }}$ | $\stackrel{m}{\sim}$ | $\underset{\sim}{i}$ | $\stackrel{\underset{\sim}{N}}{ }$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{4}}$ | $\stackrel{\text { N. }}{\text { N }}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{N}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\text { ¢ }}$ |
|  |  | $\geq \underset{i}{i}$ | $\stackrel{m}{\sim}$ | $0$ | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{ }$ | $\underset{\sim}{N}$ | $\stackrel{\underset{\sim}{\dot{N}}}{ }$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & \hline 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\stackrel{n}{2}}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\text { ® }}$ | $\underset{\sim}{N}$ | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{\sim}{4} \\ \underset{\sim}{2} \end{gathered}$ | $0$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gi } \\ & \underset{寸}{ } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\mathrm{m}}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\infty}}{\stackrel{\infty}{1}}$ | $\stackrel{6}{\mathbf{j}}$ | $\stackrel{\text { M }}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{N}{m}$ | Ň. | 人̀ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{+}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{0}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\text { ¢ }}$ |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 镹 } \\ & \text { 要 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\bar{O}}}{\bar{\circ}}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 皆 } \\ & \text { तᄌㅜㄹ } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 믄 듣 든 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － | － | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 尨 } \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 5 |  |  |
|  | \％ | － | ～ | $\dot{\sim}$ | ナ | ค่ | $\bigcirc$ | $\cdots$ | $\infty$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | － | บ่ | ற் | ゴ | ก่ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | － | $\infty$ | 9 | $\bigcirc$ | ～ | ～ | $\cdots$ | \＆ | กั |  |

Among states/group of states, proportion of respondents who had ever heard of STDs was highest in Gujarat and Dadra \& Nagar Haveli (71\%), followed by Maharashtra (49\%) and Andhra Pradesh (47\%). The awareness was reported to be lowest in Jammu \& Kashmir (9\%), followed by Madhya Pradesh where 12 percent of the respondents had ever heard of STDs. In the states of Uttarakhand, Uttar Pradesh, Orissa and, Goa and Daman \& Diu significantly higher proportion of females than males were aware of STDs.

Compared to the respondents in the age group of $15-19$ years (32\%), higher proportion of respondents in the age group of 20-24 years (39\%) had ever heard of STDs. Among the respondents aged 15-19 years, the proportion of respondents aware of STDs was similar in urban (34\%) and rural areas (32\%). However, among the respondents in the age group of 20-24 years, the proportion of respondents aware of STDs was higher in urban areas (45\%) as compared to rural areas (36\%).

### 4.2 Awareness of Linkage between STD and HIV/AIDS

Individuals who are infected with STDs are at least two to five times more likely than uninfected individuals to acquire HIV if they are exposed to the virus through sexual contact. In addition, if an HIV-infected individual is also infected with another STD, that person is more likely to transmit HIV through sexual contact than other HIV-infected persons. There is substantial biological evidence demonstrating that the presence of other STDs increases the likelihood of both transmitting and acquiring HIV.

In order to gauge the awareness of respondents about the linkages between STDs and HIV/AIDS, all the respondents reportedly aware of STDs were asked whether a person suffering from STDs had a higher chance of HIV/AIDS exposure. No further illustrations on this issue were given to the respondents and spontaneous responses were recorded. The proportion of respondents who affirmed that a relationship existed between HIV/AIDS and STDs is presented in Table 4.2a \& b.

Table 4.2a: Proportion of respondents (15-24 years) aware of the linkage between STD and HIV/AIDS by residence and gender

| (All figures are in percentage) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SI. <br> No. | State/Group of States | 15-24 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  | Total |  |  |
|  |  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 85.4 | 86.2 | 85.7 | 88.7 | 90.9 | 89.5 | 87.6 | 89.4 | 88.2 |
| 2. | Assam | 45.1 | 35.6 | 40.2 | 52.3 | 38.3 | 45.3 | 51.1 | 37.8 | 44.4 |
| 3. | Bihar | 88.5 | 87.4 | 87.9 | 89.5 | 69.4 | 83.8 | 89.3 | 76.1 | 84.7 |
| 4. | Chhattisgarh | 81.8 | 90.7 | 87.7 | 78.1 | 86.3 | 81.1 | 78.7 | 88.0 | 82.8 |
| 5. | Delhi | 73.0 | 75.5 | 74.1 | 68.6 | 73.4 | 71.0 | 72.8 | 75.4 | 73.9 |
| 6. | Goa + Daman \& Diu | 79.1 | 43.1 | 59.8 | 66.5 | 79.0 | 73.3 | 73.6 | 59.2 | 65.8 |
| 7. | Gujarat + Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 61.7 | 80.1 | 69.9 | 64.2 | 69.5 | 66.9 | 62.9 | 74.4 | 68.4 |
| 8. | Haryana | 77.1 | 61.5 | 67.9 | 80.4 | 69.4 | 75.1 | 79.4 | 66.4 | 72.7 |
| 9. | Himachal Pradesh | 94.4 | 88.3 | 91.8 | 96.0 | 86.8 | 91.1 | 95.8 | 86.9 | 91.2 |
| 10. | Jammu \& Kashmir | 82.1 | 87.1 | 83.7 | 89.1 | 41.7 | 71.2 | 86.8 | 54.6 | 75.2 |
| 11. | Jharkhand | 82.4 | 87.2 | 84.8 | 75.9 | 86.7 | 81.0 | 78.2 | 86.9 | 82.4 |
| 12. | Karnataka | 96.0 | 86.2 | 93.7 | 78.0 | 88.1 | 82.7 | 89.1 | 87.4 | 88.5 |
| 13. | Kerala + Lakshadweep | 19.5 | 16.4 | 17.9 | 27.2 | 28.4 | 27.8 | 25.2 | 25.4 | 25.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (Contd.) |

(Contd.)

| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{SI} . \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | State/Group of States | 15-24 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  | Total |  |  |
|  |  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| 14. | Madhya Pradesh | 82.4 | 72.3 | 77.0 | 58.6 | 79.8 | 70.5 | 71.6 | 75.9 | 73.9 |
| 15. | Maharashtra | 53.3 | 51.7 | 52.7 | 58.2 | 51.4 | 55.3 | 55.8 | 51.6 | 54.1 |
| 16. | Manipur | 66.7 | 79.1 | 73.1 | 84.0 | 64.1 | 74.7 | 79.4 | 68.7 | 74.2 |
| 17. | Orissa | 42.7 | 55.8 | 50.2 | 47.0 | 22.3 | 30.6 | 46.2 | 27.0 | 33.7 |
| 18. | Other North Eastern States | 80.0 | 59.0 | 70.8 | 56.2 | 37.1 | 47.5 | 64.9 | 44.8 | 55.8 |
| 19. | Punjab + Chandigarh | 83.8 | 74.7 | 79.2 | 89.8 | 70.4 | 82.0 | 87.5 | 72.5 | 80.8 |
| 20. | Rajasthan | 88.0 | 69.8 | 81.6 | 85.0 | 65.3 | 78.6 | 85.9 | 66.8 | 79.5 |
| 21. | Sikkim | 79.0 | 74.9 | 77.2 | 73.8 | 85.6 | 78.8 | 75.1 | 82.9 | 78.4 |
| 22. | Tamil Nadu + Puducherry | 85.4 | 87.0 | 86.1 | 85.5 | 85.9 | 85.7 | 85.5 | 86.6 | 85.9 |
| 23. | Uttar Pradesh | 83.4 | 70.0 | 75.8 | 79.1 | 53.6 | 64.4 | 79.8 | 56.1 | 66.2 |
| 24. | Uttarakhand | 82.9 | 68.2 | 72.0 | 80.5 | 65.0 | 71.2 | 80.8 | 65.8 | 71.4 |
| 25. | West Bengal + Andaman \& Nicobar Islands | 86.5 | 75.3 | 81.0 | 68.2 | 50.3 | 59.3 | 74.5 | 58.9 | 66.8 |
| All India (BSS 2006) |  | 72.9 | 70.8 | 71.9 | 73.3 | 58.6 | 66.2 | 73.1 | 62.5 | 68.2 |

Base:Those who are aware of STDs

## Awareness of Sexually Transmitted Diseases

Figure 4.1: Proportion of respondents (15-24 years) aware of the linkage between STD and HIV/AIDS by residence and gender: 2006


[^6]Nearly two-thirds (68\%) of the respondents aware of STDs, knew that there is a linkage between STDs and HIV/AIDS. The proportion was considerably higher among males ( $73 \%$ ) as compared to females (63\%). Also, the awareness was observed to be slightly higher in urban areas (72\%) as compared to rural areas (66\%).

As regards the state-wise analysis, the highest level of awareness with respect to linkage between STDs and HIV/AIDS was observed in Himachal Pradesh (91\%) followed by Karnataka (89\%) Andhra Pradesh (88\%), and Tamil Nadu \& Puducherry (86\%). The proportion was observed to be lowest in Kerala \& Lakshadweep (25\%), Orissa (34\%) and Assam (44\%).

The awareness of linkages between STDs and HIV/ AIDS did not vary much across the respondents aged 20-24 years and 15-19 years. Across both age groups, the awareness was higher among males as compared to females and urban areas compared to rural areas.
Table 4.2b: Proportion of respondents aware of the linkage between STD and HIV/AIDS by age, residence and gender


[^7]
### 4.3 Self-reported STD Prevalence

In order to gauge the prevalence of STDs among the respondents, both male and female respondents were asked whether they had experienced the symptoms of abnormal genital discharge or ulcer/sore in genital area in the last 12 months. The questions asked were:

- Have you had a thick yellowish/greenish discharge with foul smell from your penis/vagina in the last 12 months?
- Have you had an ulcer or sore in your genital area in the last 12 months?

The analysis of the data on self-reported STD prevalence is presented in Table 4.3a \& b. The results must be interpreted with great caution, as they are based on self-reporting by respondents. There are enough possibilities of "under reporting", "misreporting" and "over reporting" as well. Most STDs among women are asymptomatic and the data here represents only the symptomatic STDs reported.

Table 4.3a: Proportion of respondents (15-24 years) who reported any STD symptom (self-reported prevalence) in last 12 months by residence and gender
(All figures are in percentage)

| SI. <br> No. | State/Group of States | 15-24 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  | Total |  |  |
|  |  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 1.5 | 2.7 | 2.1 | 15.2 | 5.2 | 10.3 | 10.8 | 4.5 | 7.7 |
| 2. | Assam | 3.3 | 1.7 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 2.0 | 2.4 | 2.9 | 1.9 | 2.4 |
| 3. | Bihar | 1.7 | 5.2 | 3.2 | 0.7 | 3.1 | 1.8 | 0.8 | 3.3 | 2.0 |
| 4. | Chhattisgarh | 1.6 | 3.3 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 3.3 | 2.9 | 2.2 | 3.3 | 2.8 |
| 5. | Delhi | 2.4 | 7.0 | 4.3 | 4.1 | 12.1 | 7.3 | 2.5 | 7.3 | 4.5 |
| 6. | Goa + Daman \& Diu | 3.1 | 9.4 | 6.0 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 6.5 | 4.6 |
| 7. | Gujarat + Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 1.4 | 8.5 | 4.4 | 3.0 | 8.3 | 5.7 | 2.2 | 8.4 | 5.1 |
| 8. | Haryana | 2.6 | 8.6 | 5.2 | 3.6 | 20.3 | 10.7 | 3.3 | 16.6 | 9.0 |
| 9. | Himachal Pradesh | 0.4 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 2.1 |
| 10. | Jammu \& Kashmir | 3.2 | 5.8 | 4.4 | 4.9 | 7.0 | 5.8 | 4.4 | 6.7 | 5.4 |
| 11. | Jharkhand | 4.1 | 7.1 | 5.4 | 5.0 | 4.6 | 4.8 | 4.7 | 5.3 | 5.0 |
| 12. | Karnataka | 0.3 | 1.6 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 1.5 | 0.9 |
| 13. | Kerala + Lakshadweep | 8.4 | 4.9 | 6.6 | 10.5 | 7.7 | 9.0 | 10.0 | 7.0 | 8.4 |
| 14. | Madhya Pradesh | 4.5 | 8.6 | 6.3 | 4.1 | 11.1 | 7.3 | 4.2 | 10.3 | 7.0 |
| 15. | Maharashtra | 4.2 | 3.3 | 3.8 | 2.0 | 5.5 | 3.7 | 3.1 | 4.5 | 3.7 |
| 16. | Manipur | 0.7 | 2.4 | 1.6 | 6.5 | 7.4 | 6.9 | 5.3 | 6.3 | 5.8 |
| 17. | Orissa | 6.7 | 14.6 | 10.3 | 6.2 | 15.1 | 10.6 | 6.3 | 15.0 | 10.5 |
| 18. | Other North Eastern States | 6.6 | 6.4 | 6.5 | 3.2 | 5.3 | 4.3 | 4.2 | 5.6 | 4.9 |
| 19. | Punjab + Chandigarh | 5.7 | 10.8 | 8.0 | 5.6 | 7.1 | 6.3 | 5.7 | 8.5 | 7.0 |
| 20. | Rajasthan | 3.2 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 2.9 |
| 21. | Sikkim | 3.9 | 3.6 | 3.8 | 2.2 | 1.0 | 1.7 | 2.4 | 1.4 | 2.0 |

(Contd.)
(Contd.)

| SI. | State/Group of States |  |  |  |  | -24 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Urbar |  |  | Rura |  |  | Tota |  |
|  |  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| 22. | Tamil Nadu + Puducherry | 2.8 | 1.4 | 2.1 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 2.0 | 1.2 | 1.6 |
| 23. | Uttar Pradesh | 1.4 | 3.6 | 2.3 | 2.6 | 8.1 | 5.2 | 2.3 | 7.2 | 4.6 |
| 24. | Uttarakhand | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.0 | 1.4 |
| 25. | West Bengal + Andaman \& Nicobar Islands | 6.6 | 2.9 | 4.9 | 2.7 | 4.3 | 3.5 | 3.8 | 3.9 | 3.8 |
| All India-(BSS 2006) |  | 3.1 | 4.6 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 6.1 | 4.9 | 3.5 | 5.7 | 4.5 |
| All India-(BSS 2001) |  | 2.2 | 4.2 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 4.9 | 4.1 | 2.7 | 4.6 | 3.6 |

Base: All respondents

## Prevalence of Sexually Transmitted Diseases

Figure 4.2: Proportion of respondents (15-24 years) who reported any STD symptom in last 12 months by residence and gender


Base: All respondents

Overall, five percent of the respondents in Youth BSS 2006 (4\% in BSS 2001) reported any STD symptom (self-reported prevalence) in last 12 months. It was observed that higher proportion of females (6\%) reported any STD symptom as compared to males (4\%). Further, STD prevalence was observed to be marginally higher in rural areas (5\%) than urban areas (4\%).

Among the states/group of states, STD prevalence was highest in Orissa (11\%), followed by Haryana (9\%), Andhra Pradesh and Kerala and Lakshadweep (8\%). The prevalence was reported to be lowest in the states of Karnataka, Uttarakhand (1\%), followed by Assam, Bihar, Himachal Pradesh, Sikkim and Tamil Nadu \& Puducherry where only two percent of the respondents reported any STD symptom in last 12 months.


Across the age groups, higher proportion of respondents aged 20-24 years (6\%) reported any STD symptom in last 12 months as compared to respondents in the age group of 15-19 years (3\%). Across both age groups, higher proportion of respondents from the rural areas reported any STD symptom (self-reported prevalence) as compared to urban areas. However, across both age groups and place of residence, higher proportion of females as compared to males reported any STD symptom (self-reported prevalence) in last 12 months.

### 4.4 STD Treatment Seeking Behaviour

Health seeking behaviour for STD patient is an important factor in control of STDs including HIV. The treatment seeking behaviour with regard to last episode of STDs was captured in the form of type of treatment sought by those who had reported to have experienced either or both the STD symptoms (genital discharge and genital sore/ulcer) during last 12 months. Further, the preferred source of treatment for any STD problem in the future was ascertained from all the respondents.

### 4.4.1 Sought Treatment from an Institutional Provider during Last STD Episode

All the respondents who reported any STD symptom in last 12 months were further asked to report the STD treatment sought by them during last episode of STDs. Table $4.4 \mathrm{a} \& \mathrm{~b}$ present the proportion of respondents who reportedly suffered from any of the specific STD symptoms and visited any health facility (either government facility or private facility or both) during last episode in the last 12 months. Since the number of such respondents was relatively less and varied considerably from state to state, the data must be interpreted with caution.

At the national level, 48 percent of the respondents reported that they visited any health institution during last episode of any STD symptom. The proportion was higher among male respondents at 55 percent as compared to females (43\%). A similar trend was observed among respondents from rural areas i.e. higher proportion of males as compared to females reported seeking treatment from any health facility during last episode. Whereas, in urban areas the trend was observed to be reverse as compared to rural areas.

Significant variation was observed across different states/group of states with a highest proportion being reported in Tamil Nadu \& Puducherry (85\%) closely followed by Maharashtra ( $83 \%$ ). The proportion was observed to be lowest in Chhattisgarh (19\%), Kerala \& Lakshadweep (23\%) and Orissa (26\%).

Among the respondents aged 15-19 years, the proportion of respondents who sought treatment from any health facility was higher in urban areas (46\%) as compared to rural areas (40\%). Similar trend was also observed for the age group of 20-24 years (rural - 47\%, urban - 65\%). Overall, it was observed that proportion of respondents who reported visiting a health facility during last episode of STD was slightly higher among respondents aged $20-24$ years ( $52 \%$ ) as compared to respondents aged $15-19$ years ( $41 \%$ ).

### 4.4.2 Source of Treatment during Last Episode of STD

Table 4.5 presents the proportion of respondents who reportedly suffered from any of the specific STD symptoms during the last 12 months by sources availed for treatment during last episode. The most commonly mentioned sources of treatment during last episode of STDs was reported to be Private clinic/hospital (32\%), followed by govt. clinic/hospital (23\%) and home based treatment ( $22 \%$ ). Over one-fifth of the respondents who suffered from STDs during last 12 months had not sought any treatment and around one-tenths borrowed prescriptions from friends/relatives for treatment of STDs. Since the number of such respondents was relatively less and varied considerably from state to state, the data must be interpreted with caution.

Table 4.4 a: Proportion of respondents (15-24 years) who sought treatment from an institutional health facility during last episode of STD by residence and gender

| (All figures are in percentage) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SI. <br> No. | State/Group of States | 15-24 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  | Total |  |  |
|  |  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 62.2 | 16.9 | 34.1 | 82.4 | 22.4 | 67.4 | 81.5 | 21.4 | 64.6 |
| 2. | Assam | 49.3 | 55.1 | 51.0 | 47.7 | 38.4 | 43.9 | 48.0 | 40.3 | 45.0 |
| 3. | Bihar | 33.3 | 57.9 | 50.5 | 89.3 | 40.6 | 50.1 | 73.7 | 43.7 | 50.2 |
| 4. | Chhattisgarh | 48.1 | 51.7 | 50.5 | 18.1 | 4.1 | 10.2 | 23.5 | 16.2 | 19.1 |
| 5. | Delhi | 48.9 | 54.3 | 52.5 | 44.8 | 50.8 | 48.8 | 48.5 | 53.9 | 52.1 |
| 6. | Goa + Daman \& Diu | 46.5 | 37.4 | 39.9 | 40.0 | 100.0 | 67.1 | 43.3 | 52.0 | 48.8 |
| 7. | Gujarat + Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 49.7 | 50.4 | 50.3 | 24.3 | 45.4 | 39.9 | 31.4 | 47.4 | 43.7 |
| 8. | Haryana | 36.5 | 51.3 | 47.1 | 76.1 | 49.8 | 54.8 | 66.3 | 50.0 | 53.4 |
| 9. | Himachal Pradesh | 100.0 | 37.2 | 52.4 | 0.0 | 39.5 | 39.5 | 100.0 | 39.5 | 40.1 |
| 10. | Jammu \& Kashmir | 42.8 | 28.3 | 34.0 | 41.0 | 40.0 | 40.4 | 41.3 | 37.3 | 39.1 |
| 11. | Jharkhand | 78.3 | 71.6 | 74.5 | 23.6 | 34.3 | 28.2 | 37.8 | 48.3 | 42.8 |
| 12. | Karnataka | 6.8 | 92.3 | 75.6 | 82.1 | 69.1 | 72.1 | 59.5 | 77.1 | 73.3 |
| 13. | Kerala + Lakshadweep | 19.6 | 13.4 | 17.2 | 26.1 | 21.7 | 24.1 | 24.7 | 20.3 | 22.8 |
| 14. | Madhya Pradesh | 63.6 | 54.7 | 58.1 | 44.8 | 31.5 | 35.6 | 51.0 | 37.5 | 41.9 |
| 15. | Maharashtra | 64.1 | 92.6 | 74.7 | 88.5 | 91.4 | 90.6 | 72.4 | 91.8 | 83.1 |
| 16. | Manipur | 85.9 | 71.8 | 74.8 | 49.1 | 35.1 | 41.8 | 50.1 | 38.1 | 43.6 |
| 17. | Orissa | 48.3 | 62.8 | 57.7 | 23.5 | 16.9 | 18.8 | 28.7 | 24.8 | 26.0 |
| 18. | Other North Eastern States | 23.3 | 58.2 | 39.5 | 29.0 | 43.7 | 38.0 | 26.3 | 48.4 | 38.6 |
| 19. | Punjab + Chandigarh | 65.3 | 80.1 | 74.1 | 65.3 | 82.2 | 74.2 | 65.3 | 81.2 | 74.1 |
| 20. | Rajasthan | 59.5 | 42.8 | 51.8 | 63.2 | 70.9 | 66.7 | 62.0 | 61.8 | 61.9 |
| 21. | Sikkim | 72.0 | 72.6 | 72.3 | 57.7 | 42.0 | 53.7 | 60.8 | 53.4 | 58.6 |
| 22. | Tamil Nadu + Puducherry | 92.9 | 92.5 | 92.8 | 74.9 | 64.3 | 70.6 | 87.0 | 81.1 | 84.9 |
| 23. | Uttar Pradesh | 41.1 | 69.1 | 59.5 | 36.3 | 30.0 | 31.7 | 36.9 | 33.8 | 34.7 |
| 24. | Uttarakhand | 43.6 | 85.6 | 58.8 | 81.7 | 22.2 | 60.0 | 75.7 | 32.0 | 59.8 |
| 25. | West Bengal + Andaman \& Nicobar Islands | 51.7 | 51.3 | 51.6 | 40.6 | 3.8 | 19.5 | 45.9 | 13.8 | 30.7 |
| All India |  | 57.0 | 60.4 | 58.9 | 54.8 | 36.8 | 44.1 | 55.4 | 42.5 | 47.9 |

Base: All respondents who reported any STD symptom in last 12 months

Table 4.4b: Proportion of respondents who sought treatment from an institutional health facility during last episode of STD by age, residence


Table 4.5: Proportion of respondents (15-24 years) by source of treatment during the last episode of STD
(All figures are in percentage)

|  | State/Group of States | Source of treatment in the last episode of STD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. |  | Home based treatment | Traditional healer/ quack | Trained village health worker | Private clinic/ hospital | Govt. clinic/ hospital | Medicine at home | Bought medicine form a medical store | No treatment | Borrowed prescription from friend/ relative |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 55.3 | 0.6 | 1.6 | 44.3 | 34.7 | 2.4 | 13.0 | 2.2 | 8.8 |
| 2. | Assam | 31.9 | 11.3 | 4.4 | 22.8 | 22.5 | 1.1 | 11.6 | 3.8 | 0.7 |
| 3. | Bihar | 13.1 | 0.3 | 1.3 | 24.7 | 31.1 | 2.1 | 13.2 | 11.0 | 8.1 |
| 4. | Chhattisgarh | 37.1 | 5.5 | 1.1 | 11.4 | 9.3 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 34.4 | 0.0 |
| 5. | Delhi | 17.7 | 0.2 | 1.6 | 39.3 | 15.5 | 6.2 | 24.6 | 9.5 | 2.1 |
| 6. | Goa + Daman \& Diu | 35.5 | 4.6 | 0.0 | 42.9 | 6.6 | 6.9 | 10.8 | 10.8 | 3.1 |
| 7. | Gujarat + Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 5.1 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 27.6 | 17.4 | 3.1 | 4.7 | 40.1 | 0.0 |
| 8. | Haryana | 16.9 | 9.9 | 0.5 | 35.3 | 21.9 | 0.9 | 5.5 | 25.4 | 0.6 |
| 9. | Himachal Pradesh | 6.7 | 16.4 | 0.0 | 19.2 | 20.9 | 2.9 | 3.6 | 30.4 | 0.0 |
| 10. | Jammu \& Kashmir | 20.4 | 0.6 | 1.6 | 24.6 | 20.8 | 14.4 | 21.2 | 23.1 | 0.8 |
| 11. | Jharkhand | 27.5 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 30.5 | 13.9 | 3.9 | 23.8 | 5.0 | 4.2 |
| 12. | Karnataka | 3.5 | 11.1 | 14.9 | 54.5 | 27.3 | 6.1 | 11.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 13. | Kerala + Lakshadweep | 9.7 | 5.1 | 0.1 | 16.2 | 6.9 | 6.7 | 13.1 | 46.2 | 2.8 |
| 14. | Madhya Pradesh | 23.1 | 4.3 | 2.4 | 25.8 | 18.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 32.3 | 0.0 |
| 15. | Maharashtra | 30.0 | 2.3 | 24.6 | 68.1 | 62.7 | 3.0 | 14.0 | 0.0 | 5.6 |
| 16. | Manipur | 34.9 | 16.4 | 16.5 | 25.5 | 23.6 | 15.9 | 13.8 | 21.0 | 2.7 |
| 17. | Orissa | 14.1 | 8.1 | 1.1 | 10.5 | 16.1 | 9.2 | 10.8 | 31.5 | 0.6 |
| 18. | Other North Eastern States | 5.6 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 19.9 | 21.8 | 5.0 | 14.5 | 38.0 | 0.5 |
| 19. | Punjab + Chandigarh | 8.1 | 5.4 | 0.4 | 52.6 | 23.5 | 2.2 | 8.5 | 8.7 | 0.6 |
| 20. | Rajasthan | 31.4 | 2.9 | 1.8 | 37.5 | 26.7 | 1.3 | 14.2 | 7.3 | 4.7 |
| 21. | Sikkim | 5.3 | 2.1 | 1.3 | 22.0 | 41.7 | 2.1 | 16.6 | 13.3 | 3.1 |
| 22. | Tamil Nadu + Puducherry | 1.1 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 60.0 | 30.4 | 0.0 | 6.9 | 0.4 | 1.6 |
| 23. | Uttar Pradesh | 16.2 | 3.0 | 8.4 | 21.9 | 16.6 | 0.8 | 15.0 | 31.7 | 0.3 |
| 24. | Uttarakhand | 5.3 | 8.2 | 0.0 | 40.6 | 24.4 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 16.4 | 0.0 |
| 25. | West Bengal + Andaman \& Nicobar Islands | 23.4 | 8.0 | 3.2 | 22.0 | 8.8 | 1.6 | 4.4 | 29.2 | 0.0 |
| All | ndia | 22.3 | 3.8 | 4.8 | 31.7 | 23.1 | 2.9 | 10.7 | 21.6 | 2.4 |

Base: All respondents who reported any STD symptom in last 12 months

### 4.4.3 Preferred Source of Treatment for Future Episode of STD

All the respondents were also asked where they would prefer to seek treatment in case they get any STD symptom in the future. The analysis presented in Table 4.6 indicates a definite preference for Government facility ( $60 \%$ ) for STD treatment. Preference for private dispensary/ nursing home/private doctors was reported by 36 percent of the respondents at the national level. Compared to around 70 to 90 percent of the respondents in Orissa (68\%), Rajasthan (72\%), Maharashtra (73\%), Chhattisgarh (75\%) and Himachal Pradesh (90\%), less than half of the respondents in Goa and Daman \& Diu (37\%), Delhi (44\%), Punjab and Chandigarh (46\%),

Table 4.6: Proportion of respondents (15-24 years) by preferred source of treatment for future episode of STD
(All figures are in percentage)

| SI. <br> No. | State/Group of States | Source of treatment for future episode of STD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Govt. hospital/ dispensary/ PHC/Govt. doctors | Pvt. dispensary/ nursing home/ private doctors | Vaidya/ <br> Hakim/ Homeopath | Faith healers/ quacks | NGO clinics/ trust hospitals | Home remedy | Others |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 58.1 | 40.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.2 |
| 2. | Assam | 67.3 | 27.7 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 2.2 | 0.9 |
| 3. | Bihar | 50.2 | 48.7 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| 4. | Chhattisgarh | 75.2 | 23.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 0.2 |
| 5. | Delhi | 43.5 | 55.3 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.1 |
| 6. | Goa + Daman \& Diu | 36.5 | 59.9 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 1.7 | 0.7 |
| 7. | Gujarat + Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 57.0 | 40.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 1.8 |
| 8. | Haryana | 49.4 | 46.0 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| 9. | Himachal Pradesh | 89.6 | 10.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| 10. | Jammu \& Kashmir | 67.2 | 29.5 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| 11. | Jharkhand | 48.1 | 46.8 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 1.6 | 2.4 | 0.2 |
| 12. | Karnataka | 63.2 | 32.7 | 0.1 |  | 0.8 | 0.0 | 3.2 |
| 13. | Kerala + Lakshadweep | 49.1 | 44.9 | 3.6 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.7 |
| 14. | Madhya Pradesh | 54.2 | 42.3 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 1.2 |
| 15. | Maharashtra | 72.8 | 25.7 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1.2 |
| 16. | Manipur | 60.1 | 25.8 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 9.6 | 2.2 | 1.0 |
| 17. | Orissa | 68.3 | 21.4 | 6.5 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 1.6 | 1.9 |
| 18. | Other North Eastern States | 56.6 | 42.0 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| 19. | Punjab + Chandigarh | 45.7 | 51.1 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 2.7 | 0.0 |
| 20. | Rajasthan | 71.8 | 27.1 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| 21. | Sikkim | 66.5 | 30.5 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 1.7 |
| 22. | Tamil Nadu + Puducherry | 53.5 | 45.7 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| 23. | Uttar Pradesh | 59.5 | 36.0 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 2.4 |
| 24. | Uttarakhand | 67.5 | 30.2 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 1.0 |
| 25. | West Bengal + Andaman \& Nicobar Islands | 56.3 | 38.8 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 2.7 |
| All India |  | 60.3 | 36.4 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 1.3 |

Base: Aware of STDs

Jharkhand (48\%), Kerala and Lakshadweep (49\%) and Haryana (49\%) preferred Government facilities for treatment of future episodes of STDs. The preference for treatment from private health facilities was expressed by highest proportion of respondents in Goa and Daman \& Diu (60\%), Delhi (55\%) and Punjab and Chandigarh (51\%) and lowest proportion of respondents in Himachal Pradesh (10\%), Orissa (21\%) and Chhattisgarh (23\%).

### 4.5 Implications of Findings on Awareness of STDs and their Treatment Seeking Behaviour

The awareness about STDs has significantly increased from 29 percent in BSS 2001 to 36 percent in BSS 2006. However, compared to the awareness of HIV/AIDS, the awareness regarding STDs was significantly lower among the young population. This indicates the need for promoting awareness about STDs among the general population especially the youths.

Among the respondents aware of STDs, nearly one-third were not aware of linkage between STDs and HIV/AIDS. More attention needs to be given under HIV/AIDS awareness generation programme to promote awareness among young population regarding this aspect.

Overall, five percent of the youths in BSS 2006 reported any STD symptom in last 12 months. Higher proportion of females than males reported any STD symptom although significantly higher proportion of males compared to females reported indulgence in non-regular sex in the last 12 months. The results must be interpreted with great caution, as they are based on self-reporting by respondents. Further, it is more likely that many females may tend to report reproductive tract infections (RTI) as an STD because of the presence of a discharge in both the conditions and the inability of the women to differentiate genital discharge from vaginal discharge. Again, there are enough possibilities of "under reporting" of STDs as most STDs are asymptomatic and the data here represents only the symptomatic STDs.

Over half of the respondents reporting prevalence of STDs during the last one year had not availed medical treatment from any health facility. Looking at the adverse implications of STDs on HIV/AIDS, more attention needs to be given for promoting awareness on various options available for proper treatment of STDs.

## Awareness of Condoms

Among the probable source of HIV transmission in our country, heterosexual promiscuity constitutes the major route. The most successful and practical way to prevent the transmission is the use of condoms according to experience from all over the world and in India. Condoms are not only affordable but also user friendly and an effective possible way to keep the disease away. While the use of condom is easy, making a programme to cover the whole country needs careful planning on certain issues. Considering the above issues, National AIDS Control Organisation (NACO) has prepared a comprehensive Condom Promotion Programme by outlining clear strategies in the National AIDS Prevention and Control policy.

At the intervention level, condom programming is central to HIV/AIDS prevention. In the earlier days, condom had been promoted largely as a family planning device. With the advent of HIV/AIDS, condoms are being promoted for their triple benefit as a family planning device as well as a protection mechanism against STD/HIV/AIDS. Side by side, efforts are also to be made for generating more demand for condoms among people from all sections of society and at the same time, strengthening the supply. In view of the above, this chapter deals with information on awareness of condom, its availability and accessibility to the nearest source.

### 5.1 Awareness about Condom

All the respondents were shown a picture of condom and were asked whether they have ever heard of or seen the same. The responses have been tabulated in Table 5.1a \& b.

Table 5.1a: Proportion of respondents (15-24 years) who were aware of condoms by residence and gender
(All figures are in percentage)

| SI. No. | State/Group of States | 15-24 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  | Total |  |  |
|  |  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 99.5 | 85.2 | 92.7 | 95.0 | 69.6 | 82.5 | 96.5 | 74.4 | 85.7 |
| 2. | Assam | 97.5 | 90.0 | 94.1 | 94.3 | 87.1 | 90.7 | 94.8 | 87.5 | 91.2 |
| 3. | Bihar | 88.6 | 62.1 | 77.3 | 74.4 | 42.9 | 59.4 | 76.3 | 45.1 | 61.6 |
| 4. | Chhattisgarh | 94.8 | 76.0 | 85.6 | 81.8 | 57.7 | 70.0 | 85.2 | 62.4 | 74.0 |
| 5. | Delhi | 97.2 | 91.0 | 94.7 | 96.6 | 86.7 | 92.7 | 97.1 | 90.8 | 94.5 |
| 6. | Goa + Daman \& Diu | 97.1 | 92.0 | 94.8 | 92.7 | 82.4 | 88.3 | 94.9 | 87.5 | 91.6 |
| 7. | Gujarat + Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 98.7 | 85.9 | 93.2 | 86.7 | 75.4 | 81.0 | 92.2 | 79.5 | 86.2 |
| 8. | Haryana | 96.2 | 90.3 | 93.6 | 96.9 | 88.1 | 93.1 | 96.7 | 88.8 | 93.3 |
| 9. | Himachal Pradesh | 99.6 | 93.9 | 97.0 | 97.4 | 94.8 | 96.1 | 97.6 | 94.7 | 96.2 |
| 10. | Jammu \& Kashmir | 96.6 | 72.5 | 85.6 | 86.6 | 52.7 | 71.5 | 89.1 | 57.8 | 75.1 |

## (Contd.)

| SI. | State/Group of States |  |  |  |  | -24 y |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. |  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  | Total |  |
|  |  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| 11. | Jharkhand | 93.3 | 84.2 | 89.3 | 88.7 | 67.9 | 79.4 | 90.1 | 72.6 | 82.3 |
| 12. | Karnataka | 90.9 | 50.2 | 73.6 | 76.5 | 46.3 | 61.9 | 82.1 | 47.6 | 66.1 |
| 13. | Kerala + Lakshadweep | 97.6 | 93.5 | 95.5 | 97.7 | 93.5 | 95.5 | 97.7 | 93.5 | 95.5 |
| 14. | Madhya Pradesh | 96.6 | 81.9 | 89.9 | 83.9 | 59.0 | 72.6 | 87.9 | 66.2 | 78.0 |
| 15. | Maharashtra | 94.1 | 85.5 | 90.4 | 95.6 | 84.5 | 90.4 | 94.9 | 84.9 | 90.4 |
| 16. | Manipur | 96.0 | 94.1 | 95.0 | 91.0 | 83.8 | 87.5 | 92.0 | 86.0 | 89.0 |
| 17. | Orissa | 99.5 | 88.5 | 94.5 | 92.5 | 72.3 | 82.5 | 93.9 | 75.1 | 84.7 |
| 18. | Other North Eastern States | 97.0 | 90.9 | 94.2 | 90.2 | 94.0 | 92.1 | 92.2 | 93.1 | 92.7 |
| 19. | Punjab + Chandigarh | 96.6 | 90.1 | 93.7 | 96.4 | 87.3 | 92.1 | 96.5 | 88.4 | 92.8 |
| 20. | Rajasthan | 96.1 | 87.1 | 92.1 | 93.0 | 82.8 | 88.3 | 93.9 | 84.0 | 89.3 |
| 21. | Sikkim | 94.8 | 91.6 | 93.4 | 87.5 | 83.9 | 86.0 | 88.5 | 85.0 | 87.0 |
| 22. | Tamil Nadu + Puducherry | 93.6 | 76.9 | 85.3 | 92.0 | 79.6 | 85.8 | 92.8 | 78.3 | 85.6 |
| 23. | Uttar Pradesh | 97.1 | 84.2 | 91.5 | 94.8 | 78.2 | 87.1 | 95.3 | 79.4 | 88.1 |
| 24. | Uttarakhand | 97.6 | 95.6 | 96.8 | 95.7 | 87.5 | 91.5 | 96.3 | 89.4 | 92.9 |
| 25. | West Bengal + Andaman \& Nicobar Islands | 95.9 | 88.6 | 92.5 | 83.5 | 78.4 | 81.1 | 86.9 | 81.3 | 84.3 |
| All India (BSS 2006) |  | 95.7 | 82.6 | 89.8 | 89.4 | 72.8 | 81.5 | 91.4 | 75.7 | 84.1 |
| All India (BSS 2001) |  | 94.1 | 82.4 | 88.4 | 86.1 | 68.3 | 77.0 | 90.2 | 75.2 | 82.7 |

Base: All respondents

At the all India level, more than four-fifths (84\%) of the respondents in BSS 2006 were aware of condoms. The corresponding proportion was 83 percent in BSS 2001.

The proportion of respondents aware of condoms was significantly higher among males at 91 percent as compared to females (76\%). Awareness of condoms was slightly higher in the urban areas (90\%) as compared to the rural areas (82\%). Within both urban and rural areas, higher proportions of males were aware of condoms than females.

Among states/group of states, the highest level of awareness about condoms was observed in Himachal Pradesh, Kerala and Lakshadweep (96\%) followed by Delhi (95\%). The proportion was observed to be lowest in Bihar (62\%), followed by Karnataka (66\%) and Chhattisgarh (74\%).

Among the respondents aged 15-19 years, the proportion of respondents aware of condoms was higher in urban areas (85\%) as compared to rural areas (77\%). The trend was similar among the respondents in the age group of 20-24 years (urban $94 \%$, rural $86 \%$ ). Across both the age groups, significantly higher proportion of males were aware of condoms as compared to females. Overall, it was observed that the awareness of condoms was significantly higher among respondents aged 20-24 (88\%) years as compared to respondents aged 15-19 years (80\%).
Table 5.1b: Proportion of respondents who were aware of condoms by age, residence and gender
(All figures are in percentage)
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### 5.2 Awareness about Use of Condom for HIV/AIDS Prevention

The objective of condom promotion intervention is to sensitise people for using condoms not only for the sake of family planning but also as the best preventive step against HIV and STDs.

In order to assess how far the youths are aware of the usage of condom to prevent HIV/AIDS transmission, spontaneous answers were sought from all the respondents aware of condoms to a question that read as 'For what purposes can a condom be used?' The analysis of the responses is presented in Table 5.2a\& b. These tables present the proportion of respondents who stated that condoms can be used for prevention of HIV/AIDS.

Table 5.2a: Proportion of respondents (15-24 years) who were aware of condom use for HIV/AIDS prevention by residence and gender
(All figures are in percentage)

| SI. No. | State/Group of States | 15-24 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  | Total |  |  |
|  |  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 97.1 | 97.5 | 97.3 | 83.5 | 85.8 | 84.5 | 88.0 | 90.0 | 88.8 |
| 2. | Assam | 85.9 | 76.1 | 81.7 | 79.7 | 57.6 | 69.2 | 80.7 | 60.0 | 71.0 |
| 3. | Bihar | 89.6 | 78.9 | 85.9 | 80.1 | 48.6 | 69.3 | 81.6 | 53.4 | 71.9 |
| 4. | Chhattisgarh | 90.3 | 83.0 | 87.2 | 76.6 | 86.3 | 80.5 | 80.5 | 85.3 | 82.5 |
| 5. | Delhi | 96.3 | 86.4 | 92.5 | 94.9 | 85.9 | 91.6 | 96.2 | 86.4 | 92.4 |
| 6. | Goa + Daman \& Diu | 93.4 | 79.3 | 87.1 | 93.3 | 88.6 | 91.4 | 93.4 | 83.4 | 89.1 |
| 7. | Gujarat + Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 97.2 | 93.4 | 95.7 | 94.8 | 75.9 | 85.9 | 96.0 | 83.2 | 90.4 |
| 8. | Haryana | 94.5 | 76.0 | 86.8 | 95.4 | 78.0 | 88.4 | 95.1 | 77.4 | 87.9 |
| 9. | Himachal Pradesh | 93.8 | 84.3 | 89.5 | 94.1 | 90.4 | 92.2 | 94.1 | 89.8 | 92.0 |
| 10. | Jammu \& Kashmir | 96.3 | 93.9 | 95.4 | 94.9 | 92.7 | 94.2 | 95.3 | 93.0 | 94.5 |
| 11. | Jharkhand | 89.6 | 77.2 | 84.5 | 86.1 | 72.9 | 81.1 | 87.2 | 74.3 | 82.2 |
| 12. | Karnataka | 96.1 | 94.0 | 95.5 | 86.8 | 87.7 | 87.2 | 90.8 | 89.9 | 90.5 |
| 13. | Kerala + Lakshadweep | 95.5 | 90.7 | 93.0 | 97.9 | 93.8 | 95.8 | 97.3 | 93.0 | 95.1 |
| 14. | Madhya Pradesh | 92.7 | 83.6 | 89.0 | 85.9 | 64.4 | 78.0 | 88.2 | 71.8 | 81.9 |
| 15. | Maharashtra | 97.6 | 97.0 | 97.4 | 99.0 | 88.7 | 94.5 | 98.3 | 92.4 | 95.8 |
| 16. | Manipur | 94.9 | 97.2 | 96.0 | 93.9 | 83.0 | 88.8 | 94.1 | 86.3 | 90.4 |
| 17. | Orissa | 92.9 | 79.9 | 87.3 | 77.0 | 74.5 | 75.9 | 80.3 | 75.6 | 78.3 |
| 18. | Other North Eastern States | 87.2 | 77.5 | 82.8 | 85.3 | 72.9 | 79.1 | 85.9 | 74.2 | 80.2 |
| 19. | Punjab + Chandigarh | 94.1 | 80.1 | 88.2 | 90.1 | 81.4 | 86.2 | 91.7 | 80.9 | 87.0 |
| 20. | Rajasthan | 91.8 | 84.6 | 88.7 | 87.2 | 72.5 | 80.8 | 88.5 | 76.0 | 83.2 |
| 21. | Sikkim | 72.2 | 71.2 | 71.8 | 60.8 | 59.8 | 60.4 | 62.5 | 61.6 | 62.1 |
| 22. | Tamil Nadu + Puducherry | 75.6 | 82.0 | 78.4 | 84.8 | 87.4 | 86.0 | 80.2 | 84.8 | 82.3 |
| 23. | Uttar Pradesh | 93.0 | 78.1 | 87.2 | 89.4 | 68.7 | 80.8 | 90.2 | 70.6 | 82.2 |
| 24. | Uttarakhand | 92.4 | 83.4 | 88.6 | 94.9 | 76.5 | 85.9 | 94.1 | 78.2 | 86.6 |
| 25. | West Bengal + Andaman \& Nicobar Islands | 78.7 | 68.7 | 74.2 | 76.4 | 48.9 | 64.2 | 77.1 | 55.0 | 67.2 |
| All India |  | 91.8 | 85.4 | 89.2 | 87.0 | 73.2 | 81.1 | 88.6 | 77.2 | 83.8 |

Base: All respondents aware of condoms
Table 5．2b：Proportion of respondents who were aware of condom use for HIV／AIDS prevention by age，residence and gender
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|  |  | ᄂ | $\infty$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{2}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\infty}$ | $\underset{\sim}{n}$ | $\stackrel{9}{\infty}$ | $\underset{\infty}{\underset{\infty}{N}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{N}}$ | $\stackrel{9}{\mathrm{~N}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Y } \\ & \text { J } \end{aligned}$ | 菕 | Mু | $\stackrel{\infty}{\underset{\sim}{\circ}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\text { J }}{\text { ぶ }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\bullet}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{1}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{i}{m}$ | $\stackrel{9}{\wedge}$ | $\underset{\infty}{\prime}$ | $\stackrel{\underset{\sim}{+}}{\stackrel{+}{2}}$ | ثٌ | -ix | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\dot{\infty}}$ | Ň | No |
|  |  | $\Sigma$ | ↔. | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{-}{\text { In }}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\aleph}}{\text { N }}$ | $\underset{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & \text { Ó } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ボ }}{\text { む }}$ | Nু | $\begin{gathered} \grave{j} \\ \underset{\alpha}{2} \end{gathered}$ | ণ九 | $\underset{j}{\grave{j}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{N}{\aleph} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | ت゙ | $\begin{aligned} & m \\ & \dot{J} \end{aligned}$ | 앙 | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\circ}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ๑. } \\ & \text { \&i } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\underset{~ N ~}{\star}}{ }$ | $\stackrel{\text { O}}{\underset{~}{~}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ṇ } \\ & \dot{\sigma} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\text {-i }}{\text { N }}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\text { N}}{\substack{\text { a }}}$ |
|  | 들 | $\vdash$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { חֻ } \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\varrho}{\stackrel{\sim}{C}}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\stackrel{n}{\gtrless}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bullet \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{\infty} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\ddots}{\sigma}$ | $\vec{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{\text { ® }}$ | $\underset{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\infty}$ | ஷ் | $\underset{\infty}{\grave{\infty}}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \underset{\alpha}{\prime} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{9}{2}$ | ホ̛ | $\begin{gathered} \text { N゙ } \\ \text { 囚் } \end{gathered}$ | N் | $\xrightarrow[\infty]{\infty}$ | $\underset{\infty}{\grave{\infty}}$ | $\stackrel{+}{\ddagger}$ |  | $\stackrel{\text { N̈ }}{\substack{\circ}}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{6}$ | $\stackrel{m}{\infty}$ |
|  |  | ᄂ | $\vec{\circ}$ | $0$ | 氐 | $\underset{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & \underset{\infty}{+} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{0}{i}$ | ஹ் | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\text { Gi }}$ | $\vec{\sigma}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\stackrel{\infty}{\wedge}}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\infty}}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\wedge}{\infty}$ | $7$ | -i | $\underset{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\text { ®i }}$ | $\stackrel{\ddots}{\mathrm{N}}$ | $\underset{N}{\mathrm{~N}}$ | $\stackrel{0}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\underset{\text { ®n}}{i}}{ }$ | $\underset{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{N}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\infty}$ | ợ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ |
|  |  | $\Sigma$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{-}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\omega}$ | $\stackrel{n}{\aleph}$ | No | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & \text { ふু } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{m}{む}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \text { 囚⿴囗口 } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\infty}}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\infty}$ | پั | $\stackrel{N}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\downarrow}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\text { 囚⿴囗口 }}$ | $\hat{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & m \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\wedge}{\infty}$ | $\underset{\infty}{\underset{\infty}{\sim}}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{0}$ | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{\underset{~}{2}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{m}}{\text { N }}$ | $\underset{\underset{N}{N}}{ }$ | $\stackrel{m}{\infty}$ |
|  | 空 | ■ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \underset{\infty}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & m \\ & \vdots . \end{aligned}$ | Nì | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\bullet}{\bullet} \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { o } \\ & \text { ふ் } \end{aligned}$ | ò | ホ্ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{N}{\aleph}$ | ஆ் | $\underset{\infty}{\dot{\infty}}$ | $\underset{\infty}{\sim}$ | $\vec{\aleph}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{i}$ | $\stackrel{\varrho}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\odot}{\infty}$ | N゙ | $\frac{9}{\infty}$ | $\underset{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\underset{\text { Fí }}{\vec{\prime}}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\infty}$ | $\underset{\infty}{\underset{\infty}{j}}$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\bullet}$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\bullet}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\text { I }}$ |
|  |  | ᄂ | $\underset{\infty}{n}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & i \\ & i \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{-i}{-0}$ | $\underset{\infty}{9}$ | $0$ | $\underset{\infty}{\ddagger}$ | $\underset{\infty}{\ddagger}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\stackrel{\infty}{\wedge}}$ | $\underset{\text { ̇i }}{\text { N }}$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{6}$ | $\underset{\sim}{N}$ | $\underset{\infty}{\infty}$ | N் | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\infty}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ion }}{\infty}$ | ণ九 | $\stackrel{m}{i}$ | $\stackrel{n}{\mathrm{~N}}$ | $\underset{\underset{\sim}{x}}{ }$ | $\stackrel{\text { U }}{\substack{\text { in }}}$ | O | $\underset{N}{\Sigma}$ | 仓o | 운 | N |
|  |  | $\Sigma$ | $\stackrel{m}{\infty} \underset{\infty}{\square}$ | $0 .$ | $\underset{\infty}{\underset{\infty}{\sim}}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\infty}$ | $\underset{\aleph}{N}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | 긍 | ! | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & \text { Ó } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bullet \\ & \dot{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | কু | $\underset{\infty}{\underset{\infty}{i}}$ | ம் | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \text { N } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\underset{\infty}{\substack{\infty \\ \infty}}$ | $\underset{\infty}{\dot{\infty}}$ | Ň | $\stackrel{m}{ }$ | $\stackrel{\downarrow}{\infty}$ | $\underset{\sim}{n}$ | ְ̧ | ¢ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 镹 } \\ & \text { 年 } \end{aligned}$ | $\vdash$ | $\stackrel{m}{\grave{n}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{0}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{m}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N. } \\ & \text { ஷ̀ } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O. } \\ & \text { ふi } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\infty}$ | 追 | $\begin{gathered} m \\ \infty \\ \infty \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\infty}$ | "ैठ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\vec{\sigma}$ |  | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\infty}$ | Һó | ت | $\begin{aligned} & \text { セొ } \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \hline \infty \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\text { K}}{\underset{\sigma}{2}}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{n}$ | ̂o | $\begin{gathered} n \\ \infty \\ \infty \end{gathered}$ | இ் | $\stackrel{m}{n}$ | 잉 |
|  |  | ᄂ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \text { ஷூ } \end{aligned}$ | M | N | - | $\underset{\infty}{\ddagger}$ | $9$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \dot{\sigma} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\infty}{\grave{\infty}}$ | ふু | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{2}$ | ஷి | $\vec{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{-}{1}$ | Һু | ஸু | $\hat{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{N}}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{N}{\mathrm{~N}}}{\stackrel{1}{2}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { @ } \\ & \infty \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\Perp}{\stackrel{n}{\gtrless}}$ | ָ̣ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\underset{\infty}{ \pm}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{6}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\text { ¢ }}$ |
|  |  | $\Sigma$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\stackrel{\circ}{2}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | ふু | $\stackrel{m}{n}$ | ๗ૂઠ | $\stackrel{i n}{6}$ | ૦્ઠ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\stackrel{\infty}{\circ}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | 追 | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M } \\ & \text { i } \end{aligned}$ | ờ | ふু | O் | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \dot{\alpha} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\text { n}}$ | $\stackrel{m}{\dot{R}}$ | $\stackrel{-}{\wedge}$ |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{n}$ | $\stackrel{n}{\sim}$ | O |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { E } \\ & \text { ⿹ㅜㄹ } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 亠 } \\ & \text { 言 } \end{aligned}$ | 든 䔍 N 든 | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\bar{a}} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\Delta} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nㅔ̃ } \\ & \text { त्रㅍ } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 믇 } \\ & \text { 旁 } \\ & \cline { 1 - 2 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ⿳亠二口欠口⿱亠䒑日 } \\ & \text { N } \end{aligned}$ | 苞 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { 튼 }}{n} \\ & \text { n } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | － |
| ウ |  |  | －i | ～ | $\cdots$ | $\dot{\sim}$ | ค่ | ${ }^{\circ}$ | ヘ | $\infty$ | $\sigma^{\circ}$ | $\bigcirc$ | － | ̇ | m | ゴ | ก่ | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | － | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | $\cdots$ | $\stackrel{1}{\sim}$ | え̇ | ผ | ฑ | さ | น่ | ¢ |

Overall, about 84 percent of the respondents were aware of condom use for HIV/AIDS prevention. 89 percent of the males were aware of this issue, which was significantly higher as compared to proportion of females (77\%). A significantly higher proportion of respondents from urban areas ( $89 \%$ ) reported to be aware of this issue as compared to respondents from rural area (81\%). Also, the awareness was observed to be higher among males across both rural and urban areas.

As regards the state wise analysis, except for Sikkim (62\%), West Bengal and AN Islands (67\%), Assam (71\%), Bihar (72\%) and Orissa (78\%) more than four-fifths of the respondents from all states/group of states reported that condoms can be used to prevent HIV/AIDS transmission. The proportion was reported to be significantly higher (more than 90\%) in Maharashtra (96\%) Kerala and Lakshadweep (95\%) Jammu \& Kashmir, Delhi, Himachal Pradesh, Karnataka, Manipur and Gujarat and Dadra \& Nagar Haveli.

It was observed that, the awareness level regarding condom use for HIV/AIDS prevention was more or less similar for the respondents aged $20-24$ years ( $83 \%$ ) and $15-19$ years ( $84 \%$ ). For both the age groups, the awareness among males was higher as compared to females in rural as well as urban areas.

### 5.3 Easy Availability of Condoms

Another aim of the condom promotion interventions is to make available low cost and good quality condoms to the people all over the country at the time when they need it and easily available at a place near their residence. Thus it is important to understand what proportion of population perceives condoms to be easily available, as easy availability is expected to lead to increase in usage.

All the respondents aware of condoms were asked whether condoms were easily available or not in their area. About 92 percent of the respondents reported easy availability of condoms in their area. Further, higher proportion of male respondents (94\%) as compared to females (88\%) reported easy availability of condoms. Also, the proportion was observed to be higher in urban areas ( $95 \%$ ) as compared to rural areas ( $90 \%$ ).

Table 5.3a: Proportion of respondents (15-24 years) reporting easy availability of condoms by residence and gender

| (All figures are in percentage) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SI. | State/Group of States | 15-24 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  | Total |  |  |
|  |  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 98.4 | 96.8 | 97.7 | 94.9 | 96.9 | 95.7 | 96.0 | 96.8 | 96.4 |
| 2. | Assam | 98.6 | 85.0 | 92.7 | 84.9 | 72.2 | 78.9 | 87.0 | 73.8 | 80.9 |
| 3. | Bihar | 93.1 | 94.5 | 93.6 | 96.9 | 84.9 | 92.8 | 96.3 | 86.4 | 92.9 |
| 4. | Chhattisgarh | 98.9 | 93.5 | 96.6 | 90.0 | 85.3 | 88.1 | 92.5 | 87.8 | 90.6 |
| 5. | Delhi | 98.9 | 93.9 | 96.9 | 97.2 | 93.0 | 95.6 | 98.8 | 93.8 | 96.8 |
| 6. | Goa + Daman \& Diu | 91.2 | 91.6 | 91.4 | 85.0 | 73.9 | 80.6 | 88.2 | 83.8 | 86.3 |
| 7. | Gujarat + Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 98.5 | 96.3 | 97.7 | 95.2 | 90.3 | 92.9 | 96.8 | 92.8 | 95.1 |
| 8. | Haryana | 99.5 | 97.9 | 98.9 | 97.7 | 92.0 | 95.4 | 98.2 | 93.9 | 96.5 |
| 9. | Himachal Pradesh | 99.0 | 95.4 | 97.4 | 98.7 | 96.3 | 97.5 | 98.7 | 96.2 | 97.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (Contd.) |

(Contd.)

| SI. <br> No. | State/Group of States | 15-24 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  | Total |  |  |
|  |  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| 10. | Jammu \& Kashmir | 96.9 | 97.1 | 97.0 | 91.5 | 94.7 | 92.5 | 93.0 | 95.5 | 93.8 |
| 11. | Jharkhand | 98.1 | 88.1 | 94.0 | 96.6 | 75.0 | 88.4 | 97.1 | 79.3 | 90.2 |
| 12. | Karnataka | 96.8 | 89.8 | 94.8 | 85.4 | 66.1 | 78.5 | 90.3 | 74.4 | 85.1 |
| 13. | Kerala + Lakshadweep | 90.9 | 78.3 | 84.4 | 93.3 | 83.5 | 88.3 | 92.7 | 82.2 | 87.3 |
| 14. | Madhya Pradesh | 96.0 | 88.2 | 92.8 | 92.6 | 88.2 | 91.0 | 93.8 | 88.2 | 91.6 |
| 15. | Maharashtra | 97.2 | 95.0 | 96.3 | 94.0 | 89.1 | 91.8 | 95.5 | 91.7 | 93.9 |
| 16. | Manipur | 92.3 | 89.1 | 90.7 | 86.0 | 82.6 | 84.4 | 87.3 | 84.1 | 85.8 |
| 17. | Orissa | 97.4 | 86.0 | 92.6 | 90.5 | 71.7 | 82.6 | 92.0 | 74.7 | 84.7 |
| 18. | Other North Eastern States | 96.2 | 96.2 | 96.2 | 90.8 | 85.5 | 88.1 | 92.5 | 88.4 | 90.5 |
| 19. | Punjab + Chandigarh | 98.6 | 95.1 | 97.1 | 98.8 | 91.8 | 95.7 | 98.7 | 93.1 | 96.3 |
| 20. | Rajasthan | 98.0 | 96.0 | 97.2 | 95.4 | 93.0 | 94.4 | 96.2 | 93.9 | 95.2 |
| 21. | Sikkim | 83.5 | 88.7 | 85.8 | 90.0 | 79.8 | 85.8 | 89.0 | 81.2 | 85.8 |
| 22. | Tamil Nadu + Puducherry | 88.8 | 83.4 | 86.3 | 92.3 | 94.5 | 93.3 | 90.6 | 89.1 | 89.9 |
| 23. | Uttar Pradesh | 98.8 | 92.3 | 96.3 | 94.0 | 84.1 | 89.9 | 95.0 | 85.8 | 91.3 |
| 24. | Uttarakhand | 99.1 | 92.1 | 96.2 | 97.6 | 81.7 | 89.9 | 98.1 | 84.2 | 91.6 |
| 25. | West Bengal + Andaman \& Nicobar Islands | 93.4 | 91.8 | 92.7 | 88.6 | 87.7 | 88.2 | 90.1 | 89.0 | 89.6 |
| All India |  | 96.5 | 91.9 | 94.6 | 93.2 | 86.3 | 90.3 | 94.3 | 88.1 | 91.7 |

Base: All respondents aware of condoms

Among states/group of states, the proportion of respondents reporting easy availability of condoms was more than 95 percent in the states of Himachal Pradesh, Delhi, Haryana, Andhra Pradesh, Punjab and Chandigarh, Rajasthan and, Gujarat and Dadra \& Nagar Haveli. The proportion was reported to be lowest in the states of Assam (81\%) followed by Orissa and Karnataka (85\%).

The proportion of respondents reporting easy availability of condoms was more or less similar in the age group of $15-19$ years and 20-24 years. In both the age groups, easy availability of condoms was reported by higher proportion of respondents from urban areas as compared to rural areas. Further, across both age groups higher proportion of males reported easy availability of condoms as compared to females.

## Availability of Condoms

Figure 5.1: Proportion of respondents (15-24 years) reporting easy availability of condoms by residence and gender: 2006


Base: All respondents aware of condoms
Table 5.3b: Proportion of respondents reporting easy availability of condoms by age, residence and gender
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### 5.4 Accessibility of Condoms within 30 Minutes

The respondents who were aware of condom were asked about the time that would be required to procure a condom from the nearest source. Tables $5.4 \mathrm{a} \& \mathrm{~b}$ present the proportion of respondents reporting a time span of less than 30 minutes.

Among respondents aware of condoms, 85 percent reported that condom can be procured within 30 minutes. The proportion was significantly higher among males ( $89 \%$ ) as compared to females (78\%). Following the trend with respect to awareness of condoms and easy availability, the proportion was observed to be higher in urban areas (91\%) as compared to rural areas (81\%). Further, across both urban and rural areas, a higher proportion of males reported condom procurement within 30 minutes as compared to females.

Among the states/group of states, accessibility of condoms within 30 minutes was reported by highest proportion of respondents from Haryana (94\%) followed by Delhi (93\%) and, Gujarat and Dadra \& Nagar Haveli and Rajasthan (91\%). The proportion was reported to be lowest in the states of Sikkim (59\%) followed by Assam (70\%), Tamil Nadu \& Puducherry (71\%) and Uttarakhand (72\%).

Table 5.4a: Proportion of respondents (15-24 years) reporting condom can be procured within 30 minutes, by residence and gender
(All figures are in percentage)

|  | State/Group of States | 15-24 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  | Total |  |  |
|  |  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 84.1 | 88.2 | 85.9 | 83.4 | 82.0 | 82.8 | 83.6 | 84.2 | 83.9 |
| 2. | Assam | 98.1 | 83.8 | 91.9 | 78.2 | 52.1 | 65.8 | 81.2 | 56.2 | 69.5 |
| 3. | Bihar | 96.2 | 95.2 | 95.8 | 90.5 | 77.1 | 85.9 | 91.4 | 80.0 | 87.4 |
| 4. | Chhattisgarh | 95.1 | 81.6 | 89.2 | 75.8 | 76.2 | 76.0 | 81.3 | 77.9 | 79.9 |
| 5. | Delhi | 98.5 | 85.0 | 93.2 | 98.2 | 73.4 | 89.0 | 98.5 | 84.3 | 93.0 |
| 6. | Goa + Daman \& Diu | 89.3 | 89.0 | 89.2 | 73.1 | 69.9 | 71.8 | 81.4 | 80.5 | 81.1 |
| 7. | Gujarat + Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 96.2 | 94.6 | 95.5 | 94.9 | 76.8 | 86.4 | 95.5 | 84.3 | 90.6 |
| 8. | Haryana | 98.9 | 91.2 | 95.7 | 97.0 | 86.5 | 92.8 | 97.6 | 88.0 | 93.7 |
| 9. | Himachal Pradesh | 97.1 | 90.7 | 94.2 | 78.5 | 77.0 | 77.8 | 80.6 | 78.3 | 79.4 |
| 10. | Jammu \& Kashmir | 97.6 | 92.3 | 95.6 | 86.9 | 73.5 | 82.5 | 89.9 | 79.6 | 86.3 |
| 11. | Jharkhand | 98.0 | 87.4 | 93.6 | 94.7 | 66.4 | 83.9 | 95.7 | 73.3 | 87.0 |
| 12. | Karnataka | 95.8 | 85.1 | 92.7 | 78.5 | 63.3 | 73.0 | 85.9 | 70.9 | 80.9 |
| 13. | Kerala + Lakshadweep | 91.4 | 71.1 | 81.0 | 84.6 | 69.5 | 76.8 | 86.3 | 69.9 | 77.8 |
| 14. | Madhya Pradesh | 95.9 | 80.7 | 89.6 | 90.7 | 71.9 | 83.8 | 92.5 | 75.3 | 85.9 |
| 15. | Maharashtra | 94.2 | 88.3 | 91.8 | 88.4 | 81.9 | 85.6 | 91.1 | 84.8 | 88.5 |
| 16. | Manipur | 91.5 | 85.4 | 88.4 | 74.7 | 70.4 | 72.7 | 78.2 | 73.9 | 76.1 |
| 17. | Orissa | 96.8 | 86.5 | 92.4 | 86.5 | 65.1 | 77.2 | 88.7 | 69.5 | 80.4 |
| 18. | Other North Eastern States | 89.9 | 87.1 | 88.6 | 91.3 | 75.3 | 83.3 | 90.9 | 78.6 | 84.9 |
| 19. | Punjab + Chandigarh | 96.4 | 82.8 | 90.7 | 81.9 | 76.6 | 79.6 | 87.9 | 79.1 | 84.0 |
| 20. | Rajasthan | 98.2 | 91.9 | 95.5 | 93.4 | 84.3 | 89.4 | 94.9 | 86.5 | 91.2 |
| 21. | Sikkim | 68.9 | 54.5 | 62.7 | 63.8 | 49.1 | 57.7 | 64.6 | 50.0 | 58.5 |
| 22. | Tamil Nadu + Puducherry | 82.7 | 85.1 | 83.7 | 63.7 | 53.7 | 59.1 | 73.2 | 68.9 | 71.2 |
| 23. | Uttar Pradesh | 98.0 | 85.7 | 93.2 | 88.5 | 73.2 | 82.1 | 90.6 | 75.8 | 84.5 |
| 24. | Uttarakhand | 97.9 | 83.0 | 91.7 | 68.9 | 59.8 | 64.4 | 77.6 | 65.4 | 71.9 |
| 25. | West Bengal + Andaman \& Nicobar Islands | 97.5 | 92.9 | 95.4 | 85.7 | 84.5 | 85.2 | 89.2 | 87.1 | 88.3 |
| All India |  | 94.3 | 87.2 | 91.4 | 86.3 | 74.1 | 81.1 | 89.0 | 78.4 | 84.5 |
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## Access to Condoms

Figure 5.2: Proportion of respondents (15-24 years) reporting condom can be procured within 30 minutes: Interstate comparison, 2006


The perception on the accessibility of condoms within 30 minutes was marginally higher among the respondents in the age group of 20-24 years ( $86 \%$ ) as compared to respondents aged 15-19 years ( $83 \%$ ). Across both age groups, the proportion was higher among males as compared to females. Also it was observed to be higher among the respondents from urban areas as compared to rural areas, across gender.

### 5.5 Implications of Findings on Awareness of Condoms

The awareness about condoms as well as its availability was quite high in most of the states/group of states. Such high awareness about condoms may be attributed to condom promotion programmes undertaken under the NACP, RCH/NRHM programme as well as wide publicity through media. However, among the respondents aware of condoms nearly one-third did not know about use of condoms for HIV/AIDS prevention. This was even lower among the respondents in rural areas and female respondents. The condom promotion programmes need to make more efforts to sensitise the youths that usage of condom is one of the best preventive steps against HIV and STDs.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (All fi | are | entag |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SI. No. | State/Group of States | 15-19 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20-24 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  | Total |  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  | Total |  |  |
|  |  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 86.2 | 87.3 | 86.6 | 85.6 | 81.3 | 83.8 | 85.8 | 83.1 | 84.8 | 81.8 | 88.7 | 85.3 | 81.6 | 82.5 | 82.0 | 81.7 | 84.9 | 83.1 |
| 2. | Assam | 97.2 | 75.9 | 90.1 | 80.0 | 45.7 | 64.1 | 82.6 | 48.4 | 67.3 | 98.9 | 87.2 | 93.1 | 76.5 | 57.3 | 67.2 | 80.0 | 62.1 | 71.3 |
| 3. | Bihar | 96.1 | 94.2 | 95.5 | 91.4 | 67.7 | 84.3 | 92.2 | 72.9 | 86.3 | 96.3 | 96.2 | 96.3 | 89.6 | 83.1 | 87.1 | 90.5 | 84.8 | 88.4 |
| 4. | Chhattisgarh | 94.7 | 79.8 | 89.4 | 73.7 | 80.6 | 76.6 | 80.3 | 80.4 | 80.3 | 95.4 | 82.7 | 89.0 | 77.7 | 72.2 | 75.5 | 82.2 | 75.8 | 79.5 |
| 5. | Delhi | 98.2 | 79.0 | 91.8 | 97.3 | 69.7 | 88.3 | 98.1 | 78.6 | 91.7 | 99.0 | 89.4 | 94.6 | 98.9 | 75.4 | 89.5 | 99.0 | 88.5 | 94.3 |
| 6. | Goa + Daman \& Diu | 85.9 | 88.0 | 86.8 | 67.2 | 55.7 | 63.6 | 77.0 | 76.7 | 76.9 | 92.0 | 89.9 | 91.1 | 77.5 | 75.9 | 76.8 | 84.8 | 82.9 | 84.0 |
| 7. | Gujarat + Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 96.0 | 96.0 | 96.0 | 95.3 | 82.9 | 90.6 | 95.6 | 89.3 | 93.2 | 96.4 | 93.5 | 95.2 | 94.5 | 73.6 | 83.3 | 95.5 | 81.1 | 88.6 |
| 8. | Haryana | 98.5 | 90.6 | 95.4 | 96.3 | 82.5 | 91.0 | 96.9 | 84.9 | 92.3 | 99.3 | 91.7 | 96.0 | 97.9 | 90.3 | 94.7 | 98.4 | 90.8 | 95.1 |
| 9. | Himachal Pradesh | 96.9 | 87.9 | 93.0 | 76.4 | 77.5 | 76.9 | 78.9 | 78.5 | 78.7 | 97.3 | 92.7 | 95.1 | 80.0 | 76.7 | 78.3 | 81.8 | 78.1 | 79.9 |
| 10. | Jammu \& Kashmir | 96.7 | 92.6 | 95.1 | 88.8 | 70.9 | 83.9 | 90.7 | 78.1 | 86.9 | 98.3 | 92.1 | 95.9 | 84.6 | 75.4 | 81.0 | 89.0 | 80.8 | 85.8 |
| 11. | Jharkhand | 98.5 | 90.1 | 95.5 | 93.0 | 61.8 | 82.0 | 94.8 | 71.1 | 86.3 | 97.3 | 85.2 | 91.9 | 96.4 | 70.0 | 85.6 | 96.6 | 75.1 | 87.5 |
| 12. | Karnataka | 93.7 | 82.2 | 90.7 | 76.6 | 64.2 | 71.6 | 83.9 | 69.2 | 78.8 | 97.1 | 86.6 | 93.9 | 79.8 | 62.6 | 74.1 | 87.2 | 72.2 | 82.4 |
| 13. | Kerala + Lakshadweep | 90.4 | 68.8 | 78.9 | 82.8 | 65.5 | 73.9 | 84.7 | 66.4 | 75.2 | 92.1 | 73.0 | 82.6 | 85.8 | 72.4 | 78.8 | 87.4 | 72.5 | 79.7 |
| 14. | Madhya Pradesh | 95.1 | 74.0 | 87.0 | 91.8 | 71.8 | 85.5 | 92.9 | 72.7 | 86.0 | 96.5 | 85.0 | 91.5 | 89.7 | 71.9 | 82.4 | 92.2 | 77.0 | 85.8 |
| 15. | Maharashtra | 92.8 | 89.4 | 91.4 | 87.9 | 81.5 | 85.2 | 90.1 | 84.9 | 88.0 | 95.5 | 87.4 | 92.2 | 88.9 | 82.3 | 86.0 | 92.2 | 84.6 | 88.9 |
| 16. | Manipur | 91.1 | 82.1 | 87.2 | 73.3 | 71.0 | 72.2 | 77.1 | 73.2 | 75.3 | 91.9 | 87.1 | 89.3 | 75.8 | 69.9 | 73.0 | 79.2 | 74.4 | 76.8 |
| 17. | Orissa | 96.8 | 85.9 | 92.0 | 84.7 | 60.6 | 73.7 | 87.2 | 65.6 | 77.4 | 96.8 | 87.0 | 92.6 | 88.0 | 69.1 | 80.1 | 89.8 | 73.0 | 82.8 |
| 18. | Other North Eastern States | 88.0 | 86.7 | 87.4 | 92.1 | 76.4 | 84.5 | 90.8 | 79.3 | 85.4 | 91.4 | 87.4 | 89.6 | 90.7 | 74.5 | 82.4 | 90.9 | 78.0 | 84.5 |
| 19. | Punjab + Chandigarh | 94.0 | 73.1 | 86.2 | 73.8 | 70.7 | 72.6 | 81.8 | 71.6 | 77.8 | 98.7 | 89.6 | 94.5 | 91.0 | 81.7 | 86.6 | 94.3 | 84.9 | 89.9 |
| 20. | Rajasthan | 97.3 | 91.4 | 95.1 | 93.4 | 80.7 | 87.9 | 94.5 | 83.3 | 89.8 | 99.0 | 92.2 | 95.9 | 93.5 | 87.7 | 91.0 | 95.2 | 89.2 | 92.5 |
| 21. | Sikkim | 67.4 | 57.6 | 63.6 | 68.1 | 42.0 | 56.9 | 68.0 | 44.2 | 57.9 | 70.4 | 52.2 | 61.9 | 60.2 | 55.8 | 58.4 | 61.6 | 55.2 | 59.0 |
| 22. | Tamil Nadu + Puducherry | 81.1 | 80.3 | 80.7 | 56.5 | 48.6 | 52.6 | 66.7 | 61.1 | 64.0 | 83.4 | 87.7 | 85.3 | 69.8 | 59.0 | 65.1 | 77.3 | 74.9 | 76.3 |
| 23. | Uttar Pradesh | 97.2 | 82.3 | 91.4 | 87.3 | 71.9 | 81.3 | 89.4 | 74.1 | 83.4 | 98.8 | 88.7 | 94.8 | 89.8 | 74.4 | 83.0 | 92.0 | 77.4 | 85.7 |
| 24. | Uttarakhand | 98.2 | 80.8 | 91.5 | 67.9 | 54.9 | 61.9 | 77.1 | 61.1 | 70.0 | 97.5 | 84.8 | 91.8 | 69.9 | 64.1 | 66.9 | 78.1 | 69.2 | 73.7 |
| 25. | West Bengal + Andaman \& Nicobar Islands | 96.5 | 96.6 | 96.6 | 81.5 | 78.4 | 80.3 | 85.2 | 84.5 | 84.9 | 98.0 | 90.2 | 94.7 | 89.5 | 88.3 | 88.9 | 92.4 | 88.8 | 90.7 |
| All India |  | 93.9 | 85.8 | 90.7 | 85.5 | 71.3 | 79.8 | 88.2 | 75.8 | 83.2 | 94.6 | 88.3 | 91.9 | 87.1 | 76.4 | 82.4 | 89.7 | 80.4 | 85.7 |

## Sexual Behaviour and Condom Usage

This chapter presents the key behavioural indicators covered in the survey with respect to sexual behaviour and condom usage. The first section covers indicators related to heterosexual behaviour. The second section covers the condom usage behaviour and the third section deals with homosexual behaviour related indicators with respect to men.

Because of the sensitivity of the issues related with the above indicators, all the questions were asked to the respondents towards the end of the interview. By that time, the interviewers had already built a fairly good rapport with the respondents. Before asking any of these questions, the interviewers assured the respondents, about maintaining the confidentiality of the information that would be collected in this section. The following confidentiality clause and consent statement was read out to all the respondents:
"I would like to ask you some very personal questions related to your sexual behaviour and condom usage. It is up to you whether you want to answer these questions or not. Your answers will be kept completely confidential."

### 6.1 Sexual Behaviour

A major programme goal is to delay the age at which young people first have sex. Clearly, young people are protected from infection by abstinence. However, there is evidence to suggest that a later age at first sex also reduces susceptibility to infection per act of sex, at least for women. An upward shift in the indicator suggests that programmes promoting abstinence among young people are working.

### 6.1.1 Median Age at First Sex

All the respondents who had ever engaged in sexual intercourse were asked about their age at first sexual intercourse. Table 6.1 presents the median age at first sex for male as well as female respondents, separately for rural and urban area across different states.

Table 6.1: Median age of respondents (15-24 years) at first sex by residence and gender

| SI. <br> No. | State/Group of States | 15-24 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  | Total |  |  |
|  |  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 19.0 | 17.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 17.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 17.0 | 18.0 |
| 2. | Assam | 20.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 19.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 |
| 3. | Bihar | 17.0 | 16.0 | 17.0 | 18.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 18.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 |
| 4. | Chhattisgarh | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 17.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 |
| 5. | Delhi | 18.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 |
| 6. | Goa + Daman \& Diu | 19.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 20.0 | 21.0 | 20.0 | 19.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 |
| 7. | Gujarat + Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 19.0 | 18.0 | 19.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 19.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 |
| 8. | Haryana | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 |

(Contd.)

| SI. No. | State/Group of States | 15-24 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  | Total |  |  |
|  |  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| 9. | Himachal Pradesh | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 19.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 19.0 | 18.0 |
| 10. | Jammu \& Kashmir | 18.0 | 19.0 | 18.0 | 17.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 |
| 11. | Jharkhand | 19.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 20.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 20.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 |
| 12. | Karnataka | 21.0 | 19.0 | 20.0 | 21.0 | 18.0 | 19.0 | 21.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 |
| 13. | Kerala + Lakshadweep | 20.0 | 19.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 20.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 |
| 14. | Madhya Pradesh | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 18.0 | 17.0 | 17.0 |
| 15. | Maharashtra | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 |
| 16. | Manipur | 21.0 | 19.0 | 20.0 | 19.0 | 18.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 18.0 | 19.0 |
| 17. | Orissa | 20.0 | 18.0 | 19.0 | 18.0 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 18.0 | 17.0 | 17.0 |
| 18. | Other North Eastern States | 19.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 20.0 | 17.0 | 18.0 | 20.0 | 17.0 | 18.0 |
| 19. | Punjab + Chandigarh | 18.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 18.0 | 19.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 |
| 20. | Rajasthan | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 18.0 | 17.0 | 17.0 |
| 21. | Sikkim | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 19.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 19.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 |
| 22. | Tamil Nadu + Puducherry | 19.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 |
| 23. | Uttar Pradesh | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 17.0 |
| 24. | Uttarakhand | 19.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 |
| 25. | West Bengal + Andaman \& Nicobar Islands | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 20.0 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 19.0 | 17.0 | 18.0 |
| All India |  | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 17.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 |

Base: All sexually active respondents

At all India level, the median age at first sex was reported to be 18 years. The median age at first sex was also 18 years in case of males and ranged from 17-18 years in case of females. At the national level median age at first sex was 18 years in both rural and urban areas.

Across states/group of states, the median age at first sex was reported to be lowest in Bihar at 16 years and highest in the states of Goa and Daman \& Diu at 20 years. Delhi, Karnataka, Kerala and Lakshadweep, Manipur, Punjab and Chandigarh and, Tamil Nadu and Puducherry followed this where the median age at first sex was reported as 19 years.

### 6.1.2 First Sexual Intercourse before 15 Years of Age

All the respondents were asked to mention the age at their first sexual intercourse and based on the analysis of this information, the proportion of respondents who had first sexual intercourse before the age of 15 years was ascertained. Table 6.2 a presents the proportion of respondents (15-24 years) who had the first sexual intercourse before the age of 15 years. At the national level, three percent of the respondents aged 15-24 years had first sexual intercourse before the age of 15 years. The corresponding proportion was significantly higher among the female respondents (4\%) compared to their male counterparts (2\%). Further, significantly higher proportion of respondents in rural (4\%) than the urban areas (1\%) reported first sexual intercourse before the age of 15 years.

Across states, compared to five to seven percent of respondents in Bihar, Uttar Pradesh and Madhya Pradesh, less than one percent of the respondents in Manipur, Tamil Nadu and Puducherry, Goa and Daman \& Diu, Karnataka and, Kerala and Lakshadweep had first sex before the age of 15 years.

Table 6.2a: Proportion of respondents (15-24 years) having first sexual intercourse before age of 15 years by residence and gender
(All figures are in percentage)

| SI. <br> No. | State/Group of States | 15-24 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  | Total |  |  |
|  |  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 0.9 | 3.7 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 11.2 | 6.6 | 1.7 | 8.9 | 5.2 |
| 2. | Assam | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 2.6 | 1.4 | 0.2 | 2.3 | 1.2 |
| 3. | Bihar | 0.8 | 6.4 | 3.2 | 2.9 | 12.0 | 7.2 | 2.6 | 11.3 | 6.7 |
| 4. | Chhattisgarh | 1.8 | 0.9 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 3.5 | 2.7 | 1.9 | 2.8 | 2.4 |
| 5. | Delhi | 1.7 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 2.5 | 5.4 | 3.7 | 1.8 | 1.0 | 1.4 |
| 6. | Goa + Daman \& Diu | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| 7. | Gujarat + Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 4.9 | 3.0 | 1.0 | 3.3 | 2.1 |
| 8. | Haryana | 1.5 | 4.8 | 3.0 | 2.1 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 1.9 | 3.3 | 2.5 |
| 9. | Himachal Pradesh | 0.9 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 1.4 | 1.1 |
| 10. | Jammu \& Kashmir | 1.4 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 2.1 |
| 11. | Jharkhand | 0.6 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 4.6 | 2.2 | 0.4 | 3.8 | 1.9 |
| 12. | Karnataka | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.4 |
| 13. | Kerala + Lakshadweep | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.4 |
| 14. | Madhya Pradesh | 1.4 | 3.7 | 2.4 | 6.4 | 3.6 | 5.1 | 4.9 | 3.6 | 4.3 |
| 15. | Maharashtra | 0.9 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.3 |
| 16. | Manipur | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| 17. | Orissa | 0.5 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 2.7 | 7.0 | 4.8 | 2.3 | 6.0 | 4.1 |
| 18. | Other North Eastern States | 0.6 | 2.1 | 1.3 | 0.2 | 8.1 | 4.1 | 0.3 | 6.4 | 3.3 |
| 19. | Punjab + Chandigarh | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| 20. | Rajasthan | 1.8 | 2.3 | 2.0 | 3.6 | 6.7 | 5.0 | 3.0 | 5.5 | 4.2 |
| 21. | Sikkim | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| 22. | Tamil Nadu + Puducherry | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.2 |
| 23. | Uttar Pradesh | 2.0 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 7.1 | 5.5 | 6.3 | 5.9 | 4.8 | 5.4 |
| 24. | Uttarakhand | 0.5 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 1.6 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 1.1 |
| 25. | West Bengal + Andaman \& Nicobar Islands | 0.7 | 1.8 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 4.5 | 2.7 | 1.0 | 3.7 | 2.3 |
| All India |  | 1.0 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 2.9 | 5.0 | 3.9 | 2.3 | 4.1 | 3.1 |

Base: All respondents

## Sexual Behaviour

Figure 6.1: Proportion of respondents (15-24 years) having sexual intercourse before the age of 15 years by residence and gender: 2006


Base: All respondents
Table 6.2b: Proportion of respondents having first sexual intercourse before the age of 15 years by age, residence and gender
$20-24$ years (All figures are in percentage)

| SI. <br> No. | State/Group of States | 15-19 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $20-24$ years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  | Total |  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  | Total |  |  |
|  |  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 6.5 | 3.4 | 0.2 | 5.3 | 2.6 | 1.8 | 4.9 | 3.4 | 3.7 | 15.1 | 9.2 | 3.1 | 11.8 | 7.4 |
| 2. | Assam | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 3.8 | 2.0 | 0.2 | 3.3 | 1.7 |
| 3. | Bihar | 0.3 | 1.8 | 1.0 | 4.5 | 9.6 | 7.0 | 3.8 | 8.6 | 6.1 | 1.4 | 12.0 | 5.9 | 1.4 | 14.4 | 7.4 | 1.4 | 14.1 | 7.2 |
| 4. | Chhattisgarh | 1.9 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 2.9 | 1.2 | 2.0 | 2.6 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 6.0 | 3.4 | 1.3 | 4.6 | 2.9 |
| 5. | Delhi | 1.5 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 3.9 | 2.2 | 3.3 | 1.6 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 7.5 | 3.9 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 1.7 |
| 6. | Goa + Daman \& Diu | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.3 |
| 7. | Gujarat + Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1.3 | 7.8 | 4.9 | 0.7 | 5.0 | 2.8 |
| 8. | Haryana | 2.3 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 2.7 | 0.8 | 7.8 | 3.9 | 0.9 | 2.2 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 4.1 | 2.3 |
| 9. | Himachal Pradesh | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 2.6 | 1.9 | 0.3 | 1.9 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 1.9 | 1.2 |
| 10. | Jammu \& Kashmir | 2.7 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 2.9 | 2.1 | 2.5 | 2.8 | 1.5 | 2.3 | 0.4 | 1.6 | 0.9 | 1.9 | 2.9 | 2.4 | 1.5 | 2.6 | 2.0 |
| 11. | Jharkhand | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 1.6 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 2.5 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 7.8 | 3.8 | 0.5 | 6.2 | 3.1 |
| 12. | Karnataka | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 0.5 |
| 13. | Kerala + Lakshadweep | 0.4 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 14. | Madhya Pradesh | 2.4 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 5.4 | 1.3 | 3.6 | 4.5 | 1.3 | 3.1 | 0.6 | 5.6 | 2.9 | 7.4 | 5.7 | 6.6 | 5.2 | 5.7 | 5.4 |
| 15. | Maharashtra | 1.4 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 3.4 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 2.3 | 1.7 |
| 16. | Manipur | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.3 |
| 17. | Orissa | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 2.8 | 6.1 | 4.5 | 2.3 | 5.1 | 3.8 | 0.6 | 2.6 | 1.5 | 2.7 | 7.9 | 5.1 | 2.3 | 6.9 | 4.4 |
| 18. | Other North Eastern States | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 3.4 | 1.6 | 0.4 | 2.7 | 1.5 | 0.2 | 2.9 | 1.5 | 0.3 | 11.8 | 6.3 | 0.3 | 9.4 | 4.9 |
| 19. | Punjab + Chandigarh | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 2.1 | 3.4 | 2.7 | 1.7 | 2.2 | 1.9 |
| 20. | Rajasthan | 2.4 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 3.6 | 2.9 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 2.6 | 3.0 | 1.2 | 2.7 | 1.9 | 3.6 | 10.8 | 6.9 | 2.8 | 8.1 | 5.3 |
| 21. | Sikkim | 2.4 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 1.2 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 2.9 | 1.6 | 0.9 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 1.8 | 1.3 |
| 22. | Tamil Nadu + Puducherry | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.4 |
| 23. | Uttar Pradesh | 3.2 | 0.5 | 2.0 | 8.5 | 3.1 | 6.0 | 7.4 | 2.6 | 5.2 | 0.9 | 3.4 | 1.9 | 5.3 | 8.4 | 6.7 | 4.2 | 7.4 | 5.6 |
| 24. | Uttarakhand | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.7 |  | 0.9 | 1.5 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 1.4 |
| 25. | West Bengal + Andaman \& Nicobar Islands | 1.3 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 2.3 | 2.0 | 0.3 | 1.6 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 6.0 | 3.2 | 0.4 | 4.8 | 2.5 |
| All India |  | 1.3 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 3.4 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 2.8 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 0.7 | 2.6 | 1.5 | 2.5 | 7.0 | 4.6 | 1.9 | 5.6 | 3.6 |

[^11]Table 6.2b shows that against four percent of respondents in the age group of 20-24 years, three percent of those in 15-19 years reported first sexual intercourse before the age of 15 years. For both the age groups, the corresponding percentage was significantly higher in rural areas and among female respondents.

### 6.1.3 Sex with Non-regular Partner in Last 12 Months

Respondents were asked whether they had sexual intercourse with any non-regular partner in the last 12 months before the survey. A non-regular sex partner was defined as any sex partner other than spouse in case of currently married respondents. In case of unmarried and ever married but not currently married (deserted, separated, divorced, widow respondents), it was defined as any partner with whom the respondent does not have sexual intercourse on a regular basis. It means that even any commercial sex partner (sex partner with whom one can have sex in exchange of money) was included in the category of non-regular sex partner for this particular study. Tables 6.3 a and 6.3 b present the proportion of respondents reporting sex with any nonregular partner during 12 months preceding the survey.

At the national level, eight percent of the youths (15-24 years) reported sex with non-regular partners during preceding 12 months. The proportion of youths reporting sex with non-regular partners has increased by one percentage point since BSS 2001.

The proportion reporting sex with non-regular partners was significantly higher among males at 13 percent as compared to females (3\%). However, there was no significant difference in the proportion of respondents reporting involvement in non-regular sex in the last 12 months across rural and urban areas. Within both rural and urban areas, higher proportion of males reported non-regular sexual intercourse in the proceeding 12 months as compared to females.

Significant variation was observed across different states/group of states with lowest proportion (3\%) in Uttarakhand, Bihar, Karnataka and the highest in Punjab and Chandigarh and Delhi (15\%). The other two states reporting higher proportion were Andhra Pradesh (13\%) and Maharashtra (12\%).

As high as 20 to 27 percent of the urban males (15-24 years) in the states/group of states of Punjab and Chandigarh (27\%), Delhi (22\%), Madhya Pradesh (21\%) and Gujarat and Dadra \& Nagar Haveli (20\%) reported involvement in non-regular sex in the last 12 months. Similarly,

Table 6.3a: Proportion of respondents (15-24 years) who reported sex with non-regular partner in last 12 months by residence and gender

|  |  | (All figures are in percentage) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SI. <br> No. | State/Group of States | 15-24 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  | Total |  |  |
|  |  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 3.6 | 2.0 | 2.8 | 30.5 | 3.7 | 17.4 | 21.9 | 3.2 | 12.8 |
| 2. | Assam | 6.3 | 1.3 | 4.1 | 8.0 | 0.9 | 4.5 | 7.8 | 0.9 | 4.4 |
| 3. | Bihar | 3.9 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 5.4 | 0.7 | 3.2 | 5.2 | 0.6 | 3.0 |
| 4. | Chhattisgarh | 6.5 | 0.1 | 3.4 | 7.1 | 1.8 | 4.5 | 6.9 | 1.4 | 4.2 |
| 5. | Delhi | 21.8 | 4.7 | 14.8 | 14.0 | 1.4 | 9.0 | 21.3 | 4.5 | 14.5 |
| 6. | Goa + Daman \& Diu | 14.9 | 2.8 | 9.4 | 5.5 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 10.2 | 1.5 | 6.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (Contd.) |

(Contd.)

| SI. <br> No. | State/Group of States | 15-24 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  | Total |  |  |
|  |  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| 7. | Gujarat + Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 20.4 | 4.5 | 13.6 | 9.8 | 3.9 | 6.8 | 14.7 | 4.1 | 9.7 |
| 8. | Haryana | 9.5 | 0.4 | 5.6 | 10.7 | 1.3 | 6.7 | 10.3 | 1.0 | 6.3 |
| 9. | Himachal Pradesh | 10.3 | 0.4 | 5.7 | 8.9 | 0.0 | 4.4 | 9.0 | 0.0 | 4.5 |
| 10. | Jammu \& Kashmir | 10.9 | 0.5 | 6.2 | 12.0 | 1.0 | 7.1 | 11.7 | 0.9 | 6.9 |
| 11. | Jharkhand | 11.0 | 4.5 | 8.2 | 10.3 | 2.2 | 6.7 | 10.5 | 2.9 | 7.1 |
| 12. | Karnataka | 6.0 | 4.7 | 5.5 | 2.5 | 0.7 | 1.7 | 3.9 | 2.1 | 3.0 |
| 13. | Kerala + Lakshadweep | 8.5 | 2.5 | 5.4 | 7.3 | 4.0 | 5.6 | 7.6 | 3.7 | 5.5 |
| 14. | Madhya Pradesh | 21.1 | 0.8 | 11.9 | 14.8 | 3.4 | 9.7 | 16.8 | 2.5 | 10.3 |
| 15. | Maharashtra | 11.4 | 7.3 | 9.6 | 17.9 | 10.9 | 14.6 | 14.8 | 9.3 | 12.3 |
| 16. | Manipur | 2.1 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 7.7 | 3.8 | 5.8 | 6.6 | 3.0 | 4.8 |
| 17. | Orissa | 11.8 | 1.7 | 7.2 | 13.5 | 5.5 | 9.5 | 13.1 | 4.9 | 9.1 |
| 18. | Other North Eastern States | 10.8 | 12.1 | 11.4 | 9.7 | 6.0 | 7.9 | 10.0 | 7.7 | 8.9 |
| 19. | Punjab + Chandigarh | 27.2 | 11.8 | 20.4 | 16.7 | 5.7 | 11.5 | 21.0 | 8.0 | 15.1 |
| 20. | Rajasthan | 16.9 | 2.2 | 10.3 | 12.8 | 1.3 | 7.4 | 14.0 | 1.5 | 8.3 |
| 21. | Sikkim | 14.7 | 2.9 | 9.5 | 5.0 | 0.9 | 3.2 | 6.3 | 1.2 | 4.1 |
| 22. | Tamil Nadu + Puducherry | 11.9 | 4.9 | 8.4 | 19.4 | 6.9 | 13.2 | 15.7 | 5.9 | 10.8 |
| 23. | Uttar Pradesh | 10.9 | 0.3 | 6.3 | 14.9 | 0.2 | 8.1 | 14.0 | 0.2 | 7.7 |
| 24. | Uttarakhand | 5.9 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 5.7 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 5.7 | 0.0 | 2.9 |
| 25. | West Bengal + Andaman \& Nicobar Islands | 13.1 | 1.2 | 7.5 | 7.0 | 0.5 | 4.0 | 8.6 | 0.7 | 5.0 |
| All India (BSS 2006) |  | 12.8 | 3.5 | 8.6 | 12.8 | 2.8 | 8.1 | 12.8 | 3.0 | 8.2 |
| All India (BSS 2001) |  | 11.0 | 2.1 | 6.6 | 12.6 | 1.9 | 7.2 | 11.8 | 2.0 | 6.9 |

Base: All respondents

## Sexual Behaviour

Figure 6.2a: Proportion of respondents (15-24 years) who reported sex with non-regular partner in last 12 months by residence and gender


Base: All respondents

## Sexual Behaviour

Figure 6.2b: Proportion of respondents (15-24 years) who reported sex with non-regular partner in last 12 months: Interstate Comparison, 2006


31 percent of rural males (15-24 years) in the states of Andhra Pradesh and 15-19 percent of those in Tamil Nadu and Puducherry (19\%), Maharashtra (18\%), Punjab and Chandigarh (17\%), Uttar Pradesh (15\%) and Madhya Pradesh (15\%) reported sex with non-regular partner within the last 12 months. Among urban females, 12 percent each in Other North Eastern States and, Punjab and Chandigarh and 5-7 percent of those in Maharashtra, Tamil Nadu and Puducherry, Delhi, Gujarat and Dadra \& Nagar Haveli, Jharkhand and Karnataka reported sex with non-regular partners. Eleven percent of the rural females in Maharashtra and 6-7 percent in Other North Eastern States, Punjab and Chandigarh and Orissa reported non-regular sexual intercourse in the proceeding 12 months.

Equal proportion of respondents (6\%) in the age group of 20 to 24 years as well as 15-19 years reported non-regular sexual intercourse in the last 12 months. The percentage involved in nonregular sex varied between 12 percent (Delhi, Goa and Daman \& Diu and Jammu \& Kashmir) to 16 percent (Madhya Pradesh) for the urban males in the age group of 15-19 years. Among rural
Table 6.3b: Proportion of respondents who reported sex with non-regular partner in last 12 months by age, residence and gender


| SI. No. | State/Group of States |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh |
| 2. | Assam |
| 3. | Bihar |
| 4. | Chhattisgarh |
| 5. | Delhi |
| 6. | Goa + Daman \& Diu |
| 7. | Gujarat + Dadra \& Nagar Haveli |
| 8. | Haryana |
| 9. | Himachal Pradesh |
| 10. | Jammu \& Kashmir |
| 11. | Jharkhand |
| 12. | Karnataka |
| 13. | Kerala + Lakshadweep |
| 14. | Madhya Pradesh |
| 15. | Maharashtra |
| 16. | Manipur |
| 17. | Orissa |
| 18. | Other North Eastern States |
| 19. | Punjab + Chandigarh |
| 20. | Rajasthan |
| 21. | Sikkim |
| 22. | Tamil Nadu + Puducherry |
| 23. | Uttar Pradesh |
| 24. | Uttarakhand |
| 25. | West Bengal + Andaman \& Nicobar Islands |
| All India |  |

males in this age group, 21 percent in Andhra Pradesh and 12 to 15 percent in Madhya Pradesh, Uttar Pradesh, Maharashtra, Jammu \& Kashmir and Rajasthan were engaged in non-regular sex during the reference period of last 12 months. Five to nine percent of the urban females aged 15-19 years in Other North Eastern States, Punjab and Chandigarh and Gujarat and Dadra \& Nagar Haveli and 11 to 16 percent of those in the age group of 20-24 years in Punjab and Chandigarh, Other North Eastern States and Maharashtra reported sex with a non-regular partner.

### 6.2 Condom Usage

If everyone used condoms every time they had sex with a non-marital or non-cohabiting partner, a heterosexually transmitted HIV epidemic would be almost impossible to sustain. While AIDS programmes may try to reduce casual partnerships, they must also, if they are to succeed in curbing the epidemic, promote condom use in the casual partnerships that remain. Thus it is important to track changes in condom use in these partnerships.

### 6.2.1 Condom Use during Last Sex with Non-regular Sex Partner

A rise in proportion of respondents reporting using condom in their last non-regular intercourse is an extremely powerful indication that condom promotion campaigns are having the desired effect. Asking about the most recent sex act with a non-regular partner minimises recall bias and gives a good cross-sectional picture of levels of condom use.

All the respondents who reported sex with any non-regular partner in last 12 months were further asked about condom usage with non-regular partner during last sex. The responses are presented in Table 6.4a \& b. The results, more particularly the state specific results, should be viewed with caution due to small sample sizes.

Among the respondents who had sex with a non-regular partner in the last 12 months, 62 percent reported condom usage during last sex with non-regular partner. There has been a significant increase in this respect since BSS 2001 (52\%).

The proportion reporting condom use during last sex with a non-regular partner was observed to be similar among the males and females. However, significantly higher proportion of respondents from urban areas (75\%) as compared to rural areas (55\%) reported last time condom use with non-regular sex partners.

Across the age groups, similar proportion of respondents from both the age groups ( $20-24$ years - $63 \%$ and $15-24$ years $-60 \%$ ) reported condom usage with non-regular partner during last sex. Across both age groups, significantly higher proportion of respondents from the urban areas reported condom usage with non-regular partner as compared to rural areas. This proportion was reported to be higher among females from the age group of 20-24 years as compared to males in rural areas, while in urban areas the proportion was similar across gender. Among respondents aged 15-19 years, in both urban and rural areas, higher proportion of males reported condom usage with non-regular partner as compared to females.

### 6.2.2 Consistent Condom Use with Non-regular Sex Partner

One of the principal goals of HIV prevention efforts is to increase consistent condom use with all non-regular partners. The indicator, "Proportion of respondents using condoms during last non-regular sexual intercourse", presented in Table 6.4 a \& b, cannot provide measures of consistency. Thus there is also a need to measure consistent condom use in non-regular sex.
Table 6．4a：Proportion of respondents（15－24 years）who reported condom usage with non－regular partner during last sex by residence and gender
（All figures are in percentage）

|  |  | $1-\begin{gathered} \underset{O}{0} \\ \underset{0}{6} \\ \underset{0}{0} \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\underset{\infty}{\underset{\infty}{E}}}{\substack{\underset{\sim}{2} \\ \hline}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \vdots \\ & \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \widehat{\infty} \\ \underset{\substack{0}}{\substack{0}} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\underset{1}{2}} \\ & \underset{\infty}{\infty} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{d}} \\ \underset{\sim}{n} \\ \underset{N}{2} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{0} \\ & \vdots \\ & \stackrel{i}{N} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \underset{\rightharpoonup}{d} \\ \underset{\sim}{j} \\ \dot{0} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \\ & n \\ & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \underset{\partial}{2} \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \infty \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \widehat{N} \\ & \underset{y}{n} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & \\ & 0 \\ & 6 \\ & \dot{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\underset{n}{2}} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \\ & \dot{m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \underset{\sim}{\infty} \\ \underset{\sim}{m} \\ \underset{\infty}{\infty} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\tilde{z}} \\ & \underset{0}{n} \\ & \underset{\infty}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{f}{\infty} \\ & \underset{\infty}{\infty} \\ & \underset{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\underset{~}{8}} \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \infty \\ \substack{\infty \\ N \\ N \\ \infty \\ n} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \text { O } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | －6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \underset{\sim}{o} \\ \underset{\sim}{\circ} \\ \stackrel{0}{0} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & f \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \infty \\ \infty \\ \infty \\ \infty \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \underset{N}{n} \\ \underset{\infty}{2} \\ \infty \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\underset{~}{n}} \\ & \underset{i}{c} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \underset{6}{6} \\ 0 \\ \infty \\ \infty \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\underset{y}{\mid}}{\underset{\sim}{n}} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \widehat{N} \\ 0 \\ \underset{y}{2} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \widetilde{\rightharpoonup} \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \underset{0}{0} \\ \underset{\sim}{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\left\lvert\,\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \widehat{N} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\square} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \substack{0 \\ \underset{\sim}{n} \\ \vdots \\ \vdots} \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \underset{\sim}{0} \\ 0 \\ \dot{0} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \underset{\infty}{\infty} \\ \infty \\ \infty \\ \dot{e} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\underset{~}{\underset{~}{n}}} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \underset{F}{\underset{\sim}{n}} \\ 0 \\ \infty \\ \infty \end{gathered}$ | $$ | $\begin{gathered} \underset{\sim}{\tilde{o}} \\ \underset{\sim}{n} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \underset{\infty}{\infty} \\ \underset{\sim}{n} \\ i \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { E } \\ & 0 \\ & \text { Ni } \end{aligned}$ | 1 |  | $\underset{i}{\circ}$ | N |
|  |  | $\Sigma \underset{\substack{\underset{\sim}{6} \\ \underset{\sim}{6} \\ \hline \\ \hline}}{ }$ | $\begin{gathered} \widehat{\widetilde{T}} \\ \underset{\substack{0}}{\substack{\infty \\ \infty}} \mid \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \underset{\sim}{f} \\ \\ i \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hat{n} \\ & \vdots \\ & \\ & \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \underset{N}{N} \\ \underset{\sim}{m} \\ \vdots \\ \infty \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \underset{\sim}{\mathcal{F}} \\ \underset{\infty}{\infty} \\ \underset{\infty}{\infty} \end{gathered}$ | $\left.\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \underset{0}{0} \\ 0 \\ \underset{\sim}{1} \end{gathered} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{gathered} o \\ \underset{y}{d} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \underset{y}{0} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \stackrel{n}{n} \\ \underset{\sim}{n} \\ \underset{i}{2} \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} o \\ \underset{\sim}{0} \\ \underset{\sim}{m} \\ \underset{\infty}{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathscr{O} \\ \underset{o}{o} \\ 0 \\ \dot{\infty} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\underset{~}{d}} \\ & 0 \\ & \underset{\sim}{\mathcal{j}} \end{aligned}$ | $$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \widehat{N} \\ \underset{\sim}{n} \\ \underset{i}{n} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{y}{n} \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{x}} \\ & \infty \\ & \underset{y}{c} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{0}} \\ & 0 \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{o}} \end{aligned}$ | $\xrightarrow[\substack{\infty \\ \underset{O}{o} \\ \underset{\infty}{\infty} \\ i}]{ }$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & \underset{n}{n} \\ & 0 \\ & i \\ & i n \end{aligned}$ |  | ® |
| $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{n}{3} \\ \underset{\sim}{0} \\ \underset{\sim}{2} \\ 1 \\ n \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 들 } \\ & \text { 踏 } \end{aligned}$ | 令 | $\begin{gathered} \underset{\infty}{\infty} \\ \infty \\ \dot{\infty} \\ \infty \end{gathered}$ | $\xrightarrow[c]{o_{0}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{寸}{f} \\ & \stackrel{y}{寸} \\ & \dot{寸} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \substack{0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\underset{~}{2}} \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \widehat{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \dot{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \widetilde{N} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \\ & \underset{\sim}{v} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \widehat{N} \\ \underset{\sim}{n} \\ \vdots \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \tilde{N} \\ \underset{y}{3} \\ \tilde{0} \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\substack{\hat{n} \\ \vdots \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{r} \\ \hline}}{ }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \substack{0 \\ \\ 0 \\ \underset{\sim}{2} \\ \hline} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{4} \\ & \stackrel{n}{6} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{B}} \\ m \\ \dot{\gamma} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \underset{0}{0} \\ 0 \\ \infty \\ \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\rightharpoonup}{2} \\ & \underset{\sim}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\underset{N}{n}} \\ & \underset{\sim}{m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{O}{0} \\ & \underset{\sim}{0} \\ & \underset{\infty}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \underset{\sim}{\infty} \\ \underset{\sim}{2} \\ \underset{i}{n} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{2}} \\ & \underset{寸}{f} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{犬}{\underset{y}{*}} \\ & \underset{\dot{c}}{ } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \widehat{\tilde{n}} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \text {. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{e} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\underset{y}{*}} \\ & \underset{y}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{y}{\mathrm{~J}} \\ & \underset{\mathrm{i}}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \bar{N} \\ 0 \\ \dot{N} \end{gathered}$ | 㓠窓 | － |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \underset{\sim}{o} \\ \underset{c}{\infty} \\ \underset{n}{n} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{x} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathfrak{F} \\ & m \\ & i \end{aligned}$ |  | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\partial}{\partial} \\ & 0 \\ & \dot{O} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{O}{O} \\ & \underset{\sim}{t} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \widehat{O} \\ \underset{\mathrm{~N}}{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & E \\ & \stackrel{E}{i} \\ & \stackrel{i}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underline{0} \\ & \vdots \\ & \dot{N} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \widehat{ल} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{c}} \\ & \underset{\sim}{m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \underset{\infty}{\infty} \\ \infty \\ \infty \\ \infty \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{\infty} \\ & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \text { ơ } \\ & \text { oi } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\partial} \\ & \underset{\infty}{i} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\mathcal{T}} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\tilde{y}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{0}{0} \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{n}{n} \\ & \underset{i}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \text { in } \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | ก |
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## Condom Usage

Figure 6.3: Proportion of respondents (15-24 years) who reported condom usage with non-regular partner during last sex by residence and gender


Base: All respondents who reported sex with non-regular partner in last 12 months

Depending on the respondent's level of sexual activity, this proportion is likely to be subject to recall bias. And because the question is asked in general terms, respondents may be more likely to give an answer they believe is socially desirable than they would be if the question asked about a specific act of sex, such as the most recent sex act.

All those respondents who reported sex with any non-regular partner in last 12 months before the survey were also asked how frequently they used condom with all their non-regular sexual partners during last 12 months before the survey. The proportion of respondents who reported using condom consistently (every time) with all their non-regular sex partners has been presented in Table 6.5a \& b. The results, especially the state-wise results should be viewed with caution due to small sample sizes.

Overall, 47 percent of the respondents reported consistent condom usage with non-regular sexual partner in last 12 months. Compared to BSS 2001 (34\%), there has been a significant increase in the proportion of respondents reporting consistent condom use.

Higher proportion of respondents from urban areas (59\%) reported use of condom every time with non-regular partner as compared to respondents from rural areas (42\%). Across urban areas, higher proportion of males ( $60 \%$ ) reported consistent condom usage with non-regular partner as compared to females (54\%), while in the rural areas the trend was reverse (males $41 \%$, Females 48\%).

Among the states/group of states, 76 percent of the respondents in Jharkhand reported consistent condom use with non-regular partner during the last 12 months. It was followed by Maharashtra (69\%) and Delhi (68\%). In Bihar, the proportion was observed to be the lowest at one percent, followed by Orissa (28\%) and Haryana (31\%).

Table 6.4b: Proportion of respondents who reported condom usage with non-regular partner during last sex by age, residence and gender


[^13]Table 6.5a: Proportion of respondents (15-24 years) reporting consistent condom use with non-regular sexual partner in last 12 months


## Condom Usage

Figure 6.4a: Proportion of respondents (15-24 years) reporting consistent condom use with non-regular sexual partner in last 12 months: Interstate Comparison, 2006


Base: All respondents who reported sex with non-regular in last 12 months

## Condom Usage

Figure 6.4b: Proportion of respondents (15-24 years) reporting consistent condom use with non-regular sexual partner in last 12 months: Interstate comparison, 2006


[^14]Table 6．5b：Proportion of respondents reporting consistent condom use with non－regular sexual partner in last 12 months by age， residence and gender
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Base：All respondents who reported sex with non－regular partner in last 12 months

Among the age groups, higher proportion of respondents aged 20-24 years (50\%) reported consistent condom use with non-regular partner as compared to respondents in the age group of 15-19 years (43\%). However, in the rural areas, higher proportion of female respondents across both the age groups reported consistent condom use as compared to male respondents. There existed wide inter state variations in this-regard for both the age groups.

### 6.3 Men who have Sex with Men (MSM)

Relatively little is known about the role of sex between men and men in India's HIV epidemic. Few studies that have examined this subject have found that a significant proportion of men in India do have sex with other men. With a significant proportion having both commercial and non-commercial concurrent homosexual and heterosexual relationships, this group also forms an important bridging group between the high risk community and the population at large. Thus, this section presents the key indicators related to MSM behaviour, viz. awareness and involvement. Before asking any questions in this section, the interviewers assured the respondents, about the confidentiality of the information to be collected in this section.

### 6.3.1 Awareness about Men who have Sex with Men

All the male respondents were asked if they had ever heard about Men who have Sex with Men (MSM). The results have been presented in Table 6.6. At the national level, 69 percent of the respondents reported that they were aware of MSM. The proportion was significantly higher among males from urban areas at 76 percent as compared to rural areas (65\%). Further, across both the age groups, i.e. 15-19 years and 20-24 years, higher proportion of respondents from urban areas had heard of MSM as compared to rural areas.

Among the states/group of states, the proportion was reported to be highest in Punjab and Chandigarh (89\%), Delhi (87\%) and Kerala and Lakshadweep (84\%). The lowest proportion was reported in Chhattisgarh (42\%) followed by Karnataka (44\%) and Manipur (47\%).

Table 6.6: Proportion of male respondents (15-24 years) who had ever heard of men who have sex with men by age and residence

| S. ${ }^{\text {cosen }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SI. <br> No. | State/Group of States | 15-19 years |  |  | 20-24 years |  |  | 15-24 years |  |  |
|  |  | U | R | T | U | R | T | U | R | T |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 89.7 | 70.0 | 76.9 | 96.5 | 77.8 | 83.3 | 93.0 | 74.3 | 80.3 |
| 2. | Assam | 44.3 | 55.9 | 54.2 | 74.0 | 72.3 | 72.5 | 59.9 | 64.3 | 63.7 |
| 3. | Bihar | 52.0 | 68.3 | 65.7 | 59.6 | 68.8 | 67.8 | 55.4 | 68.6 | 66.8 |
| 4. | Chhattisgarh | 33.8 | 43.6 | 40.8 | 47.8 | 40.8 | 42.4 | 40.3 | 42.1 | 41.7 |
| 5. | Delhi | 82.7 | 70.9 | 82.2 | 93.7 | 82.9 | 92.9 | 87.5 | 77.6 | 86.9 |
| 6. | Goa + Daman \& Diu | 73.0 | 31.2 | 52.4 | 75.3 | 44.5 | 59.9 | 74.3 | 38.7 | 56.6 |
| 7. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gujarat + Dadra \& Nagar } \\ & \text { Haveli } \end{aligned}$ | 70.1 | 55.7 | 61.9 | 82.5 | 59.3 | 70.6 | 76.8 | 57.4 | 66.4 |
| 8. | Haryana | 75.1 | 77.2 | 76.6 | 86.9 | 86.0 | 86.3 | 81.2 | 81.2 | 81.2 |
| 9. | Himachal Pradesh | 82.9 | 73.3 | 74.4 | 92.0 | 88.1 | 88.5 | 87.9 | 81.8 | 82.5 |
| 10. | Jammu \& Kashmir | 74.2 | 63.6 | 65.9 | 86.1 | 76.8 | 79.5 | 80.8 | 69.7 | 72.5 |
| 11. | Jharkhand | 50.3 | 55.6 | 54.0 | 70.8 | 66.4 | 67.7 | 59.6 | 60.8 | 60.5 |
| 12. | Karnataka | 52.2 | 26.9 | 36.4 | 72.1 | 34.2 | 49.2 | 63.8 | 31.0 | 43.7 |


| SI. | State/Group of States |  | -19 y |  |  | -24 |  |  | -24 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. |  | U | R | T | U | R | T | U | R | T |
| 13. | Kerala + Lakshadweep | 69.1 | 77.1 | 75.1 | 85.9 | 93.3 | 91.4 | 78.8 | 86.3 | 84.4 |
| 14. | Madhya Pradesh | 66.7 | 62.2 | 63.5 | 71.6 | 72.1 | 71.9 | 69.4 | 67.2 | 67.9 |
| 15. | Maharashtra | 73.5 | 71.9 | 72.6 | 71.6 | 65.1 | 68.3 | 72.5 | 68.7 | 70.5 |
| 16. | Manipur | 47.9 | 40.6 | 42.1 | 65.8 | 47.7 | 51.4 | 57.6 | 44.4 | 47.1 |
| 17. | Orissa | 46.2 | 43.0 | 43.6 | 70.5 | 53.9 | 57.3 | 60.1 | 49.0 | 51.2 |
| 18. | Other North Eastern States | 66.0 | 30.7 | 40.5 | 76.3 | 65.1 | 68.7 | 71.6 | 47.7 | 54.9 |
| 19. | Punjab + Chandigarh | 80.7 | 87.7 | 84.9 | 95.6 | 92.2 | 93.6 | 88.0 | 89.8 | 89.1 |
| 20. | Rajasthan | 60.3 | 59.2 | 59.5 | 78.3 | 67.6 | 70.9 | 69.8 | 63.3 | 65.2 |
| 21. | Sikkim | 61.7 | 53.5 | 54.7 | 70.9 | 56.9 | 58.7 | 66.2 | 55.3 | 56.8 |
| 22. | Tamil Nadu + Puducherry | 65.7 | 57.7 | 61.0 | 80.0 | 78.0 | 79.1 | 75.1 | 68.4 | 71.7 |
| 23. | Uttar Pradesh | 81.1 | 69.8 | 72.1 | 90.1 | 71.4 | 75.9 | 85.7 | 70.5 | 73.9 |
| 24. | Uttarakhand | 65.0 | 55.8 | 58.6 | 78.5 | 79.7 | 79.4 | 71.2 | 67.1 | 68.3 |
| 25. | West Bengal + Andaman \& Nicobar Islands | 76.5 | 52.1 | 57.5 | 86.9 | 55.6 | 65.5 | 82.9 | 53.8 | 61.7 |
| All India |  | 70.6 | 62.4 | 64.9 | 80.6 | 67.7 | 72.0 | 75.9 | 65.0 | 68.5 |

Base: All male respondents

### 6.3.2 Involvement in Sexual Activities with Another Male

The male respondents, who reported that they were aware of male to male sexual behaviour were asked if they had ever involved in such behaviour. Of all male respondents, three percent reported that they had themselves indulged in such behaviour. (Table 6.7)

No major variations in the proportion were observed across age group and place of residence. Among the states/group of states, the proportion was reported to be highest in Kerala and Lakshadweep ( $8 \%$ ) followed by Punjab and Chandigarh (6\%) and Delhi and Haryana (4\%). The proportion was less than one percent in other NE states, Sikkim, Karnataka, Assam and Jharkhand, Goa and Daman \& Diu, Himachal Pradesh and Uttarakhand.

### 6.4 Implications of Findings on Sexual Behaviour and Condom Usage

The disaggregated analysis of BSS 2001 data showed that casual sex (non-regular sex) was prevalent among the young people (aged 15-24 years) in the country. The prevalence was 12 percent among males and two percent among females while the overall prevalence was seven percent. BSS 2006 data shows slightly higher prevalence of casual sex among the youth at eight percent. The prevalence rate has gone up by one percentage point for the young males as well as females.

It is of quite concern to note that as high as 31 percent of the rural male respondents in the high prevalence state of Andhra Pradesh and 20 to 27 percent of the urban males in Punjab and Chandigarh, Delhi, Madhya Pradesh, and Gujarat and Dadra \& Nagar Haveli reported involvement in non-regular sex in the last 12 months. Further, 12 percent of urban females in Other North Eastern States and, Punjab and Chandigarh and 11 percent of rural females
Table 6.7: Proportion of male respondents who had ever indulged in sexual activities with a male partner by age and residence

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (All | are in percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | State/Group of States |  | 15-19 year |  |  | 20-24 year |  |  | 15-24 yea |  |
| No. |  | U | R | T | U | R | T | U | R | T |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 0.0 (180) | 2.4 (130) | 1.6 (310) | 0.0 (167) | 2.7 (190) | 1.9 (357) | 0.0 (347) | 2.6 (320) | 1.7 (667) |
| 2. | Assam | 0.0 (205) | 0.2 (252) | 0.1 (457) | 0.0 (376) | 0.9 (351) | 0.7 (727) | 0.0 (581) | 0.5 (603) | 0.4 (1184) |
| 3. | Bihar | 0.5 (231) | 4.1 (152) | 3.5 (383) | 1.4 (244) | 0.9 (190) | 1.0 (434) | 0.9 (475) | 2.4 (342) | 2.2 (817) |
| 4. | Chhattisgarh | 0.0 (91) | 0.3 (92) | 0.2 (183) | 1.1 (125) | 2.1 (126) | 1.8 (251) | 0.5 (216) | 1.3 (218) | 1.1 (434) |
| 5. | Delhi | 3.8 (507) | 1.9 (305) | 3.7 (812) | 5.3 (446) | 0.9 (402) | 4.9 (848) | 4.4 (953) | 1.4 (707) | 4.3 (1660) |
| 6. | Goa + Daman \& Diu | 2.3 (183) | 0.0 (82) | 1.2 (265) | 1.3 (248) | 0.0 (97) | 0.7 (345) | 1.8 (431) | 0.0 (179) | 0.9 (610) |
| 7. | Gujarat + Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 1.6 (193) | 0.2 (156) | 0.8 (349) | 4.3 (256) | 1.4 (161) | 2.9 (417) | 3.1 (449) | 0.8 (317) | 1.8 (766) |
| 8. | Haryana | 2.0 (417) | 4.7 (423) | 3.9 (840) | 2.4 (479) | 6.4 (450) | 5.0 (929) | 2.2 (896) | 5.5 (873) | 4.4 (1769) |
| 9. | Himachal Pradesh | 2.7 (353) | 0.0 (289) | 0.3 (642) | 1.9 (475) | 1.3 (442) | 1.3 (917) | 2.3 (828) | 0.7 (731) | 0.9 (1559) |
| 10. | Jammu \& Kashmir | 3.1 (280) | 1.8 (349) | 2.1 (629) | 2.8 (357) | 2.7 (370) | 2.7 (727) | 2.9 (637) | 2.2 (719) | 2.4 (1356) |
| 11. | Jharkhand | 1.1 (207) | 0.6 (134) | 0.7 (341) | 0.1 (220) | 0.1 (153) | 0.1 (373) | 0.7 (427) | 0.4 (287) | 0.4 (714) |
| 12. | Karnataka | 0.0 (91) | 0.0 (122) | 0.0 (213) | 0.3 (169) | 1.0 (170) | 0.7 (339) | 0.2 (260) | 0.6 (292) | 0.4 (552) |
| 13. | Kerala + Lakshadweep | 7.0 (268) | 7.6 (235) | 7.4 (503) | 8.8 (403) | 8.3 (361) | 8.4 (764) | 8.0 (671) | 8.0 (596) | 8.0 (1267) |
| 14. | Madhya Pradesh | 3.3 (153) | 3.2 (151) | 3.3 (304) | 5.1 (193) | 2.9 (187) | 3.6 (380) | 4.3 (346) | 3.0 (338) | 3.4 (684) |
| 15. | Maharashtra | 1.7 (364) | 3.6 (307) | 2.7 (671) | 2.3 (383) | 5.8 (298) | 4.1 (681) | 2.0 (747) | 4.6 (605) | 3.4 (1352) |
| 16. | Manipur | 0.0 (136) | 0.2 (162) | 0.2 (298) | 0.0 (181) | 3.7 (204) | 2.9 (385) | 0.0 (317) | 2.1 (366) | 1.6 (683) |
| 17. | Orissa | 0.9 (114) | 0.0 (178) | 0.2 (292) | 2.8 (234) | 2.1 (249) | 2.2 (483) | 1.9 (348) | 1.2 (427) | 1.3 (775) |
| 18. | Other North Eastern States | 0.3 (225) | 0.0 (185) | 0.1 (410) | 0.0 (325) | 0.1 (312) | 0.0 (637) | 0.1 (550) | 0.0 (497) | 0.1 (1047) |
| 19. | Punjab + Chandigarh | 8.2 (483) | 2.9 (410) | 5.0 (893) | 14.3 (559) | 3.1 (426) | 7.8 (985) | 11.2 (1042) | 3.0 (836) | 6.3 (1878) |
| 20. | Rajasthan | 0.6 (318) | 2.6 (239) | 2.0 (557) | 1.8 (405) | 1.2 (272) | 1.4 (677) | 1.2 (723) | 1.9 (511) | 1.7 (1234) |
| 21. | Sikkim | 0.2 (237) | 0.0 (155) | 0.0 (392) | 0.3 (269) | 0.0 (236) | 0.0 (505) | 0.3 (506) | 0.0 (391) | 0.0 (897) |
| 22. | Tamil Nadu + Puducherry | 0.6 (263) | 0.2 (226) | 0.4 (489) | 4.4 (392) | 3.2 (336) | 3.9 (728) | 3.1 (655) | 1.8 (562) | 2.5 (1217) |
| 23. | Uttar Pradesh | 2.1 (436) | 3.5 (363) | 3.2 (799) | 2.8 (485) | 3.7 (290) | 3.5 (775) | 2.4 (921) | 3.6 (653) | 3.3 (1574) |
| 24. | Uttarakhand | 0.4 (332) | 0.8 (254) | 0.7 (586) | 1.8 (360) | 0.6 (311) | 1 (671) | 1.0 (692) | 0.7 (565) | 0.8 (1257) |
| 25. | West Bengal + Andaman \& Nicobar Islands | 2.0 (237) | 0.4 (241) | 0.8 (478) | 3.3 (391) | 0.4 (283) | 1.3 (674) | 2.8 (628) | 0.4 (524) | 1.0 (1152) |
| All India |  | 1.8 (6504) | 2.4 (5592) | 2.2 (12096) | 3.2 (8142) | 2.6 (6867) | 2.8 (15009) | 2.6 (14646) | 2.5 (12459) | 2.5 (27105) |


in Maharashtra reported sex with non-regular partners. These factors should be taken into consideration while designing state specific HIV/AIDS interventions.

Among the youths who had non-regular sex during last one year, 62 percent had used a condom during last sex with non-regular partner. This proportion has significantly increased significantly from BSS 2001 figure of 52 percent. Similarly, the proportion of respondents reporting consistent condom use has also increased significantly from 34 percent in BSS 2001 to 47 percent in BSS 2006. The above findings indicate that HIV/AIDS interventions have made significant impact in promoting condom usage as well as consistent condom use during sex with non-regular partners.

## Awareness about Testing Facilities and Stigma against People Living with HIV/AIDS

Fears about family rejection, loss of job, and public shunning impede the effectiveness of HIV and AIDS prevention and care efforts. Stigma and discrimination discourage those who are infected with and affected by HIV and AIDS from seeking needed services because seeking services may reveal their HIV status to their families, workplace colleagues, or community. Ideas about the lifestyles of people living with HIV and AIDS contribute to a sense that HIV and AIDS are problems that affect "others," which may undermine individuals' estimation of their own risk and reduce their motivation to take preventive measures.

This chapter presents the key awareness indicators covered in the survey with respect to awareness about testing facility in the area and stigma against people living with HIV/AIDS. These queries, by and large, dealt with the respondents' awareness on confidential HIV testing facilities, knowledge of or exposure to AIDS patients and attitude towards People Living with HIV/AIDS (PLHA).

### 7.1 Testing and Counselling Facilities

Integrated Counselling \& Testing (ICT) is increasingly being recognised as a crucial component of effective strategies for HIV/AIDS prevention, diagnosis and care. If implemented properly, ICT has the potential of providing multiple benefits. For individuals, ICT provides an opportunity to enhance one's ability to reduce risk and increase one's access to HIV prevention, diagnosis, care, treatment and support services. For communities, ICT is a means to create awareness, mobilise local responses and reduce denial, stigma and discrimination. Importantly, new developments in the dynamics and response to the epidemic have made ICT an essential component, providing a link between prevention and care.

The following section presents the awareness of the respondents with respect to HIV/AIDS testing facilities, ICTC and parent to child transmission of HIV/AIDS.

### 7.1.1 Awareness about any HIV/AIDS Testing Facility in their Area

All the respondents aware of HIV/AIDS were asked whether they are aware of any HIV/AIDS testing facility in the area where they reside. It must be borne in mind that the responses might not be a direct reflection of the physical availability of such facilities. Other factors like basic literacy of the respondents, exposure to information and communication could also be contributory factors. Among all respondents aware of HIV/AIDS, only around one-third (35\%) reported to be aware of any HIV/AIDS testing facility in their area (Table 7.1a).

A significantly higher proportion of males (39\%) as compared to females (30\%) were aware of any testing facility in the area. Also, the awareness was observed to be higher among respondents from urban areas (43\%) than those from rural areas (31\%).

Table 7.1a: Proportion of respondents (15-24 years) aware of any HIV/AIDS testing facility in their area by residence and gender

| (All figures are in percentage) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SI. <br> No. | State/Group of States | 15-24 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  | Total |  |  |
|  |  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 67.4 | 44.5 | 56.5 | 61.0 | 51.6 | 56.4 | 63.0 | 49.4 | 56.4 |
| 2. | Assam | 59.3 | 26.7 | 44.6 | 5.2 | 2.8 | 4.0 | 13.5 | 6.0 | 9.9 |
| 3. | Bihar | 29.7 | 16.1 | 24.8 | 20.9 | 16.6 | 19.6 | 22.3 | 16.5 | 20.5 |
| 4. | Chhattisgarh | 25.4 | 17.9 | 21.8 | 25.5 | 13.5 | 19.8 | 25.5 | 14.9 | 20.4 |
| 5. | Delhi | 51.4 | 39.8 | 46.8 | 35.2 | 22.7 | 30.5 | 50.4 | 38.9 | 45.9 |
| 6. | Goa + Daman \& Diu | 39.4 | 34.9 | 37.4 | 29.1 | 21.0 | 25.7 | 34.3 | 28.5 | 31.7 |
| 7. | Gujarat + Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 50.6 | 49.0 | 49.9 | 19.7 | 18.5 | 19.2 | 35.0 | 32.0 | 33.7 |
| 8. | Haryana | 41.4 | 21.1 | 33.0 | 47.3 | 25.9 | 38.8 | 45.5 | 24.4 | 37.1 |
| 9. | Himachal Pradesh | 53.0 | 35.6 | 45.0 | 48.4 | 32.6 | 40.5 | 48.9 | 32.8 | 41.0 |
| 10. | Jammu \& Kashmir | 37.8 | 27.5 | 33.4 | 15.9 | 10.5 | 13.8 | 21.7 | 15.5 | 19.2 |
| 11. | Jharkhand | 39.8 | 31.7 | 36.5 | 25.3 | 26.7 | 25.8 | 29.6 | 28.4 | 29.1 |
| 12. | Karnataka | 65.6 | 41.3 | 55.9 | 42.0 | 40.3 | 41.2 | 51.6 | 40.6 | 46.7 |
| 13. | Kerala + Lakshadweep | 42.8 | 36.3 | 39.4 | 33.8 | 37.0 | 35.5 | 36.0 | 36.8 | 36.4 |
| 14. | Madhya Pradesh | 30.9 | 11.6 | 22.3 | 15.9 | 19.4 | 17.3 | 21.3 | 16.1 | 19.2 |
| 15. | Maharashtra | 69.7 | 63.3 | 67.0 | 67.6 | 55.7 | 62.1 | 68.6 | 59.1 | 64.4 |
| 16. | Manipur | 61.2 | 63.9 | 62.6 | 31.2 | 28.2 | 29.8 | 37.5 | 36.3 | 36.9 |
| 17. | Orissa | 26.4 | 13.8 | 20.7 | 16.3 | 10.1 | 13.4 | 18.4 | 10.8 | 14.9 |
| 18. | Other North Eastern States | 53.6 | 50.3 | 52.0 | 14.2 | 19.7 | 16.9 | 26.1 | 28.5 | 27.2 |
| 19. | Punjab + Chandigarh | 36.1 | 34.7 | 35.5 | 25.5 | 12.4 | 19.6 | 29.9 | 21.3 | 26.1 |
| 20. | Rajasthan | 45.2 | 37.1 | 41.8 | 36.4 | 32.3 | 34.8 | 39.1 | 33.9 | 37.0 |
| 21. | Sikkim | 56.2 | 69.7 | 62.2 | 30.4 | 36.6 | 33.0 | 34.3 | 42.0 | 37.5 |
| 22. | Tamil Nadu + Puducherry | 33.9 | 41.3 | 37.6 | 30.4 | 38.5 | 34.3 | 32.1 | 40.0 | 36.0 |
| 23. | Uttar Pradesh | 48.6 | 16.9 | 36.0 | 40.2 | 13.6 | 29.6 | 42.1 | 14.4 | 31.1 |
| 24. | Uttarakhand | 34.4 | 15.9 | 26.8 | 35.6 | 10.1 | 23.1 | 35.2 | 11.5 | 24.2 |
| 25. | West Bengal + Andaman \& Nicobar Islands | 37.3 | 15.6 | 27.0 | 9.7 | 6.5 | 8.4 | 18.1 | 9.8 | 14.5 |
| All India |  | 48.9 | 36.2 | 43.4 | 33.7 | 26.5 | 30.6 | 38.9 | 29.8 | 35.0 |

Base: All respondents aware of HIV/AIDS
Among the states/group of states, the awareness with respect to HIV/AIDS testing facility in the area was lowest in the states of Assam (9\%) followed by Orissa and, West Bengal and AN Islands (15\%). The proportion was highest in Maharashtra (65\%), followed by Andhra Pradesh (56\%), Karnataka (47\%) and Delhi (46\%).

The awareness of HIV/AIDS testing facility was quite low among the rural females in Assam (3\%), West Bengal and Andaman \& Nicobar Islands (7\%), Orissa, Uttarakhand, Jammu \& Kashmir, Punjab and Chandigarh, Chhattisgarh and Uttar Pradesh (10-15\%). Even among the urban females aware of HIV/AIDS, less than 20 percent in the states of Madhya Pradesh, Orissa, West Bengal and Andaman \& Nicobar Islands, Uttarakhand, Bihar, Uttar Pradesh and Chhattisgarh knew about any HIV/AIDS testing facilities in their area.
Table 7．1b：Proportion of respondents aware of any HIV／AIDS testing facility in their area by age，residence and gender

| $\begin{aligned} & \bar{\pi} \\ & \hline 1 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $0$ | $\stackrel{9}{i}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\underset{\sim}{N}}$ | 方 | $\stackrel{\stackrel{N}{*}}{\stackrel{1}{2}}$ | $\underset{j}{\underset{j}{2}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{m}}$ | $\stackrel{\llcorner }{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\Gamma}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\underset{\sim}{+}}{\stackrel{+}{2}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{1}{\infty} \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{+} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\underset{N}{N}}{ }$ | - | N | $\stackrel{\infty}{\stackrel{1}{寸}}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\stackrel{\infty}{-1}}$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\mathbf{N}}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\mathrm{N}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \hline 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{N}{\dot{j}}$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O. } \\ & \text {. } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\underset{\sim}{-}}$ | No |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | レ | H. | $\stackrel{\square}{\bullet}$ | $\stackrel{0}{\underset{\sim}{1}}$ | $\stackrel{m}{n}$ | $\stackrel{\text { n }}{\stackrel{Y}{寸}}$ | No | $\stackrel{0}{\mathrm{~m}}$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\bullet}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{m} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{N}{n}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\text { N. }}$ | $\underset{\text { ָ }}{\underset{\text { H}}{2}}$ | $\stackrel{-}{\mathrm{N}}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{1}{4}}{\underset{\sim}{4}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{7}$ | $\stackrel{0}{0}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{N}}$ | $\stackrel{9}{N}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{m}{\mathrm{~m}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \text { of } \end{aligned}$ | $0$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bullet \\ & \underset{\sim}{X} \end{aligned}$ | $0$ | N |
|  | $\Sigma$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { o } \\ & \text { i } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\eta} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | N゙ | $\underset{\sim}{N}$ | $\stackrel{\mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{~N}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\text { N. }}$ | N゙ | ํํ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O } \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{N}{\sim}$ | 들 | $\stackrel{-}{n}$ | $\stackrel{\text { N. }}{\text { N }}$ | $\underset{N}{\underset{N}{N}}$ | ® | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{N}}$ | గి | $\hat{e}$ | $\stackrel{0}{\dot{子}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\mathbf{N}}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\underset{\sim}{\sim}}{ }$ | $\underset{\sim}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{\substack{*}}$ |
| 들 | $\vdash$ | No | $\stackrel{\leftarrow}{\vee}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{N}{N}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{N}}$ | $\stackrel{-}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\underset{\sim}{n}}{ }$ | $\underset{\sim}{N}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\triangleleft}$ | en | $\stackrel{N}{\mathbf{N}}$ |  | $\stackrel{9}{n}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{ \pm}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{ \pm}{\underset{\sim}{+}}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\infty}$ | 운 | セ̧ | $\stackrel{m}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\underset{N}{\underset{~}{~}}}{ }$ | $\cdots$ |  |
|  | レ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{9}{n}$ | $\stackrel{n}{\Psi}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{-}$ | 우N | $\stackrel{\infty}{\stackrel{\infty}{N}}$ | $\stackrel{0}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{m}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\grave{N}}{\stackrel{N}{1}}$ | Nั | $\stackrel{\stackrel{i}{+}}{\stackrel{\sim}{2}}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\underset{\sim}{\infty}}$ | $\stackrel{i}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{-}{-}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{n}$ | $\stackrel{\underset{N}{m}}{ }$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{N} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{0}{\mathrm{I}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { N } \\ \text { N } \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\text { No }}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{1}{0}$ | $\stackrel{0}{0}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{n}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\text { N }}$ |
|  | $\Sigma$ | $\underset{\infty}{\infty}$ | 둔 | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{N}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{1}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{N}}$ | $\stackrel{\underset{N}{N}}{N}$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\bullet}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ñ }}{\substack{0}}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ! }}{\underset{\sim}{1}}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathbf{N}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text {-' } \\ & \hline 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{n}{n}$ | $\underset{-\infty}{\infty}$ | n | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\underset{\sim}{r}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \mathbf{O} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\hat{O}}{6}$ | $\stackrel{\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{m}}}{\substack{\text { in }}}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{N}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{n}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\stackrel{\infty}{\mathrm{m}}}$ | $\underset{\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{I}}}{\substack{2}}$ | $\stackrel{1}{1}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 들 } \\ & \frac{10}{5} \end{aligned}$ | $\vdash$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{i}} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\underset{Y}{i}}{\underset{\sim}{2}}$ | $\stackrel{\underset{N}{N}}{ }$ | $\stackrel{0}{\mathrm{~N}}$ | ${\underset{n}{n}}^{m}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\dot{\gamma}}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\bullet}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathbf{n}}$ | $\stackrel{\underset{~}{*}}{\underset{~}{*}}$ | $\stackrel{0}{\infty}$ | Nò | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Y } \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{9}{6}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathbf{N}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { חn } \\ & \stackrel{n}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\underset{\underset{\sim}{\underset{~}{2}}}{ }$ | - | $\begin{gathered} \text { N } \\ \text { O} \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{N}{\mathrm{~N}}$ | Nơ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\underset{m}{+}}$ | O |
|  | レ | $\underset{\substack{\infty \\+\\ \hline}}{ }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{I}}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{0}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\text { ®ீ }}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\stackrel{\infty}{j}}$ | in | $\stackrel{\infty}{\underset{\sim}{N}}$ | $\stackrel{m}{\stackrel{\sim}{m}}$ | $\stackrel{0}{0}$ | $\stackrel{\underset{M}{N}}{\substack{2}}$ |  | ๗ిj | $\stackrel{-}{\square}$ | $\stackrel{-1}{6}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Y } \\ & \text { Bo } \end{aligned}$ | - | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \text { 가 } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\text { ボ }}{\underset{子}{2}}$ | $\stackrel{-}{-}$ | $\stackrel{\text { n }}{\sim}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\bullet}{\mathcal{Y}} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{n}{n}$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{i}{\mathrm{i}}$ | － |
|  | $\Sigma$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\infty}$ | 궁 | $\stackrel{\sim}{n}$ | $\stackrel{ \pm}{\underset{\sim}{+}}$ | กั | $\underset{\underset{~}{\underset{~}{\prime}}}{ }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & + \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\text { ®o }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & \underset{寸}{ } \end{aligned}$ | م̣ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \text { N } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{m}{n}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{+}$ | 웅 | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\underset{\sim}{~}}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\stackrel{\infty}{\circ}}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | +ֻ | ڭั | $\underset{\sim}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { o } \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\sim}{m}$ | $\stackrel{\cap}{\mathbb{Z}}$ | N |
| त্ত |  | $\stackrel{-1}{7}$ | $0$ | $\stackrel{-}{9}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{N}}$ | - | $\stackrel{+}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\mathrm{N}}$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\bullet}$ | $\stackrel{m}{\mathrm{~m}}$ | $\stackrel{9}{0}$ | $\stackrel{0}{\text { N }}$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\underset{寸}{+}}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{m}{\square}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bullet \\ & \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\stackrel{\infty}{+}}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{n}$ | $\stackrel{+}{\underset{~}{~}}$ | $\stackrel{0}{\square}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\text { + }}$ | No | $\stackrel{\Perp}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\Gamma}{n}$ | $\stackrel{\leftarrow}{N}$ | $\stackrel{0}{0}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\text { M }}$ |
|  | レ | $\begin{aligned} & n \\ & \infty \\ & \underset{\gamma}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{r}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\sim}{n}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{i}$ | ヘị | Nò | $\underset{\sim}{N}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{2}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & n \\ & \stackrel{n}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \sim \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ |  | $\stackrel{0}{\infty}$ | $\hat{\circ}$ | $\hat{N}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{N}}$ | $\stackrel{m}{N}$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{n}$ | Ǹ | $\stackrel{7}{7}$ | 「ì | $\stackrel{\underset{N}{-}}{\substack{2 \\ \hline}}$ | $\underset{-1}{m}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | － |
|  | $\Sigma$ | $\stackrel{\underset{\sim}{n}}{ }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{1}{9} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{O}}}{ }$ | $\underset{\sim}{0}$ | $\stackrel{\underset{寸}{寸}}{\substack{\text { ( }}}$ | No | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\text { ®ீ }}$ | $\underset{\underset{-}{7}}{\underset{\sim}{2}}$ | $\stackrel{9}{1}$ | $\stackrel{\ominus}{\underset{\sim}{+}}$ | ம் | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{7}}$ | Ǹ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \hline 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{n}{\underset{\sim}{~}}$ | $\stackrel{\underset{\sim}{n}}{\substack{2}}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{N}}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | $\underset{\sim}{N}$ | ọ | Ni | $\stackrel{-}{-}$ | $\stackrel{\llcorner }{\mathrm{M}}$ | $\stackrel{m}{-}$ | $\cdots$ |
| 들 | $\vdash$ | Ni | $\stackrel{n}{n}$ | $\begin{gathered} \infty \\ \infty \\ \cdots \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{0}{0}$ | $\stackrel{N}{\mathrm{~N}}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\underset{\sim}{\circ}}$ | Ồ | مి | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\dot{m}}$ | $\stackrel{N}{n}$ | $\stackrel{N}{N}$ | No | $\stackrel{\underset{\sim}{*}}{ }$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\bullet}$ | $\stackrel{H}{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{-1}$ | $\underset{\underset{\sim}{N}}{\underset{\sim}{2}}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\underset{\sim}{1}}$ | $\stackrel{\text { O}}{\underset{\sim}{4}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{n}}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{1}{\mathrm{~N}}}{\mathrm{~m}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \underset{\sim}{\mathrm{~N}} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{-}{N}$ | $\cdots$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\text { N－}}$ |
|  | レ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ni } \\ & \text { nin } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{-}{-}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{1}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{-1}{-1}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{N}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underline{1} \\ & \underset{n}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\sim}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{\sim}}$ | O. | 움 | $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{m}}$ | ṇ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \underset{N}{N} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{-}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{N}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\infty} \underset{\sim}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\substack{0}}{\substack{2}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \underset{寸}{\prime} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{-}{-}$ | n | $0$ | N |
|  | $\geq$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | n | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{-\infty}{-1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\underset{\sim}{\sim}}{\underset{\sim}{2}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{N}}$ | றִ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \circ \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\underset{\sim}{4}}$ | $\stackrel{\underset{N}{N}}{ }$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\underset{寸}{+}}$ | $\stackrel{\mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{~N}}$ | $\stackrel{N}{n}$ | 궁 | $\stackrel{\underset{\sim}{t}}{\stackrel{1}{2}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \underset{\sim}{\mathrm{H}} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\underset{-}{-1}}$ | +্ণ | $\stackrel{m}{\underset{\sim}{*}}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\mathrm{N}}$ | 인 | ిి뭉 | $\stackrel{n}{\mathrm{~m}}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{ \pm}{\text { m }}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 든 } \\ & \frac{0}{5} \end{aligned}$ | $\vdash$ | ๗̣ | $\underset{\underset{~ N}{*}}{\underset{\sim}{2}}$ | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{\text { N }}$ | $\stackrel{0}{\infty}$ | Nò | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{N}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { N } \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\mathbf{N}}$ | $\stackrel{0}{\underset{\sim}{r}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bullet \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{N} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \dot{m} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | -- | $\underset{\sim}{n}$ | $\stackrel{-1}{0}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ơ } \\ & \text { io } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{9}{\forall}$ | $\stackrel{N}{\mathrm{~N}}$ | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{\underset{子}{\prime}}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\stackrel{\infty}{n}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{m}}$ | $\stackrel{\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{N}}}{\stackrel{1}{2}}$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{N}{\bullet}$ | n |
|  | レ | $\stackrel{N}{\infty}$ | N | $\underset{\sim}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ざ } \\ & \text { n } \end{aligned}$ | 웅 | $\stackrel{\ominus}{6}$ | $\stackrel{m}{-1}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\text { N }}$ | Ni | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{c}}$ | $\stackrel{9}{\underset{\gamma}{-}}$ | No | $\stackrel{-}{\underset{\sim}{1}}$ | $\stackrel{N}{i}$ | 운 | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\Im}{\star}$ | $\underset{\sim}{n}$ | $\stackrel{-}{\mathrm{N}}$ |  | ®̣ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \underset{\sim}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\sigma}$ | ゼ |
|  | $\Sigma$ | oి | $\begin{aligned} & 6 . \\ & \text { 숭 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\bullet}{ \pm} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\underset{\sim}{\lambda}}{ }$ | $\stackrel{-}{\circ}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{N}{\mathrm{~N}}$ | পిలై | $\stackrel{n}{\underset{\star}{*}}$ | No | $\stackrel{-}{M}$ | $\stackrel{-}{n}$ | $\stackrel{9}{7}$ | $\stackrel{9}{\mathrm{~m}}$ | $\stackrel{0}{6}$ | O? | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \sim \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{i}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\underset{\sim}{7}}{ }$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{n}$ | $\stackrel{0}{\dot{\circ}}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\text { fig }}$ | No | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{*}}$ | $\bigcirc$ |

The level of awareness of any HIV/AIDS testing facility in their area was observed to be higher among the respondents of higher age group, i.e 20-24 years ( $37 \%$ ) as compared to respondents in the age group of $15-19$ years (33\%). Across both age groups, the corresponding proportion was higher in urban areas as compared to rural areas. Also, in both urban and rural areas, lower proportion of females was aware of this issue as compared with males. (Table 7.1b)

In the age group of 15-19 years, hardly two percent of the rural female respondents in Assam and only 7-15 percent of those in Punjab and Chandigarh, Uttarakhand, West Bengal and AN Islands, Goa and Daman \& Diu, Jammu \& Kashmir, Orissa and Chhattisgarh were aware of any HIV/AIDS testing facility in their area. Similarly, in the age group of 20-24 years, hardly four percent of rural females in Assam and West Bengal and AN Islands and around 8 to 10 percent in Orissa, Jammu \& Kashmir, Uttar Pradesh and Uttarakhand were aware of this aspect. (Table 7.1b)

### 7.1.2 Possibility of Confidential Testing Facility in the Area

All the respondents were asked to comment on the possibility for someone to actually undergo a confidential HIV test, if such a facility (laboratory facility) is started in their area. The responses have been tabulated in Table 7.2a.

Table 7.2a: Proportion of respondents (15-24 years) reporting that confidential testing of HIV/AIDS is possible if testing facility is opened in their area by residence and gender
(All figures are in percentage)

| SI. No. | State/Group of States | 15-24 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  | Total |  |  |
|  |  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 77.6 | 60.2 | 69.3 | 59.2 | 56.4 | 57.8 | 65.1 | 57.6 | 61.5 |
| 2. | Assam | 71.7 | 62.2 | 67.4 | 54.4 | 58.1 | 56.2 | 57.1 | 58.7 | 57.9 |
| 3. | Bihar | 80.0 | 87.1 | 82.6 | 91.4 | 86.3 | 89.9 | 89.6 | 86.4 | 88.6 |
| 4. | Chhattisgarh | 76.3 | 69.1 | 72.9 | 79.7 | 68.6 | 74.5 | 78.7 | 68.8 | 74.0 |
| 5. | Delhi | 91.1 | 82.0 | 87.5 | 92.6 | 83.1 | 89.0 | 91.2 | 82.0 | 87.6 |
| 6. | Goa + Daman \& Diu | 67.7 | 77.1 | 71.9 | 48.1 | 61.7 | 53.8 | 57.9 | 70.0 | 63.2 |
| 7. | Gujarat + Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 66.6 | 70.1 | 68.1 | 62.0 | 49.4 | 56.1 | 64.3 | 58.6 | 61.8 |
| 8. | Haryana | 94.2 | 86.3 | 90.9 | 91.1 | 73.1 | 84.0 | 92.1 | 77.2 | 86.1 |
| 9. | Himachal Pradesh | 96.7 | 84.5 | 91.2 | 92.8 | 85.8 | 89.3 | 93.2 | 85.7 | 89.5 |
| 10. | Jammu \& Kashmir | 88.2 | 88.4 | 88.3 | 89.0 | 91.6 | 90.0 | 88.8 | 90.6 | 89.5 |
| 11. | Jharkhand | 83.6 | 73.0 | 79.3 | 70.0 | 61.4 | 66.7 | 74.1 | 65.1 | 70.6 |
| 12. | Karnataka | 52.9 | 39.6 | 47.6 | 32.0 | 32.9 | 32.4 | 40.5 | 35.2 | 38.2 |
| 13. | Kerala + Lakshadweep | 51.7 | 43.5 | 47.4 | 51.4 | 52.9 | 52.2 | 51.5 | 50.6 | 51.0 |
| 14. | Madhya Pradesh | 73.0 | 72.7 | 72.8 | 77.4 | 65.1 | 72.7 | 75.8 | 68.3 | 72.8 |
| 15. | Maharashtra | 89.6 | 88.3 | 89.0 | 85.3 | 72.6 | 79.5 | 87.4 | 79.6 | 83.9 |
| 16. | Manipur | 70.6 | 61.6 | 66.0 | 57.4 | 45.1 | 51.4 | 60.2 | 48.8 | 54.6 |
| 17. | Orissa | 93.2 | 90.4 | 91.9 | 80.6 | 70.4 | 75.8 | 83.2 | 74.4 | 79.1 |
| 18. | Other North Eastern States | 76.9 | 78.6 | 77.7 | 61.9 | 79.6 | 70.5 | 66.4 | 79.3 | 72.6 |
| 19. | Punjab + Chandigarh | 73.2 | 81.8 | 77.0 | 73.5 | 71.0 | 72.4 | 73.4 | 75.3 | 74.3 |
| 20. | Rajasthan | 88.1 | 68.9 | 80.1 | 87.7 | 51.8 | 73.6 | 87.9 | 57.5 | 75.7 |
| 21. | Sikkim | 45.7 | 65.4 | 54.5 | 41.3 | 53.2 | 46.2 | 41.9 | 55.2 | 47.5 |
| 22. | Tamil Nadu + Puducherry | 57.9 | 71.6 | 64.7 | 58.0 | 57.9 | 58.0 | 58.0 | 64.9 | 61.4 |
| 23. | Uttar Pradesh | 93.3 | 67.1 | 82.8 | 87.4 | 78.5 | 83.9 | 88.8 | 75.9 | 83.6 |
| 24. | Uttarakhand | 89.3 | 84.4 | 87.3 | 84.9 | 80.6 | 82.9 | 86.2 | 81.6 | 84.1 |
| 25. | West Bengal + Andaman \& Nicobar Islands | 61.8 | 58.8 | 60.4 | 58.7 | 37.0 | 49.8 | 59.6 | 44.9 | 53.3 |
| All India |  | 77.1 | 71.1 | 74.4 | 73.8 | 63.0 | 69.1 | 74.9 | 65.8 | 70.9 |

Base: All respondents aware of HIV/AIDS

About 71 percent of the respondents perceived that if such a facility was opened in their area, it would be possible for people to get tested confidentially. This proportion was significantly higher in urban (74\%) than rural areas (69\%). Further, significantly higher proportion of the males ( $75 \%$ ) than the females ( $66 \%$ ) carried such perceptions.

Across states/group of states, the proportion was observed to be highest (around 90\%) in Himachal Pradesh, Jammu and Kashmir, Bihar and Delhi and lowest i.e. less than 50 percent in Sikkim and Karnataka.

Table 7.2 b shows that almost equal proportion ( $71 \%$ ) of respondents in both the age groups perceived that if a laboratory facility was opened in their area for testing HIV/AIDS, it would be possible for people to get tested confidentially.

## Attitude towards HIV Testing

Figure 7.1: Proportion of respondents (15-24 years) reporting that confidential testing of HIV is possible if testing facility is opened in their area by residence and gender: 2006


Base: All respondents aware of HIV/AIDS

### 7.1.3 Awareness about ICTC

In this survey, all the respondents aware of HIV/AIDS were asked whether they were aware of ICTC. In case they were not familiar with the abbreviation, the explanation was provided as "ICTCs are Integrated Counselling and Testing Centres - where one can get information on HIV/ AIDS and get tested for HIV/AIDS". Tables 7.3a \& B present the data across all the states.

About only 26 percent (males $27 \%$, females $26 \%$ ) of the respondents aware of HIV/AIDS knew about ICTC. A significantly higher proportion of urban respondents (35\%) as compared to rural respondents $(22 \%)$ had heard of ICTC. It was interesting to note that the awareness on this issue was similar for both males and females in the urban areas. Significant variation was observed across different states/group of states with a highest proportion being reported in Gujarat and Dadra Nagar Haveli (48\%), Maharashtra (47\%), Andhra Pradesh (43\%). The proportion was observed to be lowest in Bihar (12\%), Madhya Pradesh (10\%) and, Punjab and Chandigarh (9\%).

Compared to 29 percent of the respondents aged $20-24$ years, 23 percent of those aged 15-19 years were aware of ICTC. In both the age groups, a significant difference was observed in the proportion of respondents in rural and urban areas who had ever heard of ICTC. However, no major variation in the level of awareness was observed among male and female respondents aged 15-19 years and 20-24 years.

### 7.1.4 Awareness about PPTCT

Though the overall prevalence of HIV infection in general population is not alarming in India, there are indications of rising trends of HIV infection among pregnant women in some of the states. This is posing a threat to child survival, necessitating steps towards control and prevention of parent-to-child transmission (PPTCT). NACO has launched this programme and a need was felt to understand the awareness levels among general population regarding the same.
Table 7.2b: Proportion of respondents reporting that confidential testing of HIV/AIDS is possible if testing facility is opened in their area by age, residence and gender

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (All fig | are in | enta |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SI. } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | State/Group of States | 15-19 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20-24 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  | Total |  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  | Total |  |  |
|  |  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 71.9 | 54.6 | 64.7 | 51.1 | 52.5 | 51.8 | 58.4 | 53.1 | 55.9 | 83.7 | 64.0 | 73.3 | 65.9 | 59.8 | 63.0 | 71.1 | 61.2 | 66.2 |
| 2. | Assam | 65.9 | 62.8 | 64.8 | 50.1 | 52.1 | 51.1 | 52.5 | 53.2 | 52.8 | 77.0 | 61.8 | 69.3 | 58.5 | 63.9 | 61.1 | 61.4 | 63.5 | 62.5 |
| 3. | Bihar | 75.6 | 87.7 | 80.1 | 89.0 | 88.3 | 88.7 | 86.5 | 88.2 | 87.0 | 85.3 | 86.3 | 85.6 | 93.7 | 84.2 | 91.0 | 92.4 | 84.6 | 90.1 |
| 4. | Chhattisgarh | 77.1 | 72.0 | 74.9 | 79.8 | 69.2 | 74.5 | 79.0 | 70.0 | 74.6 | 75.3 | 66.6 | 70.8 | 79.6 | 67.9 | 74.4 | 78.4 | 67.4 | 73.3 |
| 5. | Delhi | 89.1 | 82.5 | 86.9 | 92.7 | 85.7 | 90.2 | 89.3 | 82.7 | 87.0 | 93.5 | 81.5 | 88.1 | 92.5 | 81.4 | 88.1 | 93.4 | 81.5 | 88.1 |
| 6. | Goa + Daman \& Diu | 62.6 | 74.4 | 68.1 | 51.4 | 66.5 | 56.9 | 57.1 | 71.3 | 63.0 | 71.6 | 79.3 | 75.1 | 45.5 | 59.3 | 51.9 | 58.6 | 69.0 | 63.3 |
| 7. | Gujarat + Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 65.7 | 72.9 | 68.7 | 63.8 | 51.0 | 58.5 | 64.7 | 61.3 | 63.3 | 67.4 | 67.7 | 67.5 | 60.0 | 48.3 | 54.1 | 63.9 | 56.4 | 60.4 |
| 8. | Haryana | 93.0 | 86.7 | 90.4 | 88.2 | 74.0 | 82.8 | 89.6 | 77.9 | 85.0 | 95.3 | 85.9 | 91.4 | 94.6 | 72.1 | 85.3 | 94.8 | 76.5 | 87.3 |
| 9. | Himachal Pradesh | 95.6 | 82.0 | 89.7 | 90.9 | 85.5 | 88.3 | 91.4 | 85.2 | 88.5 | 97.7 | 86.2 | 92.3 | 94.2 | 85.9 | 89.9 | 94.5 | 86.0 | 90.2 |
| 10. | Jammu \& Kashmir | 89.0 | 91.0 | 89.9 | 88.8 | 89.5 | 89.1 | 88.9 | 89.9 | 89.3 | 87.6 | 86.0 | 86.9 | 89.3 | 93.5 | 91.1 | 88.8 | 91.3 | 89.8 |
| 11. | Jharkhand | 82.1 | 73.0 | 78.7 | 70.6 | 68.0 | 69.6 | 74.1 | 69.5 | 72.4 | 85.4 | 72.9 | 79.9 | 69.4 | 54.8 | 63.6 | 74.1 | 61.0 | 68.7 |
| 12. | Karnataka | 41.2 | 35.7 | 39.1 | 32.2 | 33.5 | 32.8 | 35.8 | 34.1 | 35.0 | 61.3 | 42.1 | 53.4 | 31.9 | 32.3 | 32.1 | 44.0 | 36.1 | 40.7 |
| 13. | Kerala + Lakshadweep | 48.7 | 41.6 | 44.9 | 51.9 | 49.9 | 50.9 | 51.1 | 47.9 | 49.4 | 53.8 | 45.1 | 49.4 | 51.0 | 55.2 | 53.2 | 51.7 | 52.8 | 52.3 |
| 14. | Madhya Pradesh | 68.5 | 70.8 | 69.5 | 73.6 | 77.8 | 75.2 | 71.9 | 75.0 | 73.1 | 76.6 | 74.2 | 75.5 | 81.2 | 53.8 | 70.4 | 79.4 | 62.6 | 72.4 |
| 15. | Maharashtra | 86.4 | 87.6 | 86.9 | 90.0 | 71.1 | 81.3 | 88.3 | 78.4 | 83.9 | 92.7 | 89.0 | 91.2 | 80.1 | 74.3 | 77.4 | 86.3 | 80.9 | 83.9 |
| 16. | Manipur | 64.5 | 66.2 | 65.3 | 49.6 | 46.4 | 48.1 | 52.7 | 50.2 | 51.5 | 75.7 | 59.0 | 66.5 | 64.2 | 44.1 | 54.2 | 66.6 | 47.8 | 57.0 |
| 17. | Orissa | 91.8 | 88.5 | 90.2 | 80.7 | 74.4 | 77.6 | 83.0 | 77.0 | 80.0 | 94.2 | 92.0 | 93.2 | 80.5 | 66.6 | 74.3 | 83.4 | 71.9 | 78.3 |
| 18. | Other North Eastern States | 69.3 | 73.3 | 71.2 | 66.1 | 72.8 | 69.1 | 67.0 | 73.0 | 69.7 | 83.3 | 83.1 | 83.2 | 57.7 | 84.6 | 71.7 | 65.9 | 84.2 | 75.1 |
| 19. | Punjab + Chandigarh | 71.5 | 83.2 | 76.2 | 71.4 | 73.3 | 72.3 | 71.5 | 77.1 | 73.8 | 75.0 | 80.7 | 77.6 | 75.9 | 68.9 | 72.5 | 75.5 | 73.8 | 74.7 |
| 20. | Rajasthan | 84.1 | 61.9 | 75.4 | 85.2 | 49.2 | 70.1 | 84.9 | 52.7 | 71.6 | 91.7 | 74.0 | 84.0 | 90.3 | 55.2 | 77.5 | 90.7 | 62.7 | 79.8 |
| 21. | Sikkim | 44.9 | 63.4 | 52.4 | 44.9 | 58.0 | 50.6 | 44.9 | 58.8 | 50.9 | 46.6 | 67.0 | 56.3 | 38.2 | 48.5 | 42.3 | 39.4 | 51.8 | 44.5 |
| 22. | Tamil Nadu + Puducherry | 50.5 | 69.8 | 60.7 | 56.4 | 57.5 | 57.0 | 53.8 | 63.0 | 58.6 | 62.0 | 72.8 | 67.1 | 59.5 | 58.2 | 58.9 | 60.8 | 66.4 | 63.4 |
| 23. | Uttar Pradesh | 93.1 | 65.8 | 81.8 | 87.7 | 79.5 | 84.4 | 88.8 | 76.5 | 83.8 | 93.5 | 68.5 | 83.9 | 87.1 | 77.3 | 83.2 | 88.7 | 75.2 | 83.4 |
| 24. | Uttarakhand | 87.7 | 80.7 | 85.1 | 80.7 | 83.4 | 81.9 | 82.8 | 82.7 | 82.8 | 91.0 | 87.8 | 89.6 | 89.6 | 78.0 | 83.8 | 90.0 | 80.4 | 85.4 |
| 25. | West Bengal + Andaman \& Nicobar Islands | 60.4 | 58.6 | 59.4 | 60.3 | 36.6 | 51.4 | 60.3 | 45.1 | 53.9 | 62.6 | 58.9 | 61.1 | 57.1 | 37.4 | 48.4 | 59.1 | 44.7 | 52.8 |
| All India |  | 75.0 | 70.5 | 73.1 | 73.7 | 63.7 | 69.4 | 74.2 | 66.0 | 70.6 | 78.8 | 71.6 | 75.6 | 73.8 | 62.2 | 68.7 | 75.6 | 65.6 | 71.2 |

Base: All respondents aware of HIV/AIDS

Table 7.3a: Proportion of respondents (15-24 years) who had ever heard of ICTC by residence and gender
(All figures are in percentage)

| SI. No. | State/Group of States | 15-24 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  | Total |  |  |
|  |  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 54.9 | 52.5 | 53.8 | 41.7 | 32.8 | 37.4 | 45.9 | 39.0 | 42.6 |
| 2. | Assam | 23.1 | 20.0 | 21.7 | 10.4 | 10.5 | 10.5 | 12.4 | 11.8 | 12.1 |
| 3. | Bihar | 4.1 | 7.7 | 5.4 | 15.8 | 6.0 | 12.9 | 13.9 | 6.4 | 11.6 |
| 4. | Chhattisgarh | 15.7 | 11.1 | 13.5 | 24.9 | 11.7 | 18.6 | 22.1 | 11.5 | 17.1 |
| 5. | Delhi | 24.5 | 16.6 | 21.4 | 17.6 | 15.8 | 16.9 | 24.1 | 16.6 | 21.2 |
| 6. | Goa + Daman \& Diu | 31.3 | 22.5 | 27.3 | 15.7 | 37.6 | 24.9 | 23.5 | 29.5 | 26.2 |
| 7. | Gujarat + Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 62.0 | 73.6 | 66.7 | 30.8 | 30.6 | 30.7 | 46.2 | 49.7 | 47.7 |
| 8. | Haryana | 18.3 | 14.2 | 16.6 | 17.4 | 8.9 | 14.0 | 17.7 | 10.6 | 14.8 |
| 9. | Himachal Pradesh | 32.3 | 17.5 | 25.6 | 24.4 | 13.2 | 18.8 | 25.3 | 13.7 | 19.5 |
| 10. | Jammu \& Kashmir | 21.4 | 15.5 | 18.9 | 8.7 | 5.2 | 7.3 | 12.1 | 8.2 | 10.5 |
| 11. | Jharkhand | 28.6 | 44.7 | 35.2 | 25.7 | 22.1 | 24.3 | 26.6 | 29.4 | 27.7 |
| 12. | Karnataka | 47.4 | 34.3 | 42.2 | 24.7 | 28.0 | 26.2 | 33.9 | 30.1 | 32.2 |
| 13. | Kerala + Lakshadweep | 28.4 | 25.8 | 27.1 | 19.1 | 27.0 | 23.3 | 21.4 | 26.7 | 24.2 |
| 14. | Madhya Pradesh | 12.7 | 11.7 | 12.3 | 8.3 | 10.7 | 9.3 | 9.9 | 11.2 | 10.4 |
| 15. | Maharashtra | 42.1 | 45.8 | 43.7 | 52.6 | 45.8 | 49.5 | 47.6 | 45.8 | 46.8 |
| 16. | Manipur | 46.9 | 46.9 | 46.9 | 19.2 | 18.2 | 18.7 | 25.0 | 24.7 | 24.9 |
| 17. | Orissa | 17.9 | 12.0 | 15.2 | 11.2 | 11.1 | 11.2 | 12.6 | 11.2 | 12.0 |
| 18. | Other North Eastern States | 27.9 | 38.6 | 32.9 | 9.4 | 12.0 | 10.6 | 15.0 | 19.6 | 17.2 |
| 19. | Punjab + Chandigarh | 9.5 | 12.6 | 10.8 | 8.5 | 7.4 | 8.0 | 9.0 | 9.4 | 9.2 |
| 20. | Rajasthan | 21.9 | 13.6 | 18.4 | 24.5 | 11.9 | 19.5 | 23.7 | 12.4 | 19.2 |
| 21. | Sikkim | 33.9 | 29.1 | 31.8 | 10.0 | 14.1 | 11.7 | 13.6 | 16.5 | 14.8 |
| 22. | Tamil Nadu + Puducherry | 58.3 | 47.1 | 52.8 | 29.8 | 22.8 | 26.4 | 44.1 | 35.2 | 39.7 |
| 23. | Uttar Pradesh | 20.3 | 12.7 | 17.3 | 14.9 | 13.5 | 14.4 | 16.2 | 13.3 | 15.0 |
| 24. | Uttarakhand | 9.9 | 7.8 | 9.1 | 17.2 | 5.1 | 11.3 | 15.0 | 5.7 | 10.7 |
| 25. | West Bengal + Andaman \& Nicobar Islands | 41.4 | 43.4 | 42.3 | 15.2 | 20.5 | 17.4 | 23.2 | 28.8 | 25.6 |
| All India |  | 35.4 | 34.4 | 35.0 | 22.5 | 20.8 | 21.8 | 26.9 | 25.5 | 26.3 |

Base: All respondents aware of HIV/AIDS
Table 7.3b: Proportion of respondents who had ever heard of ICTC by age, residence and gender

| SI. | State/Group of States | 15-19 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20-24 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  | Total |  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  | Total |  |  |
|  |  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 49.2 | 47.4 | 48.4 | 30.3 | 31.2 | 30.8 | 37.0 | 35.8 | 36.4 | 61.0 | 56.1 | 58.4 | 51.0 | 34.2 | 42.9 | 53.9 | 41.5 | 47.8 |
| 2. | Assam | 13.8 | 15.1 | 14.3 | 8.4 | 5.4 | 6.9 | 9.2 | 6.4 | 7.9 | 31.5 | 22.7 | 27.0 | 12.4 | 15.3 | 13.8 | 15.4 | 16.5 | 15.9 |
| 3. | Bihar | 5.5 | 8.6 | 6.6 | 12.8 | 5.0 | 10.4 | 11.5 | 5.8 | 9.7 | 2.4 | 6.6 | 3.9 | 18.5 | 7.1 | 15.2 | 16.1 | 7.0 | 13.4 |
| 4. | Chhattisgarh | 10.8 | 14.1 | 12.3 | 19.2 | 9.5 | 14.3 | 16.5 | 10.8 | 13.7 | 21.3 | 8.6 | 14.7 | 30.1 | 14.2 | 23.1 | 27.7 | 12.3 | 20.5 |
| 5. | Delhi | 17.8 | 11.3 | 15.6 | 13.4 | 16.2 | 14.4 | 17.6 | 11.5 | 15.5 | 32.9 | 20.9 | 27.6 | 20.8 | 15.5 | 18.7 | 32.0 | 20.6 | 26.9 |
| 6. | Goa + Daman \& Diu | 25.3 | 20.3 | 23.0 | 15.3 | 17.9 | 16.3 | 20.4 | 19.4 | 20.0 | 35.9 | 24.4 | 30.8 | 16.0 | 47.7 | 30.6 | 26.0 | 36.4 | 30.7 |
| 7. | Gujarat + Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 58.5 | 73.7 | 64.8 | 26.6 | 30.3 | 28.1 | 41.5 | 50.7 | 45.3 | 64.8 | 73.5 | 68.4 | 35.2 | 30.9 | 33.0 | 50.7 | 48.8 | 49.8 |
| 8. | Haryana | 15.6 | 13.6 | 14.8 | 16.7 | 7.6 | 13.3 | 16.4 | 9.5 | 13.7 | 20.9 | 14.8 | 18.4 | 18.2 | 10.2 | 14.9 | 19.0 | 11.7 | 16.0 |
| 9. | Himachal Pradesh | 27.5 | 15.9 | 22.4 | 17.5 | 11.4 | 14.6 | 18.7 | 11.9 | 15.5 | 36.4 | 18.7 | 28.1 | 29.5 | 14.4 | 21.7 | 30.2 | 14.8 | 22.3 |
| 10. | Jammu \& Kashmir | 17.0 | 9.5 | 13.7 | 7.7 | 5.4 | 6.9 | 9.8 | 6.6 | 8.6 | 24.9 | 21.0 | 23.3 | 9.9 | 4.9 | 7.8 | 14.5 | 9.6 | 12.4 |
| 11. | Jharkhand | 15.8 | 39.2 | 24.6 | 24.7 | 21.5 | 23.5 | 22.0 | 26.9 | 23.8 | 42.9 | 49.3 | 45.7 | 26.9 | 22.7 | 25.2 | 31.6 | 31.8 | 31.7 |
| 12. | Kamataka | 39.2 | 34.0 | 37.2 | 25.3 | 28.0 | 26.7 | 30.9 | 29.7 | 30.3 | 53.3 | 34.5 | 45.6 | 24.2 | 27.9 | 25.8 | 36.2 | 30.5 | 33.8 |
| 13. | Kerala + Lakshadweep | 27.9 | 23.2 | 25.3 | 18.6 | 27.1 | 23.2 | 20.8 | 26.1 | 23.7 | 28.8 | 28.1 | 28.4 | 19.5 | 26.9 | 23.4 | 21.8 | 27.2 | 24.6 |
| 14. | Madhya Pradesh | 10.3 | 13.2 | 11.6 | 7.6 | 16.0 | 10.7 | 8.5 | 14.9 | 11.0 | 14.7 | 10.5 | 12.8 | 9.1 | 6.1 | 7.9 | 11.2 | 8.0 | 9.9 |
| 15. | Maharashtra | 34.1 | 42.0 | 37.5 | 53.2 | 48.3 | 50.9 | 44.3 | 45.5 | 44.8 | 50.2 | 49.8 | 50.0 | 52.0 | 43.1 | 47.9 | 51.1 | 46.1 | 48.9 |
| 16. | Manipur | 34.9 | 41.6 | 37.9 | 9.6 | 10.4 | 10.0 | 14.8 | 16.4 | 15.6 | 57.1 | 49.9 | 53.1 | 27.6 | 24.3 | 26.0 | 33.8 | 30.7 | 32.2 |
| 17. | Orissa | 14.7 | 6.7 | 11.0 | 7.6 | 10.8 | 9.2 | 9.0 | 10.0 | 9.5 | 20.2 | 16.3 | 18.5 | 14.1 | 11.3 | 12.9 | 15.4 | 12.4 | 14.1 |
| 18. | Other North Eastern States | 21.5 | 34.8 | 27.7 | 3.9 | 8.0 | 5.7 | 8.8 | 16.1 | 12.1 | 33.2 | 41.9 | 37.3 | 15.0 | 14.9 | 14.9 | 20.8 | 22.3 | 21.5 |
| 19. | Punjab + Chandigarh | 5.9 | 3.2 | 4.8 | 5.7 | 2.7 | 4.4 | 5.8 | 2.9 | 4.6 | 13.2 | 20.0 | 16.4 | 11.8 | 11.6 | 11.7 | 12.4 | 15.1 | 13.7 |
| 20. | Rajasthan | 17.4 | 12.3 | 15.4 | 19.5 | 8.7 | 15.0 | 18.9 | 9.7 | 15.1 | 25.8 | 14.6 | 20.9 | 29.5 | 15.9 | 24.5 | 28.3 | 15.4 | 23.3 |
| 21. | Sikkim | 32.0 | 27.8 | 30.3 | 9.2 | 16.0 | 12.2 | 12.9 | 17.8 | 15.0 | 35.9 | 30.1 | 33.1 | 10.7 | 12.2 | 11.3 | 14.1 | 15.4 | 14.6 |
| 22. | Tamil Nadu + Puducherry | 49.5 | 45.3 | 47.3 | 22.8 | 24.9 | 23.9 | 34.3 | 34.0 | 34.2 | 63.0 | 48.4 | 56.1 | 35.9 | 20.6 | 28.8 | 50.8 | 36.1 | 43.9 |
| 23. | Uttar Pradesh | 14.8 | 12.2 | 13.7 | 15.7 | 13.6 | 14.8 | 15.5 | 13.3 | 14.6 | 25.6 | 13.3 | 20.9 | 14.1 | 13.5 | 13.8 | 16.9 | 13.4 | 15.6 |
| 24. | Uttarakhand | 7.8 | 7.7 | 7.8 | 13.7 | 4.2 | 9.2 | 11.9 | 5.0 | 8.8 | 12.3 | 7.9 | 10.4 | 21.0 | 6.0 | 13.5 | 18.4 | 6.4 | 12.6 |
| 25. | West Bengal + Andaman \& Nicobar Islands | 30.4 | 42.7 | 37.1 | 15.3 | 20.3 | 17.2 | 19.0 | 29.0 | 23.3 | 47.8 | 44.0 | 46.2 | 15.1 | 20.6 | 17.5 | 26.7 | 28.5 | 27.5 |
| All India |  | 28.5 | 31.9 | 29.9 | 20.3 | 20.3 | 20.3 | 22.9 | 24.1 | 23.4 | 41.5 | 36.6 | 39.3 | 24.7 | 21.2 | 23.2 | 30.7 | 26.8 | 29.0 |

Thus in this survey, all the respondents aware of HIV/AIDS were asked whether they were aware of PPTCT. In case they were not familiar with the abbreviation, the explanation was provided as "PPTCT is Prevention of Parent to Child Transmission of HIV/AIDS". Only 18 percent of respondents were aware of PPTCT. The awareness levels were noted to be relatively higher in urban areas (24\%) as compared to rural areas (15\%). Gender-wise, relatively higher proportion of females (19\%) than males (17\%) were aware of PPTCT.

As regards the state-wise analysis, the awareness regarding PPTCT was highest in the state of Gujarat and Dadra \& Nagar Haveli (53\%), followed by Maharashtra (39\%) and Andhra Pradesh (32\%). The proportion was lowest in Punjab end Chandigarh (4\%), West Bengal end AN Islands (4\%), and Orissa (5\%).

Table 7.4a: Proportion of respondents (15-24 years) who had ever heard of PPTCT by residence and gender

|  |  | (All figures are in percentage) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SI. No. | State/Group of States | 15-24 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  | Total |  |  |
|  |  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 47.4 | 41.1 | 44.4 | 34.9 | 18.3 | 26.8 | 38.9 | 25.4 | 32.4 |
| 2. | Assam | 16.6 | 18.8 | 17.6 | 13.0 | 11.4 | 12.2 | 13.5 | 12.4 | 13.0 |
| 3. | Bihar | 2.4 | 5.9 | 3.7 | 10.7 | 3.2 | 8.5 | 9.4 | 3.7 | 7.6 |
| 4. | Chhattisgarh | 9.5 | 7.1 | 8.3 | 21.8 | 9.7 | 16.1 | 18.1 | 8.9 | 13.7 |
| 5. | Delhi | 10.0 | 8.0 | 9.2 | 8.7 | 10.7 | 9.5 | 10.0 | 8.2 | 9.3 |
| 6. | Goa + Daman \& Diu | 11.4 | 18.4 | 14.5 | 13.8 | 27.6 | 19.6 | 12.6 | 22.6 | 17.0 |
| 7. | Gujarat + Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 54.0 | 75.4 | 62.8 | 39.0 | 50.7 | 44.4 | 46.4 | 61.6 | 53.1 |
| 8. | Haryana | 5.5 | 10.0 | 7.4 | 5.1 | 4.3 | 4.8 | 5.2 | 6.1 | 5.6 |
| 9. | Himachal Pradesh | 18.6 | 10.5 | 14.9 | 10.7 | 5.4 | 8.0 | 11.6 | 5.9 | 8.7 |
| 10. | Jammu \& Kashmir | 14.2 | 10.8 | 12.7 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 8.2 | 7.5 | 7.9 |
| 11. | Jharkhand | 16.2 | 31.3 | 22.4 | 11.3 | 17.5 | 13.7 | 12.8 | 21.9 | 16.4 |
| 12. | Karnataka | 41.8 | 22.7 | 34.2 | 8.6 | 27.6 | 17.5 | 22.1 | 25.9 | 23.8 |
| 13. | Kerala + Lakshadweep | 13.0 | 17.6 | 15.4 | 11.5 | 16.3 | 14.1 | 11.9 | 16.6 | 14.4 |
| 14. | Madhya Pradesh | 7.1 | 9.0 | 8.0 | 4.6 | 5.5 | 4.9 | 5.5 | 7.0 | 6.1 |
| 15. | Maharashtra | 27.0 | 40.3 | 32.7 | 47.9 | 40.0 | 44.2 | 37.9 | 40.1 | 38.9 |
| 16. | Manipur | 49.3 | 47.6 | 48.4 | 17.1 | 25.9 | 21.4 | 23.9 | 30.8 | 27.3 |
| 17. | Orissa | 5.6 | 7.4 | 6.4 | 6.5 | 3.7 | 5.2 | 6.3 | 4.4 | 5.4 |
| 18. | Other North Eastern States | 17.3 | 25.6 | 21.2 | 6.9 | 11.2 | 9.0 | 10.0 | 15.3 | 12.6 |
| 19. | Punjab + Chandigarh | 4.6 | 5.6 | 5.0 | 4.3 | 1.0 | 2.8 | 4.4 | 2.8 | 3.7 |
| 20. | Rajasthan | 10.2 | 10.7 | 10.4 | 13.1 | 9.2 | 11.6 | 12.2 | 9.7 | 11.2 |
| 21. | Sikkim | 27.1 | 30.3 | 28.5 | 3.2 | 13.4 | 7.4 | 6.7 | 16.1 | 10.7 |
| 22. | Tamil Nadu + Puducherry | 29.9 | 43.9 | 36.9 | 10.6 | 7.2 | 8.9 | 20.3 | 25.9 | 23.0 |
| 23. | Uttar Pradesh | 6.7 | 11.9 | 8.8 | 4.7 | 7.6 | 5.8 | 5.1 | 8.6 | 6.5 |
| 24. | Uttarakhand | 3.6 | 3.1 | 3.4 | 11.8 | 3.0 | 7.5 | 9.4 | 3.1 | 6.4 |
| 25. | West Bengal + Andaman \& Nicobar Islands | 6.0 | 10.0 | 7.9 | 2.9 | 1.9 | 2.5 | 3.9 | 4.8 | 4.3 |
| All India |  | 21.5 | 27.1 | 24.0 | 14.6 | 14.6 | 14.6 | 17.0 | 18.9 | 17.8 |

Base: All respondents aware of HIV/AIDS
Table 7.4b: Proportion of respondents who had ever heard of PPTCT by age, residence and gender

| SI. | State/Group of States |  |  |  |  | -19 y |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -24 y |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. |  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  | Total |  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  | Total |  |
|  |  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 46.5 | 39.9 | 43.7 | 34.9 | 17.7 | 26.3 | 39.0 | 23.9 | 31.9 | 48.4 | 41.9 | 45.0 | 34.8 | 18.9 | 27.2 | 38.8 | 26.6 | 32.8 |
| 2. | Assam | 12.5 | 16.4 | 14.0 | 12.8 | 10.2 | 11.5 | 12.8 | 10.8 | 11.9 | 20.3 | 20.0 | 20.2 | 13.1 | 12.6 | 12.9 | 14.2 | 13.8 | 14.0 |
| 3. | Bihar | 3.7 | 8.3 | 5.4 | 8.1 | 2.2 | 6.3 | 7.3 | 3.6 | 6.1 | 0.9 | 2.9 | 1.6 | 13.1 | 4.1 | 10.5 | 11.3 | 3.9 | 9.1 |
| 4. | Chhattisgarh | 8.3 | 6.4 | 7.5 | 17.9 | 9.8 | 13.9 | 14.8 | 8.9 | 12.0 | 10.8 | 7.7 | 9.2 | 25.4 | 9.4 | 18.4 | 21.4 | 8.8 | 15.5 |
| 5. | Delhi | 7.2 | 5.6 | 6.6 | 7.3 | 8.9 | 7.8 | 7.2 | 5.8 | 6.7 | 13.6 | 10.0 | 12.0 | 9.9 | 11.8 | 10.6 | 13.3 | 10.1 | 11.9 |
| 6. | Goa + Daman \& Diu | 11.6 | 20.4 | 15.7 | 12.2 | 15.6 | 13.4 | 11.9 | 18.5 | 14.7 | 11.2 | 16.7 | 13.6 | 15.0 | 33.7 | 23.6 | 13.1 | 25.4 | 18.7 |
| 7. | Gujarat + Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 49.0 | 76.4 | 60.4 | 40.5 | 52.3 | 45.4 | 44.5 | 63.6 | 52.4 | 58.1 | 74.5 | 64.8 | 37.4 | 49.5 | 43.6 | 48.2 | 60.1 | 53.7 |
| 8. | Haryana | 4.1 | 11.0 | 6.9 | 5.2 | 3.5 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 5.8 | 5.2 | 6.9 | 9.1 | 7.8 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 5.7 | 6.4 | 6.0 |
| 9. | Himachal Pradesh | 16.5 | 9.9 | 13.6 | 8.8 | 6.4 | 7.7 | 9.7 | 6.8 | 8.3 | 20.3 | 10.9 | 15.9 | 12.1 | 4.7 | 8.3 | 13.0 | 5.3 | 9.0 |
| 10. | Jammu \& Kashmir | 11.4 | 8.0 | 9.9 | 6.2 | 5.2 | 5.9 | 7.4 | 6.1 | 6.9 | 16.3 | 13.3 | 15.1 | 5.9 | 6.8 | 6.3 | 9.1 | 8.7 | 8.9 |
| 11. | Jharkhand | 10.8 | 27.2 | 17.0 | 12.6 | 10.3 | 11.7 | 12.1 | 15.4 | 13.3 | 22.3 | 34.8 | 27.8 | 9.9 | 24.8 | 15.8 | 13.5 | 28.2 | 19.6 |
| 12. | Karnataka | 35.3 | 18.4 | 28.8 | 7.2 | 21.6 | 14.6 | 18.4 | 20.7 | 19.5 | 46.5 | 25.5 | 37.9 | 9.6 | 33.8 | 20.0 | 24.8 | 30.6 | 27.2 |
| 13. | Kerala + Lakshadweep | 11.5 | 15.0 | 13.4 | 8.9 | 15.2 | 12.3 | 9.5 | 15.1 | 12.5 | 14.1 | 19.8 | 17.0 | 13.5 | 17.2 | 15.5 | 13.7 | 17.9 | 15.8 |
| 14. | Madhya Pradesh | 4.3 | 10.2 | 6.9 | 4.5 | 6.1 | 5.1 | 4.4 | 7.8 | 5.8 | 9.3 | 8.1 | 8.8 | 4.6 | 4.9 | 4.8 | 6.4 | 6.3 | 6.4 |
| 15. | Maharashtra | 21.3 | 37.8 | 28.5 | 44.8 | 42.8 | 43.9 | 33.9 | 40.6 | 36.9 | 32.8 | 42.9 | 37.1 | 51.3 | 37.0 | 44.6 | 42.1 | 39.7 | 41.0 |
| 16. | Manipur | 43.1 | 41.8 | 42.5 | 11.0 | 19.0 | 14.8 | 17.6 | 23.5 | 20.4 | 54.6 | 50.8 | 52.5 | 22.4 | 31.2 | 26.8 | 29.2 | 36.1 | 32.7 |
| 17. | Orissa | 2.9 | 10.9 | 6.6 | 3.2 | 4.8 | 4.0 | 3.2 | 5.9 | 4.5 | 7.6 | 4.5 | 6.2 | 9.1 | 2.7 | 6.2 | 8.8 | 3.0 | 6.2 |
| 18. | Other North Eastern States | 11.3 | 22.2 | 16.5 | 3.1 | 7.0 | 4.9 | 5.4 | 11.6 | 8.2 | 22.3 | 28.4 | 25.1 | 10.7 | 14.3 | 12.6 | 14.4 | 18.2 | 16.3 |
| 19. | Punjab + Chandigarh | 1.9 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 3.4 | 1.3 | 2.5 | 2.8 | 1.3 | 2.2 | 7.2 | 9.0 | 8.0 | 5.3 | 0.7 | 3.1 | 6.2 | 4.1 | 5.2 |
| 20. | Rajasthan | 8.2 | 9.9 | 8.9 | 9.4 | 4.9 | 7.5 | 9.0 | 6.3 | 7.9 | 11.9 | 11.3 | 11.6 | 16.9 | 14.7 | 16.1 | 15.3 | 13.3 | 14.5 |
| 21. | Sikkim | 26.5 | 28.9 | 27.5 | 3.0 | 17.8 | 9.4 | 6.8 | 19.4 | 12.2 | 27.6 | 31.5 | 29.5 | 3.3 | 9.0 | 5.6 | 6.7 | 13.0 | 9.3 |
| 22. | Tamil Nadu + Puducherry | 24.1 | 44.1 | 34.7 | 5.1 | 5.9 | 5.5 | 13.3 | 23.0 | 18.3 | 33.1 | 43.8 | 38.1 | 15.3 | 8.6 | 12.2 | 25.1 | 28.3 | 26.6 |
| 23. | Uttar Pradesh | 4.3 | 12.2 | 7.6 | 4.3 | 6.1 | 5.0 | 4.3 | 7.5 | 5.6 | 9.0 | 11.6 | 10.0 | 5.2 | 9.3 | 6.8 | 6.1 | 9.9 | 7.6 |
| 24. | Uttarakhand | 2.4 | 3.6 | 2.8 | 9.7 | 2.7 | 6.4 | 7.4 | 2.9 | 5.4 | 5.1 | 2.7 | 4.1 | 14.2 | 3.3 | 8.8 | 11.5 | 3.2 | 7.5 |
| 25. | West Bengal + Andaman \& Nicobar Islands | 2.2 | 10.6 | 6.7 | 2.2 | 0.9 | 1.7 | 2.2 | 4.7 | 3.3 | 8.3 | 9.5 | 8.8 | 3.6 | 2.7 | 3.2 | 5.3 | 5.0 | 5.1 |
| All India |  | 17.7 | 26.0 | 21.3 | 13.2 | 13.5 | 13.3 | 14.7 | 17.6 | 15.9 | 24.8 | 28.0 | 26.2 | 16.0 | 15.7 | 15.9 | 19.1 | 20.2 | 19.6 |

The awareness of PPTCT was also observed to be higher for the respondents in the age group of $20-24$ years ( $20 \%$ ) as compared to those in 15-19 years (16\%) age group. In urban areas, the awareness among females was higher as compared to males, whereas in rural areas higher proportion of males reported to be aware of PPTCT.

### 7.2 Stigma against People Living with HIV/AIDS (PLHA)

Stigma and discrimination against people living with HIV/AIDS (PLHA) and those considered to be at high risk remains deep-rooted. A lot of this is as a result of inadequate knowledge. Stigma and denial undermine efforts being made to increase the reach of interventions, care, support and treatment services to PLHA and those among high risk groups such as Men who have Sex with Men (MSM), Commercial Sex Workers and Injecting Drug Users (IDUs). Harassment and ostracism of these groups by the community and family reduces them in to disadvantaged group. In order to dispel unnecessary fears, AIDS awareness programmes must involve knowing how the disease is transmitted as well as how it is not. The following section presents the perception of respondents with respect to PLHA viz. aware of any PLHA, whether PLHA be isolated and willingness to share food with PLHA.

### 7.2.1 Awareness about Someone Infected with HIV/AIDS

The HIV/AIDS infection, which entered in India in 1986, has now started producing more and more cases of full-blown AIDS and more are expected to come in the near future. If the quality of life is improved even for a short period, it is rewarding. The purpose of investing on care is manifold, suffering is reduced and improvement is seen in the quality of life. Tables $7.5 \mathrm{a} \& \mathrm{~b}$ present the findings on awareness about someone infected with HIV/AIDS among the respondents aware of HIV/AIDS.

Table 7.5a: Proportion of respondents (15-24 years) aware of someone who is infected with HIV/AIDS by residence and gender
(All figures are in percentage)

| SI.No. | State/Group of States | 15-24 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  | Total |  |  |
|  |  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 58.8 | 36.7 | 48.3 | 59.2 | 73.6 | 66.2 | 59.1 | 62.1 | 60.5 |
| 2. | Assam | 5.4 | 1.6 | 3.7 | 2.6 | 3.4 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.1 |
| 3. | Bihar | 3.1 | 2.5 | 2.9 | 8.0 | 5.9 | 7.4 | 7.2 | 5.2 | 6.6 |
| 4. | Chhattisgarh | 4.2 | 5.2 | 4.7 | 6.4 | 2.1 | 4.4 | 5.8 | 3.1 | 4.5 |
| 5. | Delhi | 4.5 | 8.6 | 6.1 | 3.7 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 4.5 | 8.4 | 6.0 |
| 6. | Goa + Daman \& Diu | 19.0 | 22.7 | 20.7 | 18.1 | 16.1 | 17.2 | 18.6 | 19.6 | 19.0 |
| 7. | Gujarat + Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 22.1 | 15.5 | 19.4 | 18.3 | 16.5 | 17.5 | 20.2 | 16.1 | 18.4 |
| 8. | Haryana | 5.1 | 5.5 | 5.3 | 7.8 | 6.3 | 7.2 | 7.0 | 6.1 | 6.6 |
| 9. | Himachal Pradesh | 4.6 | 5.4 | 5.0 | 2.5 | 3.2 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 3.4 | 3.1 |
| 10. | Jammu \& Kashmir | 7.9 | 11.9 | 9.6 | 8.3 | 10.1 | 9.0 | 8.2 | 10.6 | 9.2 |
| 11. | Jharkhand | 4.0 | 7.3 | 5.4 | 0.8 | 2.8 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 4.3 | 2.7 |
| 12. | Karnataka | 18.0 | 18.8 | 18.3 | 30.7 | 26.2 | 28.6 | 25.6 | 23.7 | 24.7 |
| 13. | Kerala + Lakshadweep | 10.8 | 9.1 | 9.9 | 11.8 | 11.4 | 11.6 | 11.6 | 10.8 | 11.2 |
| 14. | Madhya Pradesh | 7.2 | 4.1 | 5.8 | 5.2 | 6.3 | 5.6 | 5.9 | 5.4 | 5.7 |
| 15. | Maharashtra | 43.7 | 37.0 | 40.8 | 31.2 | 25.1 | 28.4 | 37.2 | 30.4 | 34.2 |

(Contd.)
(Contd.)

| SI. <br> No. | State/Group of States | 15-24 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  | Total |  |  |
|  |  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| 16. | Manipur | 62.5 | 81.7 | 72.3 | 77.1 | 54.1 | 65.9 | 74.1 | 60.3 | 67.3 |
| 17. | Orissa | 16.0 | 12.3 | 14.3 | 32.5 | 20.7 | 27.0 | 29.1 | 19.0 | 24.4 |
| 18. | Other North Eastern States | 17.8 | 22.8 | 20.1 | 5.3 | 5.6 | 5.4 | 9.0 | 10.5 | 9.7 |
| 19. | Punjab + Chandigarh | 6.6 | 9.7 | 8.0 | 10.2 | 5.6 | 8.1 | 8.7 | 7.2 | 8.0 |
| 20. | Rajasthan | 7.8 | 3.7 | 6.1 | 10.5 | 6.0 | 8.8 | 9.7 | 5.3 | 7.9 |
| 21. | Sikkim | 8.1 | 8.8 | 8.4 | 14.3 | 17.1 | 15.5 | 13.4 | 15.8 | 14.4 |
| 22. | Tamil Nadu + Puducherry | 30.2 | 26.5 | 28.4 | 24.9 | 28.4 | 26.6 | 27.6 | 27.4 | 27.5 |
| 23. | Uttar Pradesh | 13.0 | 3.7 | 9.3 | 16.0 | 5.5 | 11.8 | 15.4 | 5.1 | 11.3 |
| 24. | Uttarakhand | 1.2 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 3.2 | 1.4 | 2.3 | 2.6 | 1.6 | 2.1 |
| 25. | West Bengal + Andaman \& Nicobar Islands | 3.9 | 2.4 | 3.1 | 2.7 | 9.9 | 5.7 | 3.1 | 7.2 | 4.8 |
| All India |  | 20.8 | 17.0 | 19.1 | 18.2 | 18.2 | 18.2 | 19.1 | 17.8 | 18.5 |

Base: All respondents aware of HIV/AIDS

At the all India level, 19 percent of the respondents aware of HIV/AIDS knew about someone infected with HIV/AIDS. A similar proportion of males (19\%) and females (18\%) knew someone infected with HIV/AIDS. However, no major variations in the proportions were observed across place of residence. Further, within both urban and rural areas, similar proportion of male and female respondents were aware of someone infected with HIV/AIDS.

Significant variation was observed across different states/group of states with highest proportion being reported in Manipur (67\%), Andhra Pradesh (61\%) and Maharashtra (34\%). The proportion was observed to be lowest in Uttarakhand (2\%), Jharkhand, Assam and Himachal Pradesh (3\%).

No significant variation in the level of awareness was observed across the age groups (15-19 years and 20-24 years). Among both the age groups the awareness was higher among males as compared to females. Further, the proportion was observed to be similar in urban areas as compared to rural areas.

### 7.2.2 Allowing PLHA to Stay in the Village/Community

The stigma associated with HIV/AIDS can act as a major barrier to care and support for PLHA. The general attitudes of fear and blame towards PLHA stem from the association of the disease with sexually deviant behaviour (as defined by dominant cultural norms), as well as its terminal and infectious nature. This stigma leads to both the isolation of the PLHA by the community and the reluctance of the PLHA to seek help and treatment. Thus the respondents aware of HIV/AIDS were asked whether PLHA should be allowed to stay in the community/village or not. The results are presented in Table 7.6a and 7.6b.

Among all respondents aware of HIV/AIDS, 68 percent reported that PLHA should be allowed to stay in the community/village. The proportion was significantly higher for males (72\%) than females (64\%). The level of awareness on this issue was marginally higher among respondents in urban (69\%) than rural areas (66\%). A similar trend was observed across gender within both urban and rural areas.
Table 7.5b: Proportion of respondents aware of someone who is infected with HIV/AIDS by age, residence and gender

| SI. <br> No. | State/Group of States | 15-19 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20-24 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  | Total |  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  | Total |  |  |
|  |  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 53.1 | 32.6 | 44.6 | 44.9 | 73.9 | 59.3 | 47.8 | 62.2 | 54.6 | 64.8 | 39.6 | 51.5 | 71.0 | 73.3 | 72.1 | 69.2 | 62.0 | 65.6 |
| 2. | Assam | 3.9 | 0.8 | 2.7 | 1.7 | 3.0 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 2.8 | 2.4 | 6.8 | 2.0 | 4.4 | 3.5 | 3.8 | 3.6 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 3.8 |
| 3. | Bihar | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 6.0 | 4.9 | 5.7 | 5.4 | 4.4 | 5.1 | 3.8 | 2.5 | 3.3 | 9.9 | 6.9 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 6.1 | 8.1 |
| 4. | Chhattisgarh | 1.9 | 3.4 | 2.6 | 3.5 | 2.3 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 6.9 | 6.7 | 6.8 | 9.2 | 1.9 | 6.0 | 8.6 | 3.6 | 6.2 |
| 5. | Delhi | 3.5 | 6.5 | 4.6 | 3.3 | 2.3 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 6.3 | 4.5 | 5.7 | 10.3 | 7.8 | 4.0 | 4.8 | 4.3 | 5.6 | 10.0 | 7.6 |
| 6. | Goa + Daman \& Diu | 17.7 | 22.1 | 19.7 | 18.3 | 11.1 | 15.7 | 18.0 | 17.8 | 17.9 | 20.1 | 23.2 | 21.5 | 18.0 | 18.6 | 18.3 | 19.0 | 20.8 | 19.8 |
| 7. | Gujarat + Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 18.2 | 10.2 | 14.9 | 17.4 | 16.2 | 16.9 | 17.8 | 13.4 | 16.0 | 25.3 | 20.1 | 23.2 | 19.2 | 16.8 | 18.0 | 22.4 | 18.2 | 20.5 |
| 8. | Haryana | 5.3 | 5.9 | 5.5 | 7.7 | 6.1 | 7.1 | 7.0 | 6.0 | 6.6 | 4.9 | 5.1 | 5.0 | 8.0 | 6.6 | 7.5 | 7.0 | 6.1 | 6.7 |
| 9. | Himachal Pradesh | 4.5 | 3.8 | 4.2 | 2.9 | 3.3 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 4.7 | 6.6 | 5.6 | 2.2 | 3.1 | 2.7 | 2.5 | 3.4 | 3.0 |
| 10. | Jammu \& Kashmir | 4.4 | 13.4 | 8.4 | 8.4 | 9.8 | 8.9 | 7.5 | 10.8 | 8.8 | 10.7 | 10.6 | 10.6 | 8.1 | 10.4 | 9.1 | 8.9 | 10.5 | 9.5 |
| 11. | Jharkhand | 2.6 | 6.6 | 4.1 | 0.3 | 4.0 | 1.7 | 1.0 | 4.8 | 2.4 | 5.6 | 7.8 | 6.6 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 2.6 | 3.8 | 3.1 |
| 12. | Karnataka | 10.0 | 16.5 | 12.5 | 28.2 | 24.9 | 26.5 | 20.9 | 22.5 | 21.7 | 23.8 | 20.3 | 22.4 | 32.7 | 27.5 | 30.5 | 29.0 | 24.7 | 27.2 |
| 13. | Kerala + Lakshadweep | 10.9 | 12.9 | 12.0 | 9.6 | 8.6 | 9.1 | 9.9 | 9.7 | 9.8 | 10.8 | 5.9 | 8.4 | 13.5 | 13.6 | 13.6 | 12.9 | 11.7 | 12.3 |
| 14. | Madhya Pradesh | 4.9 | 4.8 | 4.9 | 3.8 | 10.0 | 6.1 | 4.1 | 7.9 | 5.6 | 9.0 | 3.6 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 3.1 | 5.2 | 7.5 | 3.3 | 5.8 |
| 15. | Maharashtra | 42.1 | 36.5 | 39.7 | 29.8 | 21.2 | 25.8 | 35.5 | 27.9 | 32.1 | 45.3 | 37.6 | 42.0 | 32.8 | 29.4 | 31.2 | 39.0 | 33.1 | 36.3 |
| 16. | Manipur | 56.6 | 79.5 | 66.9 | 74.3 | 47.3 | 61.6 | 70.6 | 53.5 | 62.6 | 67.4 | 83.0 | 76.0 | 79.6 | 59.3 | 69.5 | 77.0 | 65.2 | 71.0 |
| 17. | Orissa | 11.8 | 12.8 | 12.2 | 34.8 | 24.7 | 29.8 | 30.1 | 22.5 | 26.4 | 19.1 | 11.9 | 16.0 | 30.7 | 16.7 | 24.5 | 28.2 | 15.7 | 22.7 |
| 18. | Other North Eastern States | 13.6 | 18.7 | 16.0 | 3.3 | 5.6 | 4.3 | 6.2 | 9.6 | 7.7 | 21.2 | 26.3 | 23.6 | 7.2 | 5.6 | 6.4 | 11.7 | 11.2 | 11.5 |
| 19. | Punjab + Chandigarh | 3.8 | 8.3 | 5.6 | 9.9 | 6.9 | 8.6 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 9.5 | 10.8 | 10.1 | 10.5 | 4.3 | 7.5 | 10.1 | 7.0 | 8.6 |
| 20. | Rajasthan | 7.6 | 1.8 | 5.3 | 6.7 | 7.7 | 7.1 | 7.0 | 6.1 | 6.6 | 8.0 | 5.1 | 6.8 | 14.4 | 3.9 | 10.6 | 12.3 | 4.4 | 9.2 |
| 21. | Sikkim | 6.4 | 8.3 | 7.2 | 19.0 | 20.4 | 19.6 | 16.9 | 18.7 | 17.7 | 9.8 | 9.1 | 9.5 | 10.3 | 13.9 | 11.8 | 10.3 | 13.1 | 11.4 |
| 22. | Tamil Nadu + Puducherry | 12.0 | 28.4 | 20.7 | 18.1 | 24.5 | 21.3 | 15.5 | 26.2 | 21.1 | 40.0 | 25.2 | 33.0 | 30.8 | 32.5 | 31.6 | 35.9 | 28.4 | 32.4 |
| 23. | Uttar Pradesh | 11.6 | 3.8 | 8.4 | 17.8 | 6.1 | 13.1 | 16.5 | 5.6 | 12.1 | 14.4 | 3.7 | 10.3 | 14.0 | 4.7 | 10.3 | 14.1 | 4.5 | 10.3 |
| 24. | Uttarakhand | 1.6 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 0.8 | 2.0 | 1.3 | 4.6 | 1.3 | 2.9 | 3.5 | 1.4 | 2.5 |
| 25. | West Bengal + Andaman \& Nicobar Islands | 2.8 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 2.7 | 7.6 | 4.5 | 2.7 | 5.4 | 3.9 | 4.4 | 2.8 | 3.7 | 2.7 | 11.6 | 6.6 | 3.3 | 8.6 | 5.6 |
| All India |  | 17.5 | 15.9 | 16.8 | 16.0 | 17.4 | 16.6 | 16.5 | 16.9 | 16.7 | 23.7 | 17.8 | 21.1 | 20.3 | 19.0 | 19.7 | 21.5 | 18.6 | 20.2 |

Table 7.6a: Proportion of respondents (15-24 years) perceiving that PLHA should be allowed to stay in the community/village by residence and gender

| (All figures are in percentage) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SI. No. | State/Group of States | 15-24 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  | Total |  |  |
|  |  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 87.3 | 88.0 | 87.6 | 88.7 | 92.0 | 90.0 | 88.0 | 90.1 | 88.8 |
| 2. | Assam | 55.8 | 42.3 | 48.6 | 57.0 | 39.7 | 48.1 | 56.4 | 40.9 | 48.3 |
| 3. | Bihar | 86.6 | 82.1 | 84.3 | 86.8 | 76.7 | 83.9 | 86.7 | 81.1 | 84.2 |
| 4. | Chhattisgarh | 84.0 | 91.4 | 88.5 | 74.8 | 92.3 | 82.7 | 77.6 | 91.9 | 85.0 |
| 5. | Delhi | 73.6 | 75.1 | 74.3 | 66.8 | 72.8 | 70.3 | 70.9 | 74.0 | 72.6 |
| 6. | Goa + Daman \& Diu | 81.1 | 47.3 | 61.9 | 68.1 | 70.8 | 69.5 | 76.9 | 54.2 | 64.2 |
| 7. | Gujarat + Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 64.5 | 73.5 | 69.2 | 58.9 | 74.1 | 66.8 | 62.4 | 73.8 | 68.3 |
| 8. | Haryana | 75.1 | 69.2 | 71.9 | 78.7 | 59.6 | 69.4 | 77.0 | 64.8 | 70.7 |
| 9. | Himachal Pradesh | 94.3 | 88.9 | 92.0 | 96.7 | 87.2 | 91.8 | 95.4 | 88.0 | 91.9 |
| 10. | Jammu \& Kashmir | 83.8 | 82.5 | 83.3 | 87.5 | 62.9 | 77.3 | 85.5 | 72.8 | 80.5 |
| 11. | Jharkhand | 76.4 | 85.4 | 81.1 | 75.2 | 82.7 | 79.4 | 76.0 | 84.5 | 80.5 |
| 12. | Karnataka | 79.0 | 75.9 | 77.8 | 68.6 | 87.6 | 79.5 | 74.2 | 83.6 | 78.8 |
| 13. | Kerala + Lakshadweep | 19.8 | 17.0 | 18.5 | 19.3 | 19.9 | 19.6 | 19.6 | 18.6 | 19.1 |
| 14. | Madhya Pradesh | 85.9 | 82.7 | 83.9 | 65.5 | 77.1 | 72.7 | 81.3 | 81.4 | 81.3 |
| 15. | Maharashtra | 55.0 | 54.0 | 54.5 | 66.4 | 49.2 | 57.7 | 59.6 | 51.9 | 55.9 |
| 16. | Manipur | 59.8 | 78.6 | 69.3 | 84.2 | 71.4 | 77.9 | 72.1 | 75.1 | 73.6 |
| 17. | Orissa | 35.1 | 58.6 | 47.6 | 49.4 | 27.1 | 33.9 | 43.3 | 35.6 | 38.4 |
| 18. | Other North Eastern States | 78.5 | 57.7 | 69.0 | 79.3 | 57.6 | 69.7 | 78.9 | 57.6 | 69.3 |
| 19. | Punjab + Chandigarh | 80.6 | 76.5 | 78.3 | 75.4 | 82.4 | 78.4 | 77.9 | 78.8 | 78.4 |
| 20. | Rajasthan | 80.5 | 75.5 | 78.7 | 78.6 | 60.3 | 72.4 | 79.7 | 69.6 | 76.1 |
| 21. | Sikkim | 75.4 | 69.5 | 72.6 | 83.8 | 82.9 | 83.3 | 77.4 | 73.4 | 75.4 |
| 22. | Tamil Nadu + Puducherry | 85.5 | 79.3 | 83.0 | 87.3 | 83.8 | 85.9 | 86.4 | 81.5 | 84.4 |
| 23. | Uttar Pradesh | 80.2 | 55.6 | 67.4 | 81.7 | 42.9 | 59.3 | 80.9 | 49.2 | 63.5 |
| 24. | Uttarakhand | 87.5 | 68.6 | 72.7 | 76.5 | 61.6 | 67.3 | 80.4 | 65.5 | 70.0 |
| 25. | West Bengal + Andaman \& Nicobar Islands | 80.0 | 78.8 | 79.3 | 77.4 | 50.3 | 62.6 | 78.7 | 65.5 | 71.2 |
| All India |  | 71.7 | 66.8 | 69.2 | 71.6 | 59.8 | 65.5 | 71.6 | 63.5 | 67.5 |

Base: All respondents aware of HIV/AIDS

## Stigma \& Discrimination

Figure 7.2: Proportion of respondents (15-24 years) by their attitude towards PLHA: 2006


Base: All respondents aware of HIV/AIDS

Among states/group of states, highest proportion of respondents in Himachal Pradesh (92\%), followed by Andhra Pradesh (89\%) and Chhattisgarh (85\%) reported that PLHA should be allowed to stay in the community/village. In Kerala and Lakshadweep, the proportion was reported to be lowest at 19 percent followed by Orissa (38\%) and Assam (48\%).

Following the trend of awareness of someone infected with HIV/AIDS, the proportion of respondents in favour of allowing the PLHA to stay in the village/community was observed to be more or less similar across both the age groups. Further, within both the age groups, the awareness was found to be similar across gender and place of residence.

### 7.2.3 Willingness to Share Food with PLHA

HIV does not transmit by sharing of food, drinks, plates, glasses and other items. As people are not aware of this, they discriminate against the PLHA and are not willing to share food with them. Thus a question was asked to all respondents in the survey, if they were willing to share food with a person who is infected with HIV. Tables $7.7 \mathrm{a} \& \mathrm{~b}$ present the proportion of respondents (aware of HIV/AIDS) who were willing to share food with PLHA.

At the national level, more than three-fifths (61\%) of the respondents aware of HIV/AIDS reported that they are willing to share food with PLHA. A significantly higher proportion of male respondents (64\%) reported the same as compared to females (55\%).

The proportion was higher among respondents from urban areas (69\%) as compared to rural areas (56\%) which is in line with awareness and knowledge levels on HIV/AIDS as discussed earlier. Both in rural and urban areas, higher proportion of male respondents as compared to females reported that they are willing to share food with PLHA.
residence and gender
(All figures are in percentage)


Table 7.7a: Proportion of respondents (15-24 years) reporting that they are willing to share food with PLHA by residence and gender

| (All figures are in percentage) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SI. <br> No. | State/Group of States | 15-24 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  | Total |  |  |
|  |  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 87.1 | 64.6 | 76.4 | 81.9 | 35.8 | 59.5 | 83.6 | 44.8 | 64.8 |
| 2. | Assam | 13.4 | 22.5 | 17.5 | 9.0 | 17.0 | 12.9 | 9.7 | 17.8 | 13.6 |
| 3. | Bihar | 77.8 | 76.0 | 77.1 | 57.2 | 66.5 | 59.9 | 60.6 | 68.5 | 63.0 |
| 4. | Chhattisgarh | 83.8 | 80.0 | 82.0 | 75.1 | 69.3 | 72.3 | 77.7 | 72.5 | 75.3 |
| 5. | Delhi | 72.7 | 75.5 | 73.8 | 82.7 | 77.4 | 80.7 | 73.3 | 75.6 | 74.2 |
| 6. | Goa + Daman \& Diu | 67.4 | 74.8 | 70.8 | 52.3 | 62.1 | 56.4 | 59.9 | 68.9 | 63.9 |
| 7. | Gujarat + Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 79.0 | 84.9 | 81.4 | 82.3 | 63.1 | 73.4 | 80.7 | 72.8 | 77.2 |
| 8. | Haryana | 69.7 | 67.0 | 68.6 | 71.9 | 59.6 | 67.0 | 71.2 | 61.9 | 67.5 |
| 9. | Himachal Pradesh | 91.5 | 72.8 | 83.0 | 86.8 | 70.7 | 78.8 | 87.3 | 70.9 | 79.2 |
| 10. | Jammu \& Kashmir | 73.4 | 74.4 | 73.8 | 53.0 | 55.9 | 54.1 | 58.4 | 61.3 | 59.6 |
| 11. | Jharkhand | 69.8 | 79.1 | 73.6 | 75.4 | 60.0 | 69.4 | 73.7 | 66.2 | 70.7 |
| 12. | Karnataka | 85.0 | 53.4 | 72.4 | 61.6 | 56.2 | 59.1 | 71.1 | 55.2 | 64.1 |
| 13. | Kerala + Lakshadweep | 63.2 | 55.3 | 59.1 | 64.4 | 59.0 | 61.5 | 64.1 | 58.1 | 60.9 |
| 14. | Madhya Pradesh | 72.9 | 78.7 | 75.5 | 72.4 | 59.0 | 67.3 | 72.6 | 67.3 | 70.4 |
| 15. | Maharashtra | 83.0 | 83.8 | 83.4 | 69.4 | 59.3 | 64.7 | 75.9 | 70.3 | 73.4 |
| 16. | Manipur | 78.0 | 83.9 | 81.0 | 73.3 | 69.9 | 71.6 | 74.3 | 73.1 | 73.7 |
| 17. | Orissa | 91.6 | 77.1 | 85.1 | 48.0 | 38.9 | 43.8 | 57.1 | 46.4 | 52.1 |
| 18. | Other North Eastern States | 57.1 | 47.3 | 52.6 | 46.6 | 18.8 | 33.1 | 49.7 | 26.9 | 38.8 |
| 19. | Punjab + Chandigarh | 72.3 | 68.9 | 70.9 | 79.9 | 66.5 | 73.8 | 76.7 | 67.4 | 72.6 |
| 20. | Rajasthan | 74.8 | 76.5 | 75.5 | 67.6 | 56.9 | 63.4 | 69.9 | 63.5 | 67.3 |
| 21. | Sikkim | 50.8 | 70.1 | 59.4 | 46.1 | 42.4 | 44.5 | 46.8 | 46.9 | 46.8 |
| 22. | Tamil Nadu + Puducherry | 55.4 | 53.9 | 54.7 | 44.2 | 30.0 | 37.3 | 49.8 | 42.1 | 46.0 |
| 23. | Uttar Pradesh | 60.4 | 68.5 | 63.6 | 63.3 | 52.1 | 58.8 | 62.6 | 55.9 | 59.9 |
| 24. | Uttarakhand | 84.2 | 81.4 | 83.1 | 77.1 | 70.2 | 73.8 | 79.2 | 72.9 | 76.3 |
| 25. | West Bengal + Andaman \& Nicobar Islands | 34.5 | 40.1 | 37.2 | 28.6 | 20.7 | 25.4 | 30.4 | 27.7 | 29.3 |
| All India |  | 70.6 | 67.8 | 69.4 | 61.2 | 48.8 | 55.8 | 64.4 | 55.4 | 60.5 |

Base: All respondents aware of HIV/AIDS

As regards the state-wise analysis, the proportion of respondents reporting willingness to share food with PLHA was higher (over 70\%) in the states of Chhattisgarh, Punjab and Chandigarh, Uttarakhand, Manipur, Himachal Pradesh and Delhi. Lowest proportion of respondents in Assam (14\%), followed by West Bengal and Andaman \& Nicobar Islands (29\%), and Other North Eastern States (39\%) expressed their willingness to share food with PLHA.

The respondents in the age group of 15-19 years and 20-24 years did not differ in their views in this regard. In both the age groups, the proportion of respondents reporting willingness to share food with PLHA was higher in urban areas and among male respondents (Table 7.7b).
(All figures are in percentage)


### 7.3 Implications of Findings on Awareness about Testing Facilities and Stigma against PLHA

The awareness about ICTC as well as PPTCT was quite low among the young population. Only 26 percent were aware of ICTC and 18 percent knew about PPTCT. These findings call for more focused IEC interventions for promoting awareness among young people regarding the ICTCs and PPTCT.

At the national level nearly two-fifths of the youth felt that the PLHA should not be allowed to stay in their village/community. Further, similar proportion of the respondents expressed their unwillingness to share food with PLHA. As stigma and discrimination against PLHA impede the effectiveness of HIV/AIDS prevention and care efforts, the AIDS awareness programmes should continue to pay focused attentions to dispel the stigma and unnecessary fears from the minds of the young people.

## Exposure to IEC and Mass Media

Communication continues to be one of the most important strategies in the fight against HIV/ AIDS. In the absence of a vaccine or a cure, prevention is the most effective strategy for the control of HIV/AIDS. In India, majority of the population is still uninfected. It, therefore, becomes imperative to continue intensive communication efforts that will not only raise awareness levels but also bring out behaviour change.

NACO is giving highest priority to an effective and sustained communication strategy to bring about changes in behaviour to prevent further infection. In order to design the communication strategies, it is important to understand the media habits of the population so that appropriate techniques and channels can be used to spread the awareness about HIV/AIDS.

This chapter deals with the media habits of the youth respondents. Also, it presents whether they have been exposed to interpersonal communication on STD/HIV/AIDS and exposure to mass media - radio, television, newspapers and magazines.

### 8.1 Interpersonal Communication on STD/HIV/AIDS

NACO, SACS and NGOs have promoted extensive mass media and interpersonal communication interventions among the youth on various aspects of STD/HIV/AIDS transmission and prevention. The intention is to generate correct and complete awareness regarding these issues among the youth to enable behaviour change. All respondents were asked as whether they had been contacted by anyone over the last one year to educate them on STD/HIV/AIDS/. The responses are presented in Table 8.1a \& b.

Table 8.1a: Proportion of respondents (15-24 years) who received interpersonal communication on STD/HIV/AIDS in the last one year by residence and gender

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | s ar | ercenta |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SI. <br> No. | State/Group of States | 15-24 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  | Total |  |  |
|  |  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 16.1 | 10.7 | 13.5 | 55.0 | 37.9 | 46.6 | 42.5 | 29.6 | 36.2 |
| 2. | Assam | 24.0 | 15.0 | 19.9 | 11.5 | 7.8 | 9.7 | 13.4 | 8.7 | 11.1 |
| 3. | Bihar | 18.5 | 7.3 | 13.7 | 14.5 | 9.2 | 12.0 | 15.0 | 8.9 | 12.2 |
| 4. | Chhattisgarh | 6.8 | 9.1 | 8.0 | 6.6 | 4.2 | 5.4 | 6.6 | 5.5 | 6.1 |
| 5. | Delhi | 33.2 | 35.8 | 34.3 | 21.8 | 12.8 | 18.2 | 32.5 | 34.4 | 33.3 |
| 6. | Goa + Daman \& Diu | 28.2 | 22.6 | 25.7 | 25.4 | 29.4 | 27.1 | 26.8 | 25.8 | 26.4 |
| 7. | Gujarat + Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 39.7 | 43.2 | 41.2 | 16.7 | 29.0 | 22.9 | 27.3 | 34.5 | 30.7 |
| 8. | Haryana | 15.7 | 23.4 | 19.0 | 29.1 | 21.3 | 25.8 | 25.0 | 22.0 | 23.7 |

(Contd.)

| SI. | State/Group of |  |  |  |  | - 24 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | States |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  | Tota |  |
|  |  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| 9. | Himachal Pradesh | 15.7 | 20.8 | 18.0 | 18.6 | 28.7 | 23.7 | 18.3 | 28.0 | 23.2 |
| 10. | Jammu \& Kashmir | 14.0 | 7.7 | 11.1 | 16.9 | 10.2 | 13.9 | 16.2 | 9.5 | 13.2 |
| 11. | Jharkhand | 20.2 | 17.3 | 19.0 | 18.0 | 16.2 | 17.2 | 18.6 | 16.5 | 17.7 |
| 12. | Karnataka | 58.4 | 30.8 | 46.7 | 45.2 | 49.0 | 47.0 | 50.3 | 43.0 | 46.9 |
| 13. | Kerala + Lakshadweep | 14.9 | 11.9 | 13.3 | 15.3 | 16.6 | 16.0 | 15.2 | 15.5 | 15.4 |
| 14. | Madhya Pradesh | 12.3 | 9.5 | 11.1 | 7.9 | 2.5 | 5.5 | 9.3 | 4.7 | 7.2 |
| 15. | Maharashtra | 13.0 | 15.3 | 14.0 | 22.1 | 23.4 | 22.7 | 17.7 | 19.8 | 18.7 |
| 16. | Manipur | 24.0 | 14.9 | 19.4 | 45.1 | 25.6 | 35.5 | 40.9 | 23.4 | 32.2 |
| 17. | Orissa | 13.7 | 8.7 | 11.4 | 29.6 | 15.2 | 22.5 | 26.4 | 14.1 | 20.4 |
| 18. | Other North Eastern States | 37.5 | 33.3 | 35.5 | 34.1 | 20.6 | 27.5 | 35.1 | 24.2 | 29.8 |
| 19. | Punjab + Chandigarh | 31.6 | 35.2 | 33.2 | 22.0 | 14.8 | 18.6 | 25.9 | 22.6 | 24.4 |
| 20. | Rajasthan | 29.9 | 16.2 | 23.7 | 25.9 | 22.4 | 24.3 | 27.0 | 20.6 | 24.1 |
| 21. | Sikkim | 46.4 | 65.3 | 54.7 | 28.7 | 27.1 | 28.0 | 31.1 | 32.7 | 31.8 |
| 22. | Tamil Nadu + Puducherry | 13.9 | 15.2 | 14.5 | 12.9 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 13.4 | 14.1 | 13.7 |
| 23. | Uttar Pradesh | 20.7 | 17.3 | 19.2 | 17.0 | 15.9 | 16.5 | 17.8 | 16.1 | 17.1 |
| 24. | Uttarakhand | 27.8 | 12.0 | 21.1 | 25.6 | 18.9 | 22.2 | 26.3 | 17.3 | 21.9 |
| 25. | West Bengal + Andaman \& Nicobar Islands | 37.7 | 31.1 | 34.6 | 32.1 | 24.0 | 28.4 | 33.6 | 26.0 | 30.1 |
| All India |  | 24.1 | 20.3 | 22.4 | 22.9 | 19.5 | 21.3 | 23.3 | 19.7 | 21.6 |

Base: All respondents

Among all respondents, only 22 percent reported that they received interpersonal communication on STD/HIV/AIDS in last one year. Higher proportion of males ( $23 \%$ ) reported the same as compared to females (20\%). The proportion of respondents who received interpersonal communication was marginally higher in urban areas (22\%) as compared to rural areas (21\%).

Among states/group of states, proportion of respondents who received interpersonal communication in the reference period of last one year preceding the survey was highest in Karnataka (47\%) followed by Delhi (33\%) and Manipur (32\%). It was reported to be the lowest in Chhattisgarh (6\%), followed by Madhya Pradesh (7\%). Further, only 11 to 15 percent of the respondents in Assam, Bihar, Jammu \& Kashmir, Tamil Nadu and Puducherry, Kerala and Lakshadweep had access to interpersonal communication on HIV/AIDS during the last one year.

Across both age groups, similar proportion of respondents ( $21 \%$ ) reported receiving interpersonal communication. However, for both the age groups, the proportion was reported to be higher among respondents from urban areas and male respondents.

## Reach of Interventions

Figure 8.1a: Proportion of respondents (15-24 years) who received interpersonal communication on STD/HIV/AIDS in the last one year: Interstate comparison, 2006


Base: All respondents


Base: All respondents
（All figures are in percentage）
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|  |  | $\Sigma$ | 둔 | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\underset{\sim}{~}}$ | $\stackrel{\underset{M}{N}}{2}$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\sim}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{n} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & N \\ & \infty \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{9}{\grave{-}}$ | $\stackrel{m}{n}$ | $\frac{0}{7}$ | $\stackrel{?}{\grave{-}}$ | $\stackrel{9}{1}$ | $\stackrel{+}{\sim}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{n}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | ò | $\stackrel{\infty}{\underset{\sim}{~}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{n} \\ & \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{9}{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\bullet}{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{N}}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{+}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ}}{\stackrel{1}{\sim}}$ | N0 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 들 } \\ & \frac{0}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  | － | $\begin{aligned} & n \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{1} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{n}$ | $\stackrel{-}{7}$ | $\stackrel{\underset{\sim}{\sim}}{\underset{\sim}{2}}$ | $\stackrel{\llcorner }{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{n}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\substack{\infty \\ \infty \\-1}}{ }$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\underset{\sim}{2}}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ}$ | $\begin{gathered} n \\ \bullet \\ \hline-1 \end{gathered}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{N}{n}$ | $0$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\underset{J}{1}}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{i}}{\underset{\sim}{2}}$ | $\stackrel{N}{N}$ | $\underset{\sim}{i}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \sim \end{aligned}$ | N゙ | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{N}}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty} \underset{-1}{\infty}$ |  | ষ̣ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\text {－}}$ |
|  |  | レ | $\stackrel{m}{0}$ | $0$ | $\stackrel{\wedge}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{6}$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{m}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\text { O}}{寸}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\dot{N}}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\infty$ | $\underset{-}{-1}$ | $\stackrel{\text { Q }}{\underset{\sim}{2}}$ | $\stackrel{-1}{n}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{-}$ | $\stackrel{-1}{n}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\text { He }}$ | $\underset{\infty}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{-}{\wedge}$ | $\stackrel{N}{\mathrm{~m}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & m \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | No | $\underset{\sim}{-7}$ | $\stackrel{+}{\square}$ | $\stackrel{0}{0}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ִ. } \\ & \hline \text {. } \end{aligned}$ | － |
|  |  | $\Sigma$ | $\begin{aligned} & n \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N} \\ & \text { N} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\bullet}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\sim}{n}}{\underset{\sim}{2}}$ | $\stackrel{0}{\mathrm{~m}}$ | $\underset{\underset{~}{\sim}}{\square}$ | $\underset{i}{-}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{L} \\ & \stackrel{n}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Y } \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{6}$ | $\stackrel{n}{n}$ | $\begin{aligned} & n \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\sigma}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\bullet}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{N}$ | $\stackrel{\underset{\sim}{\sim}}{\underset{\sim}{2}}$ | O. | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{m}}}{ }$ | $\stackrel{\grave{N}}{\mathbf{N}}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\gtrless}$ | $\hat{6}$ | $\stackrel{\underset{\sim}{\oplus}}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{4}}$ | $\stackrel{\ddots}{\mathrm{N}}$ | "- | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ |

## State／Group of States

Goa＋Daman \＆Diu
Gujarat＋Dadra \＆Nagar
Haveli
Haryana
Himachal Pradesh
Jammu \＆Kashmir

Kerala＋Lakshadweep Madhya Pradesh

言

Other Punjab＋Chandigarh


[^15] All India and gender


### 8.2 Participated in any Campaign/Meeting on STD/HIV/AIDS

All the respondents who were aware of HIV/AIDS were asked to mention whether they have ever participated in any meeting on STI/HIV/AIDS. The responses presented in Table 8.2a shows that at the national level around one-tenth of the respondents reported ever participation in such meetings. Not much rural-urban and male-female differentials were observed in this respect.

The participation in any meeting on STI/HIV/AIDS was highest proportion of respondents in Karnataka (31\%) followed by Andhra Pradesh (25\%) and Gujarat and Dadra \& Nagar Haveli (20\%). However, less

Table 8.2a: Proportion of respondents (15-24 years) attending/participating in any campaign/ meeting on STI/HIV/AIDS by residence and gender
(All figures are in percentage)

| SI. <br> No. | State/Group of States | 15-24 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  | Total |  |  |
|  |  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 5.6 | 11.5 | 8.4 | 43.9 | 20.1 | 32.2 | 31.7 | 17.5 | 24.8 |
| 2. | Assam | 8.6 | 2.9 | 6.0 | 4.7 | 4.5 | 4.6 | 5.3 | 4.3 | 4.8 |
| 3. | Bihar | 4.5 | 0.3 | 2.7 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 0.7 |
| 4. | Chhattisgarh | 4.0 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 5.1 | 4.1 | 3.3 | 4.3 | 3.8 |
| 5. | Delhi | 9.7 | 9.1 | 9.4 | 7.3 | 4.1 | 6.0 | 9.5 | 8.8 | 9.2 |
| 6. | Goa + Daman \& Diu | 13.9 | 17.3 | 15.4 | 14.9 | 26.9 | 20.1 | 14.4 | 21.8 | 17.7 |
| 7. | Gujarat + Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 26.8 | 24.4 | 25.8 | 12.1 | 19.0 | 15.6 | 18.9 | 21.1 | 19.9 |
| 8. | Haryana | 5.7 | 2.2 | 4.2 | 6.5 | 1.0 | 4.2 | 6.3 | 1.4 | 4.2 |
| 9. | Himachal Pradesh | 13.3 | 15.7 | 14.4 | 14.0 | 20.2 | 17.2 | 13.9 | 19.8 | 16.9 |
| 10. | Jammu \& Kashmir | 18.0 | 11.4 | 15.0 | 15.8 | 5.6 | 11.3 | 16.4 | 7.1 | 12.3 |
| 11. | Jharkhand | 5.8 | 10.3 | 7.8 | 8.4 | 13.2 | 10.6 | 7.7 | 12.4 | 9.8 |
| 12. | Karnataka | 31.3 | 13.1 | 23.6 | 39.7 | 31.0 | 35.5 | 36.5 | 25.1 | 31.2 |
| 13. | Kerala + Lakshadweep | 18.0 | 12.8 | 15.2 | 18.7 | 18.6 | 18.6 | 18.5 | 17.1 | 17.8 |
| 14. | Madhya Pradesh | 1.0 | 6.0 | 3.3 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 2.8 | 1.9 |
| 15. | Maharashtra | 6.4 | 6.6 | 6.5 | 5.1 | 6.3 | 5.6 | 5.7 | 6.4 | 6.0 |
| 16. | Manipur | 22.9 | 17.4 | 20.1 | 21.6 | 11.7 | 16.7 | 21.9 | 12.9 | 17.4 |
| 17. | Orissa | 7.8 | 9.8 | 8.7 | 10.7 | 8.7 | 9.7 | 10.1 | 8.9 | 9.5 |
| 18. | Other North Eastern States | 14.3 | 13.5 | 13.9 | 8.3 | 7.2 | 7.7 | 10.1 | 9.0 | 9.5 |
| 19. | Punjab + Chandigarh | 6.4 | 10.2 | 8.0 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 3.8 | 5.2 | 4.4 |
| 20. | Rajasthan | 7.1 | 2.8 | 5.1 | 6.5 | 2.8 | 4.8 | 6.6 | 2.8 | 4.9 |
| 21. | Sikkim | 16.3 | 30.8 | 22.7 | 6.2 | 10.8 | 8.2 | 7.6 | 13.7 | 10.2 |
| 22. | Tamil Nadu + Puducherry | 7.6 | 7.7 | 7.7 | 7.2 | 6.1 | 6.7 | 7.4 | 6.9 | 7.2 |
| 23. | Uttar Pradesh | 9.3 | 0.6 | 5.6 | 2.8 | 1.2 | 2.0 | 4.2 | 1.1 | 2.8 |
| 24. | Uttarakhand | 7.9 | 5.1 | 6.7 | 10.0 | 7.0 | 8.5 | 9.4 | 6.6 | 8.0 |
| 25. | West Bengal +Andaman \& Nicobar Islands | 8.7 | 2.0 | 5.5 | 4.8 | 4.6 | 4.7 | 5.9 | 3.9 | 4.9 |
| All India |  | 10.3 | 7.8 | 9.2 | 9.5 | 7.5 | 8.6 | 9.8 | 7.6 | 8.8 |

Base: All Respondents
Table 8.2b: Proportion of respondents attending/participating in any campaign/meeting on STI/HIV/AIDS by age, residence and gender

than five percent of respondents in Bihar, Madhya Pradesh, Uttar Pradesh, Chhattisgarh, Haryana and Punjab and Chandigarh had ever participated in any meeting on STI/HIV/AIDS.

Similar proportion of respondents in the age group of $15-19$ years ( $8 \%$ ) and $20-24$ years ( $9 \%$ ) had reportedly participated in STI/HIV/AIDS related meeting (Table 8.2b).

### 8.3 Exposure to Television

NACO is utilising the massive outreach of Doordarshan and private satellite channels for telecast of messages on HIV/AIDS prevention and control during prime time. These include messages on STDs, blood safety and voluntary blood donation. In order to spread messages on HIV/AIDS through television, it is imperative to understand the proportion of population which watches television.

All respondents in the survey were asked whether they had watched television any time during the last one month. Ownership of a television was not considered a necessary condition as respondents could have had access to television at home or elsewhere during the time period mentioned in the query. Further, from the programme effectiveness point of view, it was assumed that the respondents who watched television at least once a week (or more frequently) had a higher probability of being exposed to some mass communication message on STD/HIV/AIDS than those who had watched less frequently (Table $8.3 \mathrm{a} \& \mathrm{~b}$ ).

At the national level, 75 percent of the respondents had watched television at least once a week in last one month. The proportion was significantly higher among males at 81 percent as compared to females ( $69 \%$ ). Further, higher proportion of respondents in urban (92\%) than the rural areas (68\%) had access to television. Within both urban and rural areas, significantly higher proportion of males had an exposure to television as compared to their female counterparts.

As regards the state-wise analysis, except for Bihar (35\%) and Uttar Pradesh (61\%), in all other states, more than two-thirds of the respondents had watched television at least once a week in last One month. The proportion was reported to be highest in Andhra Pradesh (97\%), followed by Goa and Daman \& Diu (96\%), Delhi, Punjab and Chandigarh (95\%).

It was observed that in both urban and rural areas, the awareness was similar among the respondents aged 15-19 years and $20-24$ years. When compared across residence among both the age groups, it was observed that a significantly higher proportion of respondents from urban areas reported exposure to television. However, a higher proportion of male respondents across both urban and rural areas and among both the age groups had watched television at least once a week.

### 8.4 Exposure to Radio

With respect to radio, a special programme, in the drama mode, has been devised by NACO for rural and migrant youth. The programme which is titled "Jiyo Aur Jeene Do" is being broadcast on 30 commercial broadcasting stations of AIR since June, 1998. The ten minutes programme is broadcast in 12 languages on Tuesday evening at 8.00 P.M. Further, NACO is using the popular FM channel to combine entertainment and education in reaching out to the urban youth. The one hour programme which is titled "NACO Film Hit Parade" is broadcast for one hour every week on the AIR-FM channel in Delhi. In order to increase the communication initiatives through radio, it is important to understand as to how many people does this medium reach.

Table 8.3a: Proportion of respondents (15-24 years) who watched television at least once a week in last one month by residence and gender

| (All figures are in percentage) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SI. <br> No. | State/Group of States | 15-24 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  | Total |  |  |
|  |  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 98.9 | 98.0 | 98.5 | 97.9 | 93.7 | 95.9 | 98.2 | 95.0 | 96.7 |
| 2. | Assam | 91.8 | 90.3 | 91.2 | 69.5 | 64.6 | 67.0 | 72.8 | 67.8 | 70.4 |
| 3. | Bihar | 84.7 | 67.6 | 77.4 | 38.9 | 18.5 | 29.2 | 45.1 | 24.1 | 35.2 |
| 4. | Chhattisgarh | 93.4 | 89.9 | 91.7 | 65.6 | 59.6 | 62.7 | 72.7 | 67.3 | 70.1 |
| 5. | Delhi | 96.8 | 93.0 | 95.3 | 96.1 | 88.2 | 93.0 | 96.7 | 92.8 | 95.1 |
| 6. | Goa + Daman \& Diu | 97.9 | 95.1 | 96.6 | 97.6 | 94.2 | 96.1 | 97.7 | 94.7 | 96.4 |
| 7. | Gujarat + Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 95.0 | 87.9 | 92.0 | 72.9 | 56.1 | 64.4 | 83.1 | 68.5 | 76.2 |
| 8. | Haryana | 88.3 | 81.2 | 85.2 | 85.3 | 77.0 | 81.7 | 86.2 | 78.3 | 82.8 |
| 9. | Himachal Pradesh | 94.9 | 89.5 | 92.4 | 91.2 | 86.8 | 89.0 | 91.6 | 87.1 | 89.3 |
| 10. | Jammu \& Kashmir | 93.8 | 86.3 | 90.4 | 76.7 | 58.9 | 68.8 | 81.0 | 66.0 | 74.3 |
| 11. | Jharkhand | 92.0 | 85.4 | 89.1 | 76.5 | 63.1 | 70.5 | 81.1 | 69.4 | 75.9 |
| 12. | Karnataka | 97.5 | 93.8 | 95.9 | 80.8 | 71.0 | 76.1 | 87.3 | 78.5 | 83.2 |
| 13. | Kerala + Lakshadweep | 97.0 | 96.0 | 96.5 | 91.9 | 91.6 | 91.7 | 93.1 | 92.7 | 92.9 |
| 14. | Madhya Pradesh | 95.2 | 89.1 | 92.4 | 72.3 | 44.0 | 59.5 | 79.4 | 58.1 | 69.8 |
| 15. | Maharashtra | 94.0 | 97.6 | 95.5 | 94.4 | 89.8 | 92.2 | 94.2 | 93.2 | 93.7 |
| 16. | Manipur | 95.0 | 97.7 | 96.4 | 67.8 | 71.1 | 69.4 | 73.3 | 76.8 | 75.0 |
| 17. | Orissa | 97.2 | 89.1 | 93.5 | 70.9 | 55.5 | 63.3 | 76.1 | 61.4 | 69.0 |
| 18. | Other North Eastern States | 96.6 | 91.5 | 94.2 | 86.4 | 75.3 | 80.9 | 89.4 | 79.9 | 84.8 |
| 19. | Punjab + Chandigarh | 97.0 | 96.1 | 96.6 | 95.3 | 93.3 | 94.3 | 96.0 | 94.4 | 95.3 |
| 20. | Rajasthan | 94.8 | 74.7 | 85.8 | 80.6 | 59.3 | 70.7 | 84.8 | 63.6 | 75.1 |
| 21. | Sikkim | 87.3 | 95.4 | 90.8 | 71.3 | 74.7 | 72.7 | 73.5 | 77.7 | 75.3 |
| 22. | Tamil Nadu + Puducherry | 90.8 | 90.1 | 90.4 | 93.4 | 89.6 | 91.5 | 92.1 | 89.8 | 91.0 |
| 23. | Uttar Pradesh | 86.8 | 81.5 | 84.5 | 67.0 | 40.7 | 54.8 | 71.4 | 48.8 | 61.1 |
| 24. | Uttarakhand | 90.7 | 87.3 | 89.3 | 83.2 | 64.8 | 73.8 | 85.4 | 69.9 | 77.8 |
| 25. | West Bengal + Andaman \& Nicobar Islands | 97.2 | 92.2 | 94.8 | 65.4 | 49.3 | 58.0 | 74.0 | 61.3 | 68.1 |
| All India |  | 93.7 | 89.8 | 91.9 | 74.5 | 59.9 | 67.6 | 80.6 | 68.9 | 75.1 |

Base: All respondents

Thus, similar information as that sought about television viewership was collected on radio listenership. The respondents were asked whether they had listened to radio at least once a week during the last one month. Again, ownership of a radio was not considered a necessary condition as respondents could have had access to radio at home or elsewhere during the time period mentioned in the query. Tables $8.4 \mathrm{a} \& \mathrm{~b}$ present the proportion of respondents who listened to radio (owned or otherwise) at least once a week during the last one month.

More than half $(53 \%)$ of the respondents reported that they had listened to radio at least once a week in last one month. A significantly higher proportion of male respondents (64\%) reported radio listenership as compared to female respondents (41\%). The listenership was similar across urban and rural areas (53\%).

|  |  | － | N゙ | $\begin{aligned} & \bullet \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{O} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{~m}} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{1}{6}}{\stackrel{0}{2}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & \text { O } \end{aligned}$ | ふু | $\stackrel{\text { Ni }}{\underset{\sim}{2}}$ | $\underset{\infty}{\circ}$ | $\underset{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\mathrm{N}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{n}$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\infty}$ | -্রু | $9$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\Pi}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\mathrm{N}}$ | $\hat{O}$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\infty}$ | $$ | $\underset{\underset{~}{\gtrless}}{\underset{~}{2}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bullet \\ & \end{aligned}$ | ふু | $\stackrel{\text { Ñ }}{\substack{0}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{\dot{6}} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{9}{\text { ® }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 〒. } \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | レ | 이 | $\stackrel{7}{6}$ | $\stackrel{ \pm}{\sim}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{N} \\ & \text { N } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\hat{0}}{\hat{0}}$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\bullet}$ | $\underset{\infty}{N}$ | $0$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{m}{\kappa}$ | $\stackrel{\wedge}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{J}{む}$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\Gamma}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{9}{\infty}$ | O் | $\frac{9}{6}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\bullet}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { t. } \\ & \text { Kin } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{N}{\stackrel{~}{*}}$ | $\stackrel{\text { n }}{\stackrel{1}{2}}$ | in | － |
|  |  | $\Sigma$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{N} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{-}{2}$ | $\underset{\mathrm{m}}{\mathrm{t}}$ | Ni |  | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & \hline \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\infty}{\ddagger}$ | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{n}{2} \\ \stackrel{1}{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\infty}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\infty}$ | $\underset{\infty}{\underset{\infty}{*}}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\underset{\alpha}{\mathrm{K}}}$ | $\stackrel{9}{\wedge}$ | ®씨 | $\stackrel{\ddots}{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\stackrel{m}{ }$ | $\underset{\infty}{\infty}$ | Mૂ | $\underset{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\text { n }}{\stackrel{1}{\mathrm{~N}}}$ | ભ̀ |  | Ň | ㅇ․ | － |
|  | 要 | ー | ゙ָ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ne } \\ & \text { nf } \end{aligned}$ | Ni | Ni | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{2}}$ | $$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\text { ì }}$ | Oి | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | N్ర | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\bullet}{6}}{\substack{2}}$ | N | $\stackrel{\dot{\alpha}}{\underset{\alpha}{1}}$ | $\stackrel{n}{i n}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{\circ}}$ | Ň | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \underset{O}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\infty}{\infty}$ | 잉 | $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{B}}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\stackrel{\infty}{\perp}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | Bö |  | $\vec{\circ}$ | ¢ |
|  |  | レ | $\stackrel{0}{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\underset{O}{\mathrm{O}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{\circ}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { M. } \\ \text { in } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\sim}{N}$ | in | $\stackrel{\infty}{\stackrel{\infty}{\wedge}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \infty \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 오 | $\stackrel{-}{6}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & 0 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\alpha} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathscr{F}}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\text { a }}$ | $0$ | ジ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty} \underset{\infty}{\infty}$ | むু | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{n}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lొ } \\ & \infty \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\infty}$ | فి이 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O. } \\ & \text { ¢ } \end{aligned}$ | กั่ |
|  |  | $\Sigma$ | $\underset{~ N}{N}$ | ت | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \underset{m}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \text { í } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} m \\ \kappa \end{gathered}$ | $\infty$ | Oi | $\underset{\infty}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\infty}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \underset{1}{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { L } \\ & \text { N } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{~N}}$ | $\hat{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{n}{2} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathbf{o}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{m}$ | ث太 | $\underset{N}{\text { N }}$ | $\vec{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{i n}{\overleftarrow{N}}$ | $\underset{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\overline{0}$ | $\stackrel{\sigma}{\sigma}$ | $\stackrel{0}{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\infty}$ | $\vec{\Xi}$ | กֻ |
|  |  | ー | $\stackrel{\varrho!}{\kappa}$ | $\begin{gathered} m \\ \vdots \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\stackrel{ }{\gtrless}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & \text { on } \end{aligned}$ | 犬 | ஷ் | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\infty}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { y } \\ \text { N } \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\downarrow}{\infty}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\infty}$ | ֵh | $\underset{\sim}{\alpha}$ | 이 | ஷ் | ๗ீ | $\stackrel{J}{~}$ | ஆో | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | ஷ் | ò | $\stackrel{\text { j }}{\infty}$ | $\underset{\infty}{\underset{\infty}{J}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ద゙ } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\square}$ |
|  |  | レ | $\underset{\sim}{N}$ | O் | $\stackrel{0}{6}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{j} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ñ } \\ & \text { む́ } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\ddagger}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & m \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ñ } \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ©் } \\ & \dot{~} \end{aligned}$ | Gু | $\hat{8}$ | ó | $\underset{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\wedge}{\infty}$ | $\vec{\sim}$ | Һิ̀ | $\underset{\sim}{ \pm}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & \text { ず } \end{aligned}$ | ભ. | $\stackrel{\cap}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\perp}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \text { ふi } \end{aligned}$ | \％ |
|  |  | $\Sigma$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\stackrel{\infty}{\circ}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { L } \\ & \text { à } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ざ } \\ & \text { an } \end{aligned}$ | なু | $\stackrel{n}{\kappa}$ | $\underset{\text { ুi }}{\text { ুi }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\grave{\aleph}}{ }$ | $\stackrel{\grave{\aleph}}{ }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \text { O} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}}$ | ఠু | ※் | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ஷூ } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{m}{\aleph}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{m}{\grave{\alpha}}$ | ホ̛̣ |  | $\underset{\infty}{\underset{\infty}{t}}$ | O. | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\varrho}{\infty}}{\stackrel{1}{\infty}}$ | O. | $\underset{\infty}{\infty}$ | N゙ |
|  |  | ー | $\stackrel{\downarrow}{\infty}$ | $\underset{N}{\mathrm{~N}}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\dot{m}}$ | $\grave{N}$ | గ | 응 | $\stackrel{m}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ | 오 | $\stackrel{\infty}{\stackrel{~}{\wedge}}$ | $\underset{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\underset{\alpha}{\grave{\alpha}}$ | $\stackrel{\varrho}{\gtrless}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\tilde{m}}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\stackrel{\infty}{\mathrm{N}}}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{6}$ | $\underset{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ơ } \\ & \text { む́ } \end{aligned}$ | 萑 | $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{N}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & \sigma \end{aligned}$ | 앙 | $\stackrel{\stackrel{N}{\mathrm{~N}}}{\mathrm{~K}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | N |
|  |  | レ | $\stackrel{\llcorner }{\kappa}$ | $\underset{\infty}{\underset{\infty}{\circ}}$ | $\stackrel{\substack{\mathrm{N}}}{\stackrel{1}{2}}$ | $\dot{0}$ | $\vec{\aleph}$ | ふু | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ® } \\ & \hline 1 \end{aligned}$ | ò | Ň | $\begin{aligned} & 0 . \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{2}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \underset{\sim}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ti }}{\text { in }}$ | $\underset{\sim}{N}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & i \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\text { ì }}{\substack{2}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\alpha} \\ & \text { N } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\text { Hid }}$ | $\stackrel{\varrho}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | O. | $9$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bullet \\ & \underset{~}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | － |
|  |  | $\Sigma$ | Nั | N | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & \end{aligned}$ | $\vec{~}$ | $\stackrel{\Omega}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\substack{\infty \\ \infty}}{ }$ | $\stackrel{9}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\alpha} \\ & \stackrel{y}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\infty}$ | $\underset{\infty}{-1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{\aleph} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | N゙ | 운 | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\underset{~}{~}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ட் } \\ & \text { \&月 } \end{aligned}$ | ஆீ | $\underset{\infty}{\aleph}$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\stackrel{1}{~}}$ |  | $\stackrel{m}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{0}{\mathrm{~N}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\text { N }}$ |
|  |  | － | $\stackrel{\Omega}{\Omega}$ | 8 | $\stackrel{\varrho}{\mathrm{m}}$ | $\widehat{i}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\aleph}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \hline 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{m}{8}$ | $\underset{\infty}{\underset{\infty}{i}}$ | $\hat{\circ}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7 \\ \underset{i}{n} \end{array}$ | $\stackrel{m}{n}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ņ } \\ & \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{G}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\text { ুj}}{~}$ | $\stackrel{m}{n}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \dot{i} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ti }}{\underset{\sim}{2}}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\underset{さ}{~}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{N}$ | $\dot{\sim}$ | $\grave{\aleph}$ | $\stackrel{-}{8}$ | mo |
|  |  | レ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ò } \\ & \text { oे } \end{aligned}$ | ño | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\infty} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $$ | $\underset{\infty}{\substack{~ \\ \infty}}$ | ふু | $\stackrel{\text { U }}{\substack{\text { n }}}$ | No | "- | oi | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \text { Ui } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{N}{N}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \text { இ் } \end{aligned}$ | ○ | $\underset{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{N}{\stackrel{n}{\gtrless}}$ | $\stackrel{0}{i}$ | N | $\begin{gathered} \text { N } \\ \vdots \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{j}{j} \\ & \underset{i}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} n \\ \stackrel{0}{\circ} \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{m}{\underset{~}{j}}$ | $\stackrel{\widehat{\ominus}}{\hat{\theta}}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \text { in } \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{4}{0}$ |
|  |  | $\Sigma$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{N}}$ | $\stackrel{9}{子}$ | $7$ | $\underset{~ N ~}{\text { N }}$ | $\vec{~}$ | ت | O. | $\begin{gathered} \underset{1}{2} \\ \stackrel{2}{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{7}{\infty}$ | 오 | $\stackrel{-1}{\infty}$ | $\begin{gathered} \underset{\sim}{2} \\ \text { Nun } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ơ } \\ & \underset{+}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\alpha}{\text { m }}$ |  | 꿍 | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | ふু | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ti } \\ & \text { rin } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\Gamma}$ | ㅇ․ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} 0 \\ \infty \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\hat{6}$ | Ṅセ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 들 } \\ & \stackrel{\Delta}{3} \end{aligned}$ | － | ®ٌ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \dot{\alpha} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\sim}{N}$ | ふ̀ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \dot{ু} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{~ N}{\text { オু }}$ | ボ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | ふু | $\begin{gathered} \underset{\sim}{2} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | 岕 | 气ু | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{1}{\alpha} \end{aligned}$ | ஷ் | পిં | $\stackrel{m}{n}$ | $\underset{\alpha}{\alpha}$ | $\widehat{\aleph}$ | $\underset{\infty}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\infty}}$ | oे | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\infty}$ | $\underset{\infty}{\substack{\text { I }}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \vec{\prime} \\ & \text { an } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \end{aligned}$ | N゙ |
|  |  | ᄂ | -i | O் | $\begin{gathered} m \\ \infty \\ \hline 0 \end{gathered}$ | Ň. | $\underset{\text { N゙ }}{\substack{\text { I }}}$ | ふু | なু | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\circ}$ | $\underset{\infty}{\circ}$ | $\underset{\infty}{\underset{\infty}{7}}$ | $\grave{\text { ふু }}$ | ஆᄋ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{N}{\grave{N}}$ | 응 | $\underset{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | N゙ | N |  | $\underset{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\underset{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\downarrow}{\infty}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{2}}$ | 능 |
|  |  | $\Sigma$ | ふু | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \text { K } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nু } \\ & \text { N } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{3}$ | $\stackrel{\wedge}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\llcorner }{\alpha}$ | なু |  | $\underset{\sim}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \text { ふi } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\underset{\text { Ñ }}{\text { N }}$ | ㄴ․ | 씅 | ஷిં | $\stackrel{\text { N}}{\underset{\sim}{2}}$ | 人ぶ | $\stackrel{\vdots}{\stackrel{0}{\mathrm{O}}}$ | O. | $\underset{\infty}{\stackrel{+}{\infty}}$ | N゙ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\sim}{N}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \end{aligned}$ | ¢ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { 듬 }}{\square}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N} \\ & \text { N} \\ & \text { 줄 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 므﹎ } \\ & \text { 도̃ } \\ & \text { 드N } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | －i | ～ | m | ナ | ค | $\bullet^{\circ}$ | N | $\infty$ | 0 | $\stackrel{\circ}{\square}$ | $\dot{\square}$ | ヘ | ற | ゴ | ก่ | $\stackrel{\square}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | － | ～ | ～̇ | ผ | ～ | ～̇ | น่ | 蓑 |

Table 8.4a: Proportion of respondents (15-24 years) who listened to radio at least once a week in last one month by residence and gender

| (All figures are in percentage) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SI. <br> No. | State/Group of States | 15-24 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  | Total |  |  |
|  |  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 53.5 | 29.5 | 42.0 | 49.8 | 13.9 | 32.2 | 50.9 | 18.7 | 35.3 |
| 2. | Assam | 56.5 | 34.2 | 46.5 | 82.8 | 64.2 | 73.6 | 78.9 | 60.4 | 69.8 |
| 3. | Bihar | 53.0 | 30.2 | 43.2 | 68.1 | 34.8 | 52.2 | 66.0 | 34.3 | 51.1 |
| 4. | Chhattisgarh | 30.6 | 19.0 | 24.9 | 37.4 | 36.5 | 36.9 | 35.7 | 32.0 | 33.9 |
| 5. | Delhi | 64.0 | 29.1 | 49.8 | 78.1 | 38.9 | 62.6 | 64.8 | 29.7 | 50.6 |
| 6. | Goa + Daman \& Diu | 56.7 | 35.4 | 47.0 | 44.5 | 38.9 | 42.1 | 50.6 | 37.0 | 44.6 |
| 7. | ```Gujarat + Dadra & Nagar Haveli``` | 67.6 | 47.5 | 58.9 | 57.2 | 19.6 | 38.2 | 62.0 | 30.4 | 47.1 |
| 8. | Haryana | 50.9 | 30.2 | 42.0 | 72.8 | 37.3 | 57.6 | 66.0 | 35.0 | 52.7 |
| 9. | Himachal Pradesh | 60.9 | 38.0 | 50.2 | 56.7 | 36.4 | 46.4 | 57.2 | 36.6 | 46.8 |
| 10. | Jammu \& Kashmir | 67.7 | 45.1 | 57.4 | 69.1 | 47.4 | 59.5 | 68.8 | 46.8 | 58.9 |
| 11. | Jharkhand | 72.7 | 39.1 | 58.2 | 70.1 | 39.5 | 56.5 | 70.9 | 39.4 | 57.0 |
| 12. | Karnataka | 35.0 | 43.3 | 38.5 | 55.8 | 42.1 | 49.2 | 47.8 | 42.5 | 45.3 |
| 13. | Kerala + Lakshadweep | 60.0 | 57.9 | 58.9 | 64.3 | 59.2 | 61.6 | 63.2 | 58.9 | 60.9 |
| 14. | Madhya Pradesh | 74.6 | 46.9 | 62.0 | 67.1 | 19.9 | 45.8 | 69.4 | 28.4 | 50.8 |
| 15. | Maharashtra | 71.3 | 64.4 | 68.3 | 85.0 | 71.2 | 78.6 | 78.5 | 68.2 | 73.8 |
| 16. | Manipur | 89.5 | 90.6 | 90.1 | 83.1 | 81.3 | 82.2 | 84.4 | 83.3 | 83.8 |
| 17. | Orissa | 25.1 | 9.5 | 18.0 | 51.5 | 24.6 | 38.2 | 46.3 | 22.0 | 34.4 |
| 18. | Other North Eastern States | 34.3 | 24.1 | 29.5 | 35.7 | 26.9 | 31.4 | 35.3 | 26.1 | 30.8 |
| 19. | Punjab + Chandigarh | 40.7 | 37.4 | 39.3 | 52.0 | 26.6 | 40.1 | 47.4 | 30.8 | 39.8 |
| 20. | Rajasthan | 61.9 | 22.4 | 44.2 | 64.4 | 26.6 | 46.9 | 63.7 | 25.4 | 46.1 |
| 21. | Sikkim | 24.2 | 33.9 | 28.5 | 46.7 | 54.1 | 49.8 | 43.6 | 51.1 | 46.8 |
| 22. | Tamil Nadu + Puducherry | 74.4 | 61.2 | 67.9 | 63.2 | 57.9 | 60.6 | 68.8 | 59.5 | 64.2 |
| 23. | Uttar Pradesh | 49.9 | 37.9 | 44.8 | 72.5 | 44.1 | 59.4 | 67.5 | 42.9 | 56.3 |
| 24. | Uttarakhand | 35.3 | 10.7 | 25.0 | 56.3 | 29.3 | 42.5 | 50.1 | 25.1 | 37.9 |
| 25. | West Bengal + Andaman \& Nicobar Islands | 74.6 | 45.1 | 60.7 | 62.5 | 41.2 | 52.7 | 65.8 | 42.3 | 54.9 |
| All India |  | 60.5 | 43.6 | 53.0 | 65.5 | 39.4 | 53.1 | 63.9 | 40.7 | 53.1 |

Base: All respondents

Among the states/group of states, radio listenership was reported to be highest in Manipur (84\%), followed by Maharashtra (74\%) and Assam (70\%). The proportion was lowest in Other NE States (31\%), Orissa and Chhattisgarh (34\%) and Andhra Pradesh (35\%).

Almost equal proportion of respondents in both the age groups (53\%) reported radio listenership. The proportion of respondents who listened to radio was higher among urban respondents in the age group of $20-24$ years as compared to respondents aged 15-19 years. Across both age groups and place of residence, the listenership was higher among males as compared to females.


### 8.5 Exposure to Newspaper/Magazine

The Press Information Bureau of the Government of India has organised a number of programmes to sensitise the regional press on the issue of HIV/AIDS. Further, NGOs also keep publishing advertisements on the issue. To understand the effectiveness of print media and plan future communications, there is a need to understand the exposure to this communication channel among the youth.

Respondents were asked whether they had read a newspaper or magazine in the last one month. Tables 8.5 a \& b provide proportion of respondents who reported reading any publication, at least once a week during the last one month. It may be noted here that, unlike radio listenership and TV viewership, readership of newspaper or magazine would be a function of the literacy status of the respondents.

At the all India level, 49 percent of the respondents reported reading newspaper/magazine at least once a week in last one month. As expected, the proportion was higher among male respondents at 63 percent as compared to females ( $33 \%$ ). Also, higher proportion of respondents from urban areas (65\%) as compared to rural areas (42\%) reported reading newspaper/magazine.

Highest proportion of respondents from Kerala and Lakshadweep (86\%), followed by Maharashtra (78\%) and Goa and Daman \& Diu (75\%) reported that they had read newspaper/magazine at least once a week in last one month. The proportion was lowest in Bihar (29\%), West Bengal and andaman \& Nicobar Islands (30\%) and Orissa (32\%).

Table 8.5a: Proportion of respondents (15-24 years) who read newspaper/magazine at least once a week in last one month by residence and gender

| (All figures are in percentage) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SI. No. | State/Group of States | 15-24 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  | Total |  |  |
|  |  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 80.6 | 27.5 | 55.3 | 85.5 | 27.8 | 57.2 | 83.9 | 27.7 | 56.6 |
| 2. | Assam | 83.2 | 62.9 | 74.0 | 50.0 | 38.1 | 44.1 | 55.0 | 41.2 | 48.2 |
| 3. | Bihar | 66.5 | 28.1 | 50.1 | 40.4 | 9.3 | 25.6 | 43.9 | 11.4 | 28.6 |
| 4. | Chhattisgarh | 61.4 | 35.6 | 48.7 | 41.3 | 26.3 | 33.9 | 46.5 | 28.7 | 37.7 |
| 5. | Delhi | 76.8 | 51.5 | 66.5 | 72.0 | 33.0 | 56.5 | 76.5 | 50.4 | 65.9 |
| 6. | Goa + Daman \& Diu | 82.1 | 68.6 | 75.9 | 79.1 | 67.0 | 73.8 | 80.6 | 67.8 | 74.9 |
| 7. | Gujarat + Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 83.9 | 68.1 | 77.1 | 68.8 | 32.3 | 50.4 | 75.8 | 46.2 | 61.8 |
| 8. | Haryana | 67.8 | 31.9 | 52.3 | 62.5 | 21.5 | 45.0 | 64.2 | 24.8 | 47.3 |
| 9. | Himachal Pradesh | 81.2 | 57.1 | 70.0 | 69.3 | 42.2 | 55.5 | 70.6 | 43.6 | 57.0 |
| 10. | Jammu \& Kashmir | 70.2 | 40.1 | 56.6 | 48.3 | 22.8 | 37.0 | 53.9 | 27.3 | 42.0 |
| 11. | Jharkhand | 76.8 | 51.9 | 66.1 | 53.2 | 24.1 | 40.2 | 60.2 | 32.0 | 47.7 |
| 12. | Karnataka | 84.4 | 62.3 | 75.1 | 57.0 | 39.4 | 48.5 | 67.6 | 47.0 | 58.1 |
| 13. | Kerala + Lakshadweep | 91.2 | 80.5 | 85.6 | 88.9 | 82.9 | 85.8 | 89.5 | 82.3 | 85.7 |
| 14. | Madhya Pradesh | 78.1 | 55.0 | 67.6 | 59.5 | 13.4 | 38.6 | 65.3 | 26.4 | 47.7 |
| 15. | Maharashtra | 85.6 | 75.3 | 81.2 | 85.7 | 61.7 | 74.5 | 85.6 | 67.7 | 77.6 |
| 16. | Manipur | 85.9 | 81.6 | 83.7 | 56.3 | 58.2 | 57.2 | 62.3 | 63.2 | 62.7 |
| 17. | Orissa | 66.6 | 31.4 | 50.5 | 43.8 | 11.8 | 28.0 | 48.4 | 15.3 | 32.2 |

(Contd.)

|  | State/Group of States |  |  |  |  | -24 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. |  |  | Urban |  |  | Rura |  |  | Total |  |
|  |  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| 18. | Other North Eastern States | 81.2 | 67.8 | 74.9 | 43.6 | 41.7 | 42.7 | 54.9 | 49.1 | 52.1 |
| 19. | Punjab + Chandigarh | 70.7 | 57.6 | 65.0 | 59.7 | 43.5 | 52.1 | 64.2 | 48.9 | 57.2 |
| 20. | Rajasthan | 84.9 | 44.9 | 67.0 | 74.5 | 28.1 | 53.0 | 77.6 | 32.8 | 57.0 |
| 21. | Sikkim | 53.6 | 61.4 | 57.1 | 36.1 | 34.1 | 35.3 | 38.5 | 38.1 | 38.3 |
| 22. | Tamil Nadu + Puducherry | 67.3 | 48.1 | 57.8 | 57.5 | 27.3 | 42.5 | 62.4 | 37.6 | 50.1 |
| 23. | Uttar Pradesh | 61.7 | 27.1 | 46.9 | 48.9 | 11.4 | 31.6 | 51.7 | 14.5 | 34.8 |
| 24. | Uttarakhand | 62.0 | 31.5 | 49.2 | 44.7 | 14.5 | 29.2 | 49.9 | 18.4 | 34.5 |
| 25. | West Bengal + Andaman \& Nicobar Islands | 75.2 | 41.5 | 59.3 | 27.8 | 9.4 | 19.3 | 40.7 | 18.4 | 30.4 |
| All India |  | 76.2 | 50.4 | 64.7 | 56.9 | 26.0 | 42.2 | 63.1 | 33.3 | 49.2 |

Base: All respondents
Following the trend with respect to television viewership and radio listenership, respondents who had read newspaper/magazine at least once a week was higher among urban areas as compared to rural areas in both age groups. Further, higher proportion of male respondents reported this in both urban and rural areas among both 15-19 years and 20-24 years.

### 8.6 Implications of Findings on IEC and Exposure to Mass Media

Concerted efforts are being made for promoting extensive interpersonal communication interventions (IPC) on various aspects of STD/HIV/AIDS transmission and prevention. However,

Table 8.5b: Proportion of respondents who read newspaper/magazine at least once a week in last one month by age, residence and



[^16]the present study shows that only one-third of the youth had received IPC in last one year. This proportion was even lower ( $22 \%$ ) in the rural areas. Further, in 10 out of 25 states/group of states, less than 20 percent of the respondents received IPC on HIV/AIDS. Looking at the effectiveness of IPC in generating correct and complete awareness regarding HIV/AIDS related issues, it is imperative that strategies are devised to strengthen the IPC about HIV/AIDS among the young people.

While selecting communication channels for promoting HIV/AIDS related awareness among the youth, it may be taken into consideration that at national level, three-fourths of the youths had access to television and nearly half were exposed to radio and newspapers/magazines.

Annexure

## National BSS 2006: Questionnaire for the Youth (15-24 years)



To be filled up after selection

| 012 | CATEGORY OFTHE RESPONDENT |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Male $1 \quad$ Female $\quad 2$ | $\square$ |  |
| 013 | LINE NUMBER OFTHE SELECTED RESPONDENT |  |

To Be Filled By Supervisor

| 014 | SUPERVISOR'S NAME | $\square$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 015 | SPOT CHECKED |  |
| 1.Yes 2. No | $\square$ |  |

Introduction - My name is. $\qquad$ I am working for ORG CSR. We are interviewing here (name of city/region/site) in order to find out about ... (describe the purpose of the study).

## HOUSEHOLD INFORMATION

KINDLY PROVIDE BACKGROUND INFORMATION ON HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS WHO ARE SHARING THE SAME KITCHEN AND STAYING IN THIS HOUSE. (Include those who are temporarily away. Exclude guests and servants and those members who usually have not been staying in this house for a period of six months or more)

ELIGIBLE RESPONDENTS IN A HOUSE ARE ALL MARRIED OR UNMARRIED MALE AND FEMALE MEMBERS AGED BETWEEN 15 AND 49 YEARS.

## INSTRUCTION:

Please note, the purpose of filling up this format is to select an eligible respondent in the selected households. List out details of all members exhaustively. Do not ask name of any of the members. Record the relationship of the members with respect to the head of the HH . Select one of these eligible respondents randomly for interview. Ask the head of the household that you would like to meet $\qquad$ (refer to the relationship) for an interview.

**** This column is to be used only for random selection of one eligible respondent. The corresponding line number of the selected individual (taken from the first column) and sex of the respondent (from third column) are to be recorded in the previous page entry number 013 and 014.

| $* * * M A R I T A L ~ S T A T U S ~$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Unmarried | 1 |
| Married | 2 |
| Married but no 'gauna' | 3 |
| Separated/Deserted/Divorced | 4 |
| Widow/Widower |  |
|  | 5 |
| AGE ** |  |
| If age $<1$ year | 00 |
| If age $>99$ years | 99 |

Father
Mother
Spouse
Son
Daughter
Brother
Sister
Daughter-in-law
Son-in-law
Sister-in-law
Brother-in-law

## *RELATIONSHIP WITH HHH

| 01 | Cousin (brother) | 12 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 02 | Cousin (sister) | 13 |
| 03 | Nephew | 14 |
| 04 | Niece | 15 |
| 05 | Grand son | 16 |
| 06 | Grand daughter | 17 |
| 07 | Uncle | 18 |
| 08 | Aunt | 19 |
| 09 | Head of household | 99 |
| 10 | Other | 77 |

## KISH TABLE

| No. of Eligible | LAST DIGIT OF THE HOUSEHOLD NUMBER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Respondents | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |  |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 |  |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 |  |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 |  |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 6 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 3 |  |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 5 | 6 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |  |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |

## INSTRUCTION:

The selected eligible respondent in a given household cannot be substituted in case he/she is not available at the time of visit. The investigator must revisit the house (if possible) or trace the concerned from wherever he/she may be (if within the locality/village area) to meet the eligible respondents before deciding to drop any selected eligible respondent. The decision of dropping a selected eligible respondent must be taken in consultation with the concerned Supervisor after evaluating all efforts at making the contact.

## CONFIDENTIALITY AND CONSENT

We are undertaking this study to take an account of the health scenario in this state. The output of the study will benefit the functionaries involved in the implementation of the Health Promotion Programme. I am going to ask you some very personal questions that some people find difficult to answer. Your answers are completely confidential. Your name will not be written on this form, and will never be used in connection with any of the information you tell me. You do not have to answer any questions that you do not want to answer and you may end this interview at any time you want to. However, your honest answer to these questions will help us better understand what people think, say and do about certain kind of behaviours. We would greatly appreciate your help in responding to this survey. However, if you feel uncomfortable at any point of time, you could discontinue the proceedings. The survey will take about half an hour to ask the questions. Would you be willing to participate?

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Given Consent: } & \text { Yes- } 1 \longrightarrow \text { Continue } \\
& \text { No- } 2 \longrightarrow \text { End }
\end{array}
$$

Section 1: General Information

| Q. No. | Questions and Filters | Coding Categories |  | Skip to |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 101 | Sex of the respondent | Male <br> Female | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 102 | What is your age? | Age in completed years |  |  |
| 103 | What has been your highest level of educational attainment? | Illiterate <br> Literate with non formal education $\begin{aligned} & 1-5^{\text {th }} \\ & 6-8^{\text {th }} \\ & 9-10^{\text {th }} \\ & 11-12^{\text {th }} \end{aligned}$ <br> Technical education (Diploma) Graduate and above | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \\ & 5 \\ & 6 \\ & 7 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \rightarrow 105 \\ & \rightarrow 105 \end{aligned}$ |
| 104 | Are you currently studying? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 105 | What is your main occupation? <br> (In case of more than one occupation, ask for the occupation in which the respondents spend maximum time) | House wife <br> Unemployed/Not working/Retired <br> Student <br> Non-agricultural labourer <br> Domestic servant <br> Agricultural labourer <br> Cultivator <br> Petty business/small shop owner <br> Small artisan in HH and cottage industry <br> Transport worker/driver <br> Self employed professional <br> Service (pvt./govt.) <br> Large business/medium to large shop owner <br> Others (Specify) $\qquad$ <br> No response | $\begin{aligned} & 01 \\ & 02 \\ & 03 \\ & 04 \\ & 05 \\ & 06 \\ & 07 \\ & 08 \\ & 09 \\ & 10 \\ & 11 \\ & 12 \\ & 13 \\ & 77 \\ & 99 \end{aligned}$ |  |

Section 2: Knowledge, Opinions and Attitudes on HIV/AIDS

| Q. No. | Questions and Filters | Coding Categories |  | Skip to |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 201A | Have you ever heard of HIV? | Yes <br> No <br> No response | 1 2 9 |  |
| 201B | Have you ever heard of AIDS? | Yes <br> No <br> No response | 1 2 9 |  |
| SKIP T0 Q401 IF CODED 2 OR 9 in both Q201A AND Q201B |  |  |  |  |
| 202 | Can HIV/AIDS be prevented? | Yes <br> No <br> Don't know <br> No response | 1 2 8 9 |  |
| 203 | Do you know anyone who is infected with HIV/AIDS? | Yes <br> No <br> No response | 1 2 9 |  |
| 204 | Do you know anyone who has died of HIV/ AIDS? | Yes <br> No <br> No response | 1 2 9 |  |
| 205 | Can a person get HIV/AIDS by sharing a meal with someone who is infected? | Yes <br> No <br> No response | 1 2 9 |  |
| 206 | Can a person get HIV/AIDS from a mosquito bite if the mosquito has drawn blood from an HIV/AIDS infected person? | Yes <br> No <br> Don't know <br> No response | 1 2 8 9 |  |
| 207 | Can a person get HIV/AIDS by getting injections with a needle that has been already used by someone else who is infected? | Yes <br> No <br> Don't know <br> No response | 1 2 8 9 |  |
| 208 | Can people get HIV/AIDS from an infected blood transfusion? | Yes <br> No <br> Don't know <br> No response | 1 2 8 9 |  |
| $209$ $210$ | Can a pregnant woman infected with HIV or AIDS transmit the virus to her unborn child? <br> Can a woman with HIV or AIDS transmit the virus to her newborn child through breast feeding? | Yes <br> No <br> Don't know <br> No response <br> Yes <br> No <br> Don't Know <br> No response | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 8 \\ & 9 \\ & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 8 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 211 | Do you think that a healthy-looking person can also transmit HIV/AIDS? | Yes <br> No <br> Don't know <br> No response | 1 2 8 9 |  |
| 212 | Can people get HIV/AIDS through sexual contact? | Yes <br> No <br> Don't know <br> No response | 1 2 8 9 |  |


| Q. No. | Questions and Filters | Coding Categories |  | Skip to |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 213 | Can people protect themselves from HIV/ AIDS by abstaining from sexual intercourse? | Yes <br> No <br> Don't know <br> No response | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 8 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 214 | Can people protect themselves from HIV/ AIDS by having one uninfected faithful sex partner? | Yes <br> No <br> Don't know <br> No response | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 8 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 215 | Do we have any medicine that can cure a HIV/AIDS patient? | Yes <br> No <br> Don't know <br> No response | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 8 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 216 | Are you aware of any facility in your area where you can get tested for HIV/AIDS? | Yes <br> No <br> No response | 1 |  |
| 217 | If such a facility is opened in your area, do you think it will be possible for someone to go and get this test done confidentially? <br> (By confidential, I mean that no one will know the result if you don't want them to know it) | Yes <br> No <br> Don't know <br> No response | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 8 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 218 | Have you ever heard of ICTC? (Integrated Counselling and Testing Centre - where one can get information on HIV/AIDS and get tested for HIV/ AIDS) | Yes <br> No <br> Don't know <br> No response | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 8 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 219 | Have you ever heard about PPTCT (Prevention of Parent to ChildTransmission of HIV/AIDS)? | Yes <br> No <br> Don't know <br> No response | 1 2 8 9 |  |

Section 3: Attitudes towards AIDS Patients

| Q. No. | Questions and Filters | Coding Categories |  | Skip to |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q301 | Do you think that your community will allow HIV/AIDS patients to stay in the village / locality? | Yes <br> No <br> Don't know <br> No response | 1 2 8 9 |  |
| Q302 | In case any member of your family suffers from HIV/AIDS would he/she be accepted in the family or be isolated (prohibit contact with other HH members)? | Accepted <br> Segregated <br> Don't know <br> No response | 1 2 8 9 |  |
| Q303 | What measure can be taken to treat an AIDS patient? <br> [PROMPT OPTIONS] | Treated along with general patients Kept in isolation and treated separately Kept in isolation without any treatment Don't know No response | 1 2 3 8 9 |  |
| Q304 | Would you share food with an HIV/AIDS patient? | Yes <br> No <br> Don't know <br> No response | 1 2 8 9 |  |
| Q305 | Do you feel the need for separate caring centres for HIV/AIDS patients? | Yes <br> No <br> Don't know <br> No response | 1 2 8 9 |  |

Section 4: Condom

| Q. No. | Questions and Filters | Coding Categories |  |  | Skip to |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q401 | Have you ever heard of or seen a condom? (I mean a rubber object that a man puts on his penis before sex) <br> (SHOW PICTURE OR A SAMPLE OF ONE. CARRY PACKETS OF TOP BRANDS) | Yes <br> No <br> Don't know <br> No response |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 8 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Q402 | For what purposes could a condom be used? <br> (Multiple responses possible) | Sexual pleasure <br> Avoiding pregnancy/FP method <br> STI prevention <br> HIV/ AIDS control <br> Others (Specify) $\qquad$ <br> Don't know <br> No response |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \\ & 7 \\ & 8 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Q403 | Which places or persons do you know from where you can obtain a condom? <br> (Read Out All the Options) | a. Shop (General) <br> b. Pharmacy/Medical shop <br> c. Clinic/Hospital <br> d. Family planning centre/Clinic <br> e. Bar/Guest house <br> f. Hotel <br> g. Peer educator/NGO <br> h. Anganwadi worker/VHW <br> i. Sexual partner <br> j. Petrol pump <br> k. Condom bankVending machine <br> I. Friend <br> m. Others (Specify) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yes } \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { No } \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DK } \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | NR 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 |

INSTRUCTION: If all codes in Q403 are 8 or 9, then skip to Q406

| Q. No. | Questions and Filters | Coding Categories |  | Skip to |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q404 | For those who need to procure a condom, do you think they are easily available? | Yes <br> No <br> Don't know <br> No response | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 8 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Q405 | How long would it take you (or us) to the source to obtain a condom close to your house? <br> (Irrespective of the mode of transport. Imagine that mode in which you have access to and are likely to use) | Minutes <br> (Convert ho <br> Don’t know <br> No response | $\begin{aligned} & 888 \\ & 999 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Q406 | Can people protect themselves from HIV/ AIDS by using a condom correctly every time they have sex? | Yes <br> No <br> Don't know <br> No response | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 8 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ |  |

SECTION 5: STIs

| Q. No. | Questions and Filters | Coding Categories |  | Skip to |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q501 | Have you ever heard of any diseases other than HIV/AIDS that can be transmitted through sexual contact? | Yes <br> No <br> No response | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Q502 | Do you agree that a person suffering from STI has a high chance of HIV/AIDS exposure? | Yes <br> No <br> Don't know <br> No response | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 8 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Q503 | Can you tell me what symptoms a WOMAN might have when she is infected with STI? <br> Any others? <br> DO NOT READ OUT THE SYMPTOMS <br> MORE THAN ONE ANSWER IS POSSIBLE. | Lower abdominal pain <br> Genital discharge <br> Foul smelling discharge <br> Burning pain during urination <br> Genital ulcers/sores <br> Swellings in groin area/Pain during sexual <br> intercourse <br> Itching/Reddening <br> Warts <br> Skin rashes <br> Others (Specify) $\qquad$ <br> Don't know <br> No response | 01 <br> 02 <br> 03 <br> 04 <br> 05 <br> 06 <br> 07 <br> 08 <br> 09 <br> 77 <br> 88 <br> 99 |  |
| Q504 | Can you tell me what symptoms a MAN might have when he is infected with STI? <br> Any others? <br> DO NOT READ OUT THE SYMPTOMS <br> MORE THAN ONE ANSWER IS POSSIBLE. | Lower abdominal pain <br> Genital discharge <br> Foul smelling discharge <br> Burning/Pain during urination <br> Genital ulcers/Sores <br> Swellings in groin area/Pain during sexual <br> intercourse <br> Itching/Reddening <br> Warts <br> Skin rashes <br> Others (Specify) $\qquad$ <br> Don't know <br> No response | $\begin{aligned} & 01 \\ & 02 \\ & 03 \\ & 04 \\ & 05 \\ & 06 \\ & 07 \\ & 07 \\ & 08 \\ & 09 \\ & 77 \\ & 88 \\ & 99 \end{aligned}$ |  |


| Q. No. | Questions and Filters | Coding Categories | Skip to |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Q505 | Have you had a thick yellowish/greenish | Yes | 1 |  |
|  | discharge with foul smell from your penis/ | No | 2 |  |
|  | vagina in the last 12 months? | Don't know | 8 |  |
| Q506 | Have you had an ulcer or sore in your genital | Yes | 9 |  |
|  | area in the last 12 months? | No | 1 |  |
|  |  | Don't know | 2 | 8 |
|  |  | No response | 9 |  |

INSTRUCTION: If the answer in either Q505 or Q506 (or in both) is code ' 1 ', ask the following questions. Otherwise go to Q515

| Q. No. | Questions and Filters | Coding Categories |  | Skip to |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q507 | What did you do the last time you had any of these problems? <br> (Multiple answers are possible) | Took home based preparation <br> Went to a traditional healer/quack <br> Went to a trained village health worker <br> Went to a private clinic/hospital <br> Went to a govt. clinic/hospital <br> Took medicine I had at home <br> Purchased medicine from a medical store <br> No treatment <br> Borrowed prescription from friend/relative <br> Others (Specify)_ <br> Don't know <br> No response | $\begin{aligned} & 01 \\ & 02 \\ & 03 \\ & 04 \\ & 05 \\ & 06 \\ & 07 \\ & 08 \\ & 09 \\ & 77 \\ & 88 \\ & 99 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| IF CODE IN Q 507 IS ‘04’ OR ‘05’ OR BOTH, ASK THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS. ELSE GO TO Q 515 |  |  |  |  |
| Q508 | Were you physically examined by the doctor/ paramedical staff? | Yes <br> No <br> Don't remember | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Q509 | Whether you were given any counselling? | Yes <br> No <br> Don't remember | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Q510 | Were you given counselling on the usage of condoms? | Yes <br> No <br> Don't remember | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Q511 | Did the doctor ask you to bring along your sexual partner(s) to the clinic/hospital for treatment/ advice? | Yes <br> No <br> Don't know <br> No response | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 8 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\rightarrow$ Q514 |
| Q512 | Did your partner(s) turn up to the clinic / hospital for treatment/advice? | Yes <br> No <br> Don't know <br> No response | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 8 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\rightarrow$ Q514 |
| Q513 | Did he/she receive treatment/advice/counselling from the clini//hospital? | Yes <br> No <br> Don't know <br> No response | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 8 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Q514 | Were you satisfied with the quality of services you received? | Fully satisfied <br> Somewhat satisfied <br> Not satisfied <br> No response | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |  |

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Q. No. \& Questions and Filters \& Coding Categories \& \& Skip to \\
\hline Q515 \& \begin{tabular}{l}
In case you have any of the symptoms of STI, whom would you prefer to approach? \\
(Circle one only)
\end{tabular} \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Government hospital/dispensary/ PHC/Govt. doctors \\
Private dispensary/nursing home/private qualified doctor \\
Vaidya/Hakim/Homeopath \\
Faith healers/quacks \\
NGO clinics/Trust hospitals \\
Home remedy \\
Others (Specify) \(\qquad\) \\
Don’t know \\
No response
\end{tabular} \& 1
2

3
4
5
6
7
8
9 \& <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

## Section 6: Exposure to Mass Media and IEC Intervention

| Q. No. | Questions and Filters | Coding Categories |  | Skip to |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q601 | Did anyone in the last one year approach you to educate you on spread of STI/HIV/AIDS? <br> CHECK: Answer can be code 03 only if it is 'NO' in Q201A, Q201B and Q501 | Yes <br> No <br> Never heard of STI/HIV/AIDS <br> No response | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \rightarrow Q 603 \\ & \rightarrow Q 609 \\ & \rightarrow Q 603 \end{aligned}$ |
| Q602 | Who are these individuals? <br> (Multiple responses possible) | Government doctor <br> Private doctor <br> Village health worker/Nurse from govt. <br> hospita//clinic <br> Doctor/Nurse in mobile clinic <br> Visiting health worker from NGOs <br> Workers from NGOs/Anganwadi workers <br> Friends/Peers/Spouse/Other family members <br> Others (Specify) $\qquad$ <br> Don't remember <br> No response | $\begin{aligned} & 01 \\ & 02 \\ & 03 \\ & 04 \\ & 05 \\ & 05 \\ & 06 \\ & 07 \\ & 77 \\ & 97 \end{aligned}$ $99$ |  |
| Q603 | (Besides individuals) which are the (other) sources from where you have come to know about STI/HIV/AIDS? <br> (Multiple responses possible) | Radio <br> TV <br> Cinema Hall <br> Newspaper/Magazine <br> Hoarding/Placard/Poster/Billboards/ <br> Wall writing/Metal tablets <br> Electronic Board <br> Hand bills/Pamphlets/Booklets <br> Public announcements <br> Drama/Skit/Street play/Puppet show <br> Others (Specify) $\qquad$ <br> None of these <br> Don't remember <br> No response | $\begin{aligned} & 01 \\ & 02 \\ & 03 \\ & 04 \\ & 05 \\ & 06 \\ & 06 \\ & 07 \\ & 08 \\ & 09 \\ & 77 \\ & 11 \\ & 97 \end{aligned}$ $99$ |  |
| Q604 | Did anyone in the last one year approach you to educate you on use of condoms to prevent STI/HIV/AIDS? <br> CHECK: Answer can be code 03 only if is 'NO' in Q401 | Yes <br> No <br> Never heard of condoms No response | 1 2 3 9 | $\begin{aligned} & \rightarrow Q 606 \\ & \rightarrow Q 607 \\ & \rightarrow Q 606 \end{aligned}$ |


| Q. No. | Questions and Filters | Coding Categories |  | Skip to |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q605 | Who are these individuals? <br> (Multiple responses possible) | Government doctor <br> Private doctor <br> Village health worker/Nurse from govt. <br> hospital/clinic <br> Doctor/Nurse in mobile clinic <br> Visiting health worker from NGOs <br> Workers from NGOs/Anganwadi workers <br> Friends/Peers/Spouse/Other family members <br> Others (Specify) $\qquad$ <br> Don't remember <br> No response | $\begin{aligned} & 01 \\ & 02 \\ & 03 \\ & 04 \\ & 05 \\ & 06 \\ & 07 \\ & 77 \\ & 97 \\ & 99 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Q606 | (Besides individuals) Which are the other sources from where you have come to know about use of condom to prevent STI/HIV/AIDS? <br> (Multiple responses possible) | Radio <br> TV <br> Cinema Hall <br> Newspaper/Magazine <br> Hoarding/Placard/Poster/Billboards/Wall <br> writing/Metal tablets <br> Electronic board <br> Hand bills/Pamphlets/Booklets <br> Public announcements <br> Drama/Skits/Street play/Puppet show <br> Others (Specify) $\qquad$ <br> None of these <br> Don't remember <br> No response | $\begin{aligned} & 01 \\ & 02 \\ & 03 \\ & 04 \\ & 05 \\ & 06 \\ & 07 \\ & 07 \\ & 08 \\ & 09 \\ & 77 \\ & 11 \\ & 97 \end{aligned}$ $99$ |  |
| Q607 | Did you ever attend/participate in any campaign/meeting on STI/HIV/AIDS? | Yes <br> No <br> No response | 1 2 9 |  |
| Q608 | Did you receive free medical check-up for STI /HIV/AIDS? | Yes <br> No <br> No response | 1 2 9 |  |
| Q609 | Have you ever come across campaigns on voluntary blood donation? | Yes <br> No <br> No response | 1 2 9 |  |
| Q610 | Have you in the last one year donated blood voluntarily? | Yes <br> No <br> No response | 1 2 9 |  |
| Q611 | During the last One month how often have you listened to the radio? <br> Would you say ... READ OUT <br> CIRCLE ONE | Every day <br> At least once a week <br> Less than once a week <br> Did not listen to radio in last 4 weeks <br> No response | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Q612 | During the last one month how often have you watched television? <br> Would you say ... READ OUT <br> CIRCLE ONE | Every day <br> At least once a week <br> Less than once a week <br> Did not listen to radio in last four weeks <br> No response | 1 2 3 4 9 |  |
| Q613 | During the last one month how often have you read newspaper or magazine? <br> Would you say ... READ OUT <br> CIRCLE ONE | Every day <br> At least once a week <br> Less than once a week <br> Did not watchTV in last 4 weeks No response | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ |  |


| Q. No. | Questions and Filters | Coding Categories |  |  |  |  |  |  | Skip to |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q614 | Did you read/listen/see any advertisement/ announcements on the following at least once in | Newspaper or magazine |  |  | Radio |  |  | Television |  |  |
|  |  | Y | N | NA | Y | N | NA | Y | N | NA |
|  | Family planning | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
|  | General immunisation (not pulse polio) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
|  | STIs | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
|  | HIV/AIDS | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 |

NA is 'not applicable'. Use this code when respondent is illiterate (Check: only if ' 1 ' in Q103), or did not have access to a radio (Check: only if '4' in Q611) or TV in the last one month (Check: only if ' 4 ' in Q612 and Q613) or if never heard of STI/HIV/AIDS. (Check: only if ' 2 ' or ' 9 ' in Q201 and ' 2 ' or ' 9 ' in Q501).

| Q. No. | Questions and filters | Coding categories |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Q615 | When do you usually listen to radio and watch television? <br> (circle top two slots) | Radio | TV |
|  | Early morning (6 AM - 8 AM) | 01 | 10 |
|  | Mid-morning (8 AM - 12 Noon) | 02 | 11 |
|  | Afternoon (12 Noon - 4 PM) | 03 | 12 |
|  | Evening (4 PM - 7 PM) | Late evening (7 PM - 9 PM) | 04 |
|  | Night (9 PM- 11 PM) | 05 | 13 |
|  | Late night (11 PM onwards) | 06 | 14 |
|  | Never listen to a radio | 07 | 15 |
|  | Do not watch a television | 08 | 16 |

Section 7: Condom Usage and Sexual Behaviour
CONFIDENTIALITY CLAUSE AND CONSENT: I would like to ask you some very personal questions. It is up to you whether you want to answer these questions or not. Your answers will be kept completely confidential. These questions are on condom usage and sexual practices.

| Q. No. | Questions and Filters | Coding Categories | Skip to |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Q701 | Can you tell me your marital status? | Currently married | 1 | $\rightarrow$ Segment A |
|  |  | Unmarried | 2 | $\rightarrow$ Segment B |
|  |  | Separated/Deserted | 3 | $\rightarrow$ Segment $C$ |
|  |  | Divorced | 4 | $\rightarrow$ Segment $C$ |
|  |  | Widow/Widower | 5 | $\rightarrow$ Segment $C$ |

SEGMENT A: Currently married respondents only

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Q. No. \& Questions and Filters \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Coding Categories} \& Skip to \\
\hline Q702 \& Are you currently living with your husband/wife or is he/she staying elsewhere? \& Living with him/her Staying elsewhere No response \& 1
2
9 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \rightarrow Q 704 \\
\& \rightarrow Q 704
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline Q703 \& Does your husband/wife have to be frequently away from home because of the work he/she does? \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Yes \\
No \\
No response
\end{tabular} \& 1
2
9 \& \\
\hline Q704 \& \begin{tabular}{l}
At what age did you first have sexual intercourse? \\
(With either a regular or non-regular partner)
\end{tabular} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l|l|l} 
Don't remember \& 88 \\
No response \& 99 \\
\hline Yes \&
\end{tabular}} \& \\
\hline Q705 \& Have you or your spouse ever used a condom? \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Yes \\
No \\
No response
\end{tabular} \& 9 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \rightarrow Q 708 \\
\& \rightarrow Q 708
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline Q706 \& \begin{tabular}{l}
For what purpose have you used a condom while having sexual intercourse with your spouse? \\
(Multiple response possible)
\end{tabular} \& \begin{tabular}{l}
To avoid pregnancy \\
To protect myself from STI/HIV/AIDS \\
To protect my partner from STI/HIV/AIDS \\
To protect my child/unborn child from STI / \\
HIV/AIDS \\
For pleasure \\
Others (Specify) \(\qquad\) \\
Don't know \\
No response
\end{tabular} \& 1
2
3
4

5
7
8
9 \& <br>

\hline Q707 \& | How consistently have you used a condom with your spouse over the last 12 months? |
| :--- |
| (Would you say...) |
| (Prompted) | \& | Always |
| :--- |
| Sometimes |
| Never |
| Did not have intercourse in last 12 months Don't remember | \& 1

2
3
4
6 \& <br>

\hline Q708 \& Have you pursued any other sexual relationship (with a non-regular partner) in the last 12 months while you are married? \& | Yes |
| :--- |
| No |
| No response | \& 1 \& <br>


\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{| IF CODED 2 OR 9 IN Q708, |
| :--- |
| + GOT0 Q801 FOR MALE RESPONDENTS |
| + END FOR FEMALE RESPONDENTS |} <br>

\hline
\end{tabular}

| Q. No. | Questions and Filters | Coding Categories |  | Skip to |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q709 | With how many partners have you had sexual intercourse in the last one year? | Number of partners <br> Commercial <br> Non-commercial $\square$ |  |  |
| Q710A | TO BE ASKEDTO ONLYTHOSE WHO HAD RESPONDED ‘YES'TO Q 708 <br> Did you use a condom the last time you had sexual intercourse with a person other than your regular partner/spouse? | Yes <br> No <br> Don't remember <br> No response | 1 2 8 9 |  |
| Q710B | Who was this partner? | Commercial Non-commercial | 1 2 |  |
| Q711 | How consistently did you use a condom with persons other than your regular partner/spouse in the last one year? (Would you say...)? <br> (Prompted) | Every time <br> Sometimes <br> Never <br> Don't remember <br> No response | 1 2 3 8 9 |  |
| Q712 | For what purpose have you used a condom while having sexual intercourse with your non-regular partner? <br> (Multiple response possible) | To avoid pregnancy <br> To protect myself from STI/HIV/AIDS <br> To protect my partner from STI/HIV/AIDS <br> To protect my child/unborn child from STI / <br> HIV/AIDS <br> For pleasure <br> Others (Specify) $\qquad$ <br> Don’t know <br> No response | 1 2 3 4 5 7 8 9 |  |

[^17]Segment B: Currently unmarried respondents only

| Q. No. | Questions and Filters | Coding Categories |  | Skip to |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q713 | Have you ever had sexual intercourse? | Yes <br> No <br> No response | 1 |  |
| IF CODED 2 OR 9 IN Q713, <br> + G0T0 Q801 FOR MALE RESPONDENTS <br> + END FOR FEMALE RESPONDENTS |  |  |  |  |
| Q714 | At what age did you first have sexual intercourse? | Years <br> Don't remember <br> No response | 8 |  |
| Q715 | Have you had sexual intercourse in the last 12 months? | Yes <br> No <br> No response | 1 2 9 |  |
| IF CODED 2 OR 9 IN Q715, <br> + G0T0 Q801 FOR MALE RESPONDENTS <br> + END FOR FEMALE RESPONDENTS |  |  |  |  |
| Q716 | With how many partners have you had sexual intercourse in the last one year? <br> Don't remember 88 <br> No response 99 | Number of partners <br> Commercial <br> Non-commercial |  |  |
| Q717A | Did you use a condom the last time (within the last one year) you had sexual intercourse with your sexual partner? | Yes <br> No <br> Don't remember No response | 1 2 8 9 |  |
| Q717B | Who was this partner? | Commercial Non-commercial | 1 |  |
| Q718 | How consistently did you use a condom with sex partners in the last one year? <br> (Would you say...)? <br> (Prompted) | Every time <br> Sometimes <br> Never <br> Don't remember <br> No response | 1 2 3 8 9 |  |
| Q719 | For what purpose have you used a condom? <br> (Multiple response possible) | To avoid pregnancy <br> To protect myself from STI/HIV <br> To protect my partner from STI/HIV <br> For pleasure <br> Others (Specify) $\qquad$ <br> Don’t know <br> No response | 1 2 3 4 7 8 9 |  |

+ GO TO Q801 FOR MALE RESPONDENTS
+ END FOR FEMALE RESPONDENTS

Segment C: Separated/Deserted/Divorced/Widowed/Widower respondents only

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Q. No. \& Questions and Filters \& Coding Categories \& \& Skip to \\
\hline Q720 \& For how long have you and your husband/wife not been together? \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Months \\
Years
\end{tabular} \& \& \\
\hline Q721 \& At what age did you first have sexual intercourse? \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Years
\(\square\)
\(\square\) \\
Don't remember \\
No response
\end{tabular} \& 88
99 \& \\
\hline Q722 \& While you were together with your spouse, have you or your sexual partner ever used a condom? \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Yes \\
No \\
No response
\end{tabular} \& 1
2
9 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \rightarrow Q 725 \\
\& \rightarrow Q 725
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline Q723 \& \begin{tabular}{l}
For what purpose have you used a condom? \\
(Multiple response possible)
\end{tabular} \& \begin{tabular}{l}
To avoid pregnancy \\
To protect myself from STI/HIV \\
To protect my partner from STI/HIV \\
To protect my child/unborn child from STI / \\
HIV \\
For pleasure \\
Others (Specify) \(\qquad\) \\
Don’t Know \\
No response
\end{tabular} \& 1
2
3
4

5
7
8
9 \& <br>

\hline Q724 \& | How consistently had you used a condom with your spouse while you were together? (Would you say...) |
| :--- |
| (Prompted) | \& | Every time |
| :--- |
| Sometimes |
| Never |
| Don't remember |
| No response | \& 1

2
3
8
9 \& <br>

\hline Q725 \& | Have you pursued any other sexual relationship (with a non-regular partner) while you were married or after you have separated/deserted/ divorced/widowed from your spouse in the last 12 months? |
| :--- |
| Multiple response possible | \& | Yes, while we were married Yes, after I got separated/deserted/divorced/ widowed from my spouse |
| :--- |
| No |
| No response | \& 1

2
3
9 \& <br>

\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{| IF CODED 3 OR 9 IN Q725, |
| :--- |
| + GO TO Q801 FOR MALE RESPONDENTS |
| + END FOR FEMALE RESPONDENTS |} <br>


\hline Q726 \& With how many partners have you had sexual intercourse in the last one year? \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{| Number of partners |
| :--- |
| Commercial |
| Non-commercial $\square$ $\square$ |} \& <br>


\hline Q727A \& | TO BE ASKEDTO ONLYTHOSE WHO HAD RESPONDED 'YES'TO Q725 |
| :--- |
| Did you use a condom the last time you had sexual intercourse with your non-regular partner? | \& | Yes |
| :--- |
| No |
| Don't remember |
| No response | \& 1

2
8
9 \& <br>

\hline Q727B \& Who was this partner? \& | Commercial |
| :--- |
| Non-commercial | \& 1 \& <br>

\hline
\end{tabular}

| Q. No. | Questions and Filters | Coding Categories | Skip to |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Q728 | How consistently did you use a condom with non- | Every time | 1 |  |
|  | regular partners in the last one year? (Would you | Sometimes | 2 |  |
|  | say...)? | Never | 3 |  |
|  | (Prompted) | Don't remember | 8 |  |
| Q729 | For what purpose have you used a condom? | No response | To avoid pregnancy | 1 |
|  |  | To protect myself from STI/HIV | 2 |  |
|  |  | To protect my partner from STI/HIV | 3 |  |
|  |  | To protect my child/unborn child from | 4 |  |
|  |  | STI / HIV |  |  |
|  |  | (Multiple response possible) | For pleasure | 5 |
|  |  | Others (Specify)__ | 7 |  |
|  |  | Don't Know | 8 |  |
|  |  | No response | 9 |  |

+ GO TO Q801 FOR MALE RESPONDENTS
+ END FOR FEMALE RESPONDENTS

Section 8: Sexual History-Sex with Male
(TO BE ASKED TO MALE RESPONDENTS ONLY)

| Q. No. | Questions and Filters | Coding Categories |  | Skip to |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q801 | Have you heard about men who have sex with other men? | Yes <br> No <br> No response | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\rightarrow \text { End }$ |
| Q802 | Have you ever indulged in sexual activities with a male partner? | Yes <br> No <br> Don't remember <br> No response | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 8 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\rightarrow \text { End }$ |
| Q803 | When was the last time you had sexual activities with a male partner? | Number of days or months before <br> Days before <br> Months before <br> Don’t know <br> No response | $\begin{aligned} & 88 \\ & 99 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Q804 | How many male sexual partners have you ever had? | Number of partners <br> Commercial <br> Non-commercial |  |  |
| Q 805 | How would you describe the relationship with the male with whom you had this last sexual activity? | Within relationship Within friend circle Co-worker Stranger Eunuch Don't know No response | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \\ & 5 \\ & 8 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Q 806 | Did you pay or receive some money or gift in exchange for having sex with the male partner? | Yes <br> No <br> Don't remember <br> No response | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 8 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Q 807 | The last time you had sex with another male, did you and/or your partner use a condom? | Yes <br> No <br> Don't remember <br> No response | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 8 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\rightarrow$ Q810 |
| Q 808 | Who suggested condom use that time? <br> (circle one) | Myself <br> My partner Joint decision <br> Don't know <br> No response | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 8 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Q809 | For what purpose have you used a condom? <br> (Multiple response possible) | To protect myself from STI/HIV <br> To protect my partner from STI/HIV <br> For pleasure <br> Other (Specify) $\qquad$ <br> Don’t know <br> No response | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 7 \\ & 8 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Q 810 | With what frequency did you and all of your male partner(s) use a condom during the last 12 months? (Would you say...)? <br> (Prompted) | Every time <br> Almost every time <br> Sometimes <br> Never <br> Don't know <br> No response | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \\ & 8 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ |  |

Annexure

## Sampling Design for National BSS 2006 Among General Population

## Sample Size Calculation

The sampling design used in BSS 2001 was adopted for BSS 2006 as well. The sampling design was discussed and finalised with the TRG. While calculating the required sample sizes, the following points were considered:

- The procedures presented are intended for surveys where the primary objective is to measure changes in selected behavioural indicators over time.
- Sample size requirements are addressed here with respect to indicators measured as proportions.

The minimum sample size required per survey round (for the measurement of change) on a given indicator is a function of five factors:
i. The initial or starting level of the key variable
ii. The magnitude of change that needs to be detected reliably
iii. The level of significance
iv. The power of testing
v. The proportion of the population of interest that is eligible to be considered for the key variable.

An expression for the required sample size for a given sub-population for each survey round is given by:

$$
n=D \frac{\left[Z_{1-\alpha} \sqrt{2 P(1-P)}+Z_{1-\beta} \sqrt{P_{1}\left(1-P_{1}\right)+P_{2}\left(1-P_{2}\right)}\right]^{2}}{\left(P_{2}-P_{1}\right)^{2}}
$$

Where,
n = the required sample size
D = design effect
$P_{1}=$ the estimated proportion at the time of BSS 2001
$P_{2}=$ the target proportion in BSS 2006, so that $\left(P_{2}-P_{1}\right)$ is the magnitude of change to be detected
$\mathrm{P}=\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}+\mathrm{P}_{2}\right) / 2$
$\mathrm{Z}_{1-\alpha}=$ the Z - score corresponding to the desired level of significance
$Z_{1-\beta}=$ the $Z$ - score corresponding to the desired level of power
Using the above formula, the sample size per state for urban and rural areas was calculated. The key indicator used to calculate the sample was 'consistent condom use with non-regular partners in the last 12 months'.

While calculating the sample, the following assumptions have been made:
D = 2
$Z_{1-\alpha}=1.645$ (Corresponding to 95 percent confidence level in one tailed test)
$Z_{1-\beta}=0.84$ (Corresponding to 80 percent power of testing)
The indicators considered for calculating the sample size for each state/group of states were:

- Consistent condom use with non-regular partners in the last 12 months
- Percent of the target group who had sex with non-regular partners in the last 12 months.

Using the above formula, first the minimum required sample separately in urban and rural areas was calculated by considering indicator 'consistent condom use with non-regular partner in the last 12 months'. To cover this minimum number from the general population, the required sample was divided with proportion who reported having sex with non-regular partners. The total urban and rural sample was equally divided among male and female respondents. It was decide to cover only one respondent from a selected household. So total number of households selected in each urban and rural PSU was equal to the required sample.

These respective proportions were taken from BSS 2001 report. In some states where the percentage reported having sex in the last 12 months was less than five percent as per BSS 2001, minimum reporting of five percent in both urban and rural areas was considered.

The sample was calculated with the assumption that there would be 20 percentage points increase in the indicator value over BSS 2001.

Table A1 presents the achieved sample sizes of the target respondents across different states/state groups.

## Sampling Procedure

## Sampling in Rural Areas

The following three stage stratified cluster sampling approach was adopted for selecting target respondents in the rural areas of a state/group of states:
STAGE I Selection of Districts
STAGE II Selection of Villages
STAGE III Selection of Target Respondents
The power of the test: Rejecting the null hypothesis namely there has been no change in the level of the indicator over time, when it was not true.

## STAGE I: Selection of Districts

About four to six districts in each state/group of states were selected randomly. Prior to sampling all the districts of each state/group of states were grouped into broad geographical regions. The number of districts to be selected from each region (out of the total required number of districts to be selected from each state/group of states) was proportional to the total rural population of the zone. The required number of districts from each region was selected using PPS method. The list of selected districts for BSS 2006 is given in Annexure.

In some states/group of states, the total sample covered varies in BSS 2001 and BSS 2006. In BSS 2001 due to the absence of any state estimates for two indicators considered to calculate sample, consistent condom use with non-regular partners (50\%) and percent target group who had sex with non-regular partners (5\%) were considered. In BSS 2006 the state/group of state sample was calculated by taking estimates of these indicators from National BSS 2001 among general population.

Table A1: Achieved sample sizes - GP Survey
(All figures are in percentage)

| SI. | State/Group of States | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  | Combined |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { BSS } \\ 2001 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. |  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |  |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 460 | 459 | 919 | 599 | 599 | 1,198 | 1,059 | 1,058 | 2,117 | 3839 |
| 2. | Assam | 1,060 | 1,060 | 2,120 | 1,240 | 1,239 | 2,479 | 2,300 | 2,299 | 4,599 | 3840 |
| 3. | Bihar | 823 | 814 | 1,637 | 652 | 652 | 1,304 | 1,475 | 1,466 | 2,941 | 3840 |
| 4. | Chhattisgarh | 560 | 560 | 1,120 | 677 | 681 | 1,358 | 1,237 | 1,241 | 2,478 |  |
| 5. | Delhi | 1,259 | 1,259 | 2,518 | 1,061 | 1,057 | 2,118 | 2,320 | 2,316 | 4,636 | 3778 |
| 6. | Goa + Daman \& Diu | 818 | 821 | 1,639 | 401 | 401 | 802 | 1,219 | 1,222 | 2,441 | 3840 |
| 7. | Gujarat + Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 846 | 857 | 1,703 | 710 | 716 | 1,426 | 1,556 | 1,573 | 3,129 | 3856 |
| 8. | Haryana | 1,239 | 1,239 | 2,478 | 1,160 | 1,161 | 2,321 | 2,399 | 2,400 | 4,799 | 3824 |
| 9. | Himachal Pradesh | 1,180 | 1,180 | 2,360 | 1,140 | 1,140 | 2,280 | 2,320 | 2,320 | 4,640 | 3834 |
| 10. | Jammu \& Kashmir | 900 | 900 | 1,800 | 1,240 | 1,240 | 2,480 | 2,140 | 2,140 | 4,280 | 3849 |
| 11. | Jharkhand | 820 | 820 | 1,640 | 660 | 660 | 1,320 | 1,480 | 1,480 | 2,960 |  |
| 12. | Karnataka | 906 | 891 | 1,797 | 1,196 | 1,203 | 2,399 | 2,102 | 2,094 | 4,196 | 3831 |
| 13. | Kerala + Lakshadweep | 1,144 | 1,136 | 2,280 | 1,223 | 1,218 | 2,441 | 2,367 | 2,354 | 4,721 | 3788 |
| 14. | Madhya Pradesh | 560 | 561 | 1,121 | 680 | 680 | 1,360 | 1,240 | 1,241 | 2,481 | 3844 |
| 15. | Maharashtra | 1,220 | 1,216 | 2,436 | 999 | 1,001 | 2,000 | 2,219 | 2,217 | 4,436 | 3836 |
| 16. | Manipur | 740 | 740 | 1,480 | 1,240 | 1,240 | 2,480 | 1,980 | 1,980 | 3,960 | 3848 |
| 17. | Orissa | 740 | 740 | 1,480 | 1,273 | 1,276 | 2,549 | 2,013 | 2,016 | 4,029 | 3829 |
| 18. | Other North Eastern States | 860 | 860 | 1,720 | 800 | 800 | 1,600 | 1,660 | 1,660 | 3,320 | 3840 |
| 19. | Punjab + Chandigarh | 1,360 | 1,360 | 2,720 | 1,060 | 1,060 | 2,120 | 2,420 | 2,420 | 4,840 | 3840 |
| 20. | Rajasthan | 1,142 | 1,139 | 2,281 | 1,141 | 1,139 | 2,280 | 2,283 | 2,278 | 4,561 | 3822 |
| 21. | Sikkim | 916 | 924 | 1,840 | 861 | 859 | 1,720 | 1,777 | 1,783 | 3,560 | 3840 |
| 22. | Tamil Nadu + Puducherry | 1,143 | 1,137 | 2,280 | 1,157 | 1,163 | 2,320 | 2,300 | 2,300 | 4,600 | 3833 |
| 23. | Uttar Pradesh | 1,220 | 1,220 | 2,440 | 1,120 | 1,120 | 2,240 | 2,340 | 2,340 | 4,680 | 3696 |
| 24. | Uttarakhand | 1,140 | 1,140 | 2,280 | 1,020 | 1,020 | 2,040 | 2,160 | 2,160 | 4,320 | 3696 |
| 25. | West Bengal + Andaman \& Nicobar Islands | 996 | 999 | 1,995 | 1,261 | 1,260 | 2,521 | 2,257 | 2,259 | 4,516 | 3840 |
| All India |  | 24,052 | 24,032 | 48,084 | 24,571 | 24,585 | 49,156 | 48,623 | 48,617 | 97,240 | 84,182 |

## STAGE II: Selection of Villages

In each selected state, the number of villages to be sampled depended upon the rural sample of the state. Like BSS 2001, the number of respondents to be covered from each village was fixed at 40 . Considering this the number of villages to be covered was calculated. These villages were equally allocated to the number of districts selected in a state/group of states. Using the 2001 Census data, the villages in a district were arranged in the descending order of population size and the required number of villages was selected using PPS systematic random sampling method.

## STAGE III: Selection of Target Respondents

In each selected village, the total number of respondents (males and females aged 15-49 years) to be covered (taking only one respondent per household) was fixed at 40 per village. The total number of households in a village was estimated at the time of survey. As the not available and refusal cases were not to be replaced, an extra sample of six households was selected. An interval was calculated by dividing the total number of households in a village with 46 . After choosing a random starting point, every $\mathrm{n}^{\text {th }}$ household was selected and from each household one eligible respondent was randomly selected using a KISH grid.

Care was taken to ensure to cover all the households/communities/hamlets in the village.

## Sampling in Urban areas

The following three stage stratified cluster sampling approach was used for selecting target respondents in the urban area of a state/group of states.
Stage I: Selection of Cities/Towns
Stage II: Selection of Enumeration Blocks (CEBs)
Stage III: Selection of Target Respondents

## Stage I: Selection of Cities/Towns

All the urban units in each state/group of states were stratified into the following three strata:
Stratum I : Big size cities/town (>5 lakh population)
Stratum II : Middle size cities/towns (1 to 5 lakh population)
Stratum III : Small cities/towns (<1 lakh population)
The number of CEBs to be selected from each group (out of the total number of CEBs to be selected from each state/group of states) was proportional to the urban population it represents. Further, at least five CEBs from each city/town of Stratum I, three CEBs from each city/town of Stratum II and two CEBs from each city/town of Stratum III would be selected. Based on this criterion, the number of cities/towns to be selected from each Stratum was worked out and selected using the PPS systematic random sampling method.

## Stage II: Selection of CEBs

Considering the coverage of a sample of 40 interviews per CEB, the number of CEBs in each city/town was calculated. In each selected city/town, the assigned quota of wards (equivalent to the required number of CEBs) was randomly selected using the PPS method. The ward wise population/household data (2001 Census) was procured from the Office of the Registrar General of India. Thereafter, from each ward one CEB was selected randomly.

## Stage III: Selection of Target Respondents

In each selected CEB (PSU) first the boundaries were identified and then an estimate of the total number of households was made. In each selected CEB, the total number of respondents to be covered (taking only one respondent per household) was fixed at 40. An extra sample of six households was added to take care of the not available and refusal case. An interval was calculated by dividing the total number of households in the CEB with 46. After choosing a random starting point, every $\mathrm{n}^{\text {th }}$ household was selected and one eligible respondent will be randomly selected using a KISH grid.

## Achieved Sample Size in BSS Youth Survey 2006

Table A2: Sample coverage of young people (15-24 years) by residence and gender
(All figures are in percentage)

| SI. No. | State/Group of States | 15-24 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male |  |  | Female |  |  | Total |  |  |
|  |  | Urban | Rural | Total | Urban | Rural | Total | Urban | Rural | Total |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 392 | 502 | 894 | 405 | 544 | 949 | 797 | 1,046 | 1,843 |
| 2. | Assam | 921 | 997 | 1,918 | 857 | 1,075 | 1,932 | 1,778 | 2,072 | 3,850 |
| 3. | Bihar | 690 | 490 | 1,180 | 661 | 493 | 1,154 | 1,351 | 983 | 2,334 |
| 4. | Chhattisgarh | 450 | 547 | 997 | 451 | 598 | 1,049 | 901 | 1,145 | 2,046 |
| 5. | Delhi | 1,083 | 918 | 2,001 | 1,000 | 864 | 1,864 | 2,083 | 1,782 | 3,865 |
| 6. | Goa + Daman \& Diu | 652 | 312 | 964 | 600 | 265 | 865 | 1,252 | 577 | 1,829 |
| 7. | Gujarat + Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 653 | 546 | 1,199 | 630 | 509 | 1,139 | 1,283 | 1,055 | 2,338 |
| 8. | Haryana | 1,072 | 1,076 | 2,148 | 954 | 966 | 1,920 | 2,026 | 2,042 | 4,068 |
| 9. | Himachal Pradesh | 958 | 886 | 1,844 | 844 | 831 | 1,675 | 1,802 | 1,717 | 3,519 |
| 10. | Jammu \& Kashmir | 782 | 1,088 | 1,870 | 703 | 978 | 1,681 | 1,485 | 2,066 | 3,551 |
| 11. | Jharkhand | 737 | 570 | 1,307 | 704 | 566 | 1,270 | 1,441 | 1,136 | 2,577 |
| 12. | Karnataka | 662 | 926 | 1,588 | 736 | 999 | 1,735 | 1,398 | 1,925 | 3,323 |
| 13. | Kerala + Lakshadweep | 816 | 846 | 1,664 | 831 | 863 | 1,694 | 1,647 | 1,711 | 3,358 |
| 14. | Madhya Pradesh | 474 | 585 | 1,059 | 461 | 531 | 992 | 935 | 1,116 | 2,051 |
| 15. | Maharashtra | 1,023 | 867 | 1,890 | 1,067 | 879 | 1,946 | 2,090 | 1,746 | 3,836 |
| 16. | Manipur | 539 | 995 | 1,534 | 531 | 986 | 1,517 | 1,070 | 1,981 | 3,051 |
| 17. | Orissa | 587 | 981 | 1,568 | 580 | 1,079 | 1,659 | 1,167 | 2,060 | 3,227 |
| 18. | Other North Eastern States | 769 | 723 | 1,492 | 714 | 669 | 1,383 | 1,483 | 1,392 | 2,875 |
| 19. | Punjab + Chandigarh | 1,191 | 972 | 2,163 | 1,069 | 837 | 1,906 | 2,260 | 1,809 | 4,069 |
| 20. | Rajasthan | 1,011 | 953 | 1,964 | 937 | 935 | 1,872 | 1,948 | 1,888 | 3,836 |
| 21. | Sikkim | 759 | 688 | 1,447 | 796 | 762 | 1,558 | 1,555 | 1,450 | 3,005 |
| 22. | Tamil Nadu + Puducherry | 824 | 861 | 1,685 | 843 | 878 | 1,721 | 1,667 | 1,739 | 3,406 |
| 23. | Uttar Pradesh | 1,073 | 930 | 2,003 | 1,000 | 955 | 1,955 | 2,073 | 1,885 | 3,958 |
| 24. | Uttarakhand | 987 | 863 | 1,850 | 892 | 812 | 1,704 | 1,879 | 1,675 | 3,554 |
| 25. | West Bengal + Andaman \& Nicobar Islands | 799 | 999 | 1,798 | 717 | 1,032 | 1,749 | 1,516 | 2,031 | 3,547 |
| All India |  | 19,904 | 20,123 | 40,027 | 18,983 | 19,906 | 38,889 | 38,887 | 40,029 | 78,916 |

Table A3: Sample coverage of young people by age, residence and gender
(All figures are in percentage)

| SI. <br> No. | State/Group of States | 15-19 years |  |  |  |  |  | 20-24 years |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male |  |  | Female |  |  | Male |  |  | Female |  |  |
|  |  | U | R | T | U | R | T | U | R | T | U | R | T |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 207 | 225 | 432 | 184 | 254 | 438 | 185 | 277 | 462 | 221 | 290 | 511 |
| 2. | Assam | 415 | 467 | 882 | 351 | 522 | 873 | 506 | 530 | 1,036 | 506 | 553 | 1,059 |
| 3. | Bihar | 362 | 234 | 596 | 350 | 239 | 589 | 328 | 256 | 584 | 311 | 254 | 565 |
| 4. | Chhattisgarh | 220 | 241 | 461 | 227 | 318 | 545 | 230 | 306 | 536 | 224 | 280 | 504 |
| 5. | Delhi | 607 | 430 | 1,037 | 452 | 359 | 811 | 476 | 488 | 964 | 548 | 505 | 1,053 |
| 6. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Goa + Daman } \\ & \& \text { Diu } \end{aligned}$ | 285 | 141 | 426 | 270 | 108 | 378 | 367 | 171 | 538 | 330 | 157 | 487 |
| 7. | Gujarat + Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 311 | 271 | 582 | 306 | 198 | 504 | 342 | 275 | 617 | 324 | 311 | 635 |
| 8. | Haryana | 540 | 558 | 1,098 | 456 | 518 | 974 | 532 | 518 | 1,050 | 498 | 448 | 946 |
| 9. | Himachal Pradesh | 438 | 379 | 817 | 372 | 336 | 708 | 520 | 507 | 1,027 | 472 | 495 | 967 |
| 10. | Jammu \& Kashmir | 366 | 558 | 924 | 328 | 460 | 788 | 416 | 530 | 946 | 375 | 518 | 893 |
| 11. | Jharkhand | 413 | 278 | 691 | 354 | 307 | 661 | 324 | 292 | 616 | 350 | 259 | 609 |
| 12. | Karnataka | 285 | 409 | 694 | 337 | 489 | 826 | 377 | 517 | 894 | 399 | 510 | 909 |
| 13. | Kerala + Lakshadweep | 357 | 303 | 660 | 380 | 324 | 704 | 459 | 388 | 847 | 451 | 376 | 827 |
| 14. | Madhya Pradesh | 222 | 290 | 512 | 216 | 255 | 471 | 252 | 295 | 547 | 245 | 276 | 521 |
| 15. | Maharashtra | 499 | 451 | 950 | 530 | 500 | 1,030 | 524 | 416 | 940 | 537 | 379 | 916 |
| 16. | Manipur | 256 | 479 | 735 | 200 | 417 | 617 | 283 | 516 | 799 | 331 | 569 | 900 |
| 17. | Orissa | 257 | 455 | 712 | 295 | 577 | 872 | 330 | 526 | 856 | 285 | 502 | 787 |
| 18. | Other North Eastern States | 348 | 313 | 661 | 322 | 313 | 635 | 421 | 410 | 831 | 392 | 356 | 748 |
| 19. | Punjab + Chandigarh | 600 | 491 | 1,091 | 494 | 425 | 919 | 591 | 481 | 1,072 | 575 | 412 | 987 |
| 20. | Rajasthan | 475 | 491 | 966 | 412 | 481 | 893 | 536 | 462 | 998 | 525 | 454 | 979 |
| 21. | Sikkim | 381 | 295 | 676 | 344 | 355 | 699 | 378 | 393 | 771 | 452 | 407 | 859 |
| 22. | Tamil Nadu + Puducherry | 347 | 399 | 746 | 353 | 434 | 787 | 477 | 462 | 939 | 490 | 444 | 934 |
| 23. | Uttar Pradesh | 539 | 524 | 1,063 | 551 | 556 | 1,107 | 534 | 406 | 940 | 449 | 399 | 848 |
| 24. | Uttarakhand | 526 | 463 | 989 | 430 | 436 | 866 | 461 | 400 | 861 | 462 | 376 | 838 |
| 25. | West Bengal <br>  <br> Nicobar Islands | 340 | 506 | 846 | 338 | 453 | 791 | 459 | 493 | 952 | 379 | 579 | 958 |
| All India |  | 9,596 | 9,651 | 19,247 | 8,852 | 9,634 | 18,486 | 10,308 | 10,315 | 20,623 | 10,131 | 10,109 | 20,240 |

## List of Selected Districts \& Towns

| State/Group of States | Sample |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Districts | Towns |
| Andhra Pradesh | East Godavari | Hyderabad (M Corp+0G) |
|  | Nizamabad | Kukatpally (M) |
|  | Anantapur | Secunderabad Cantonment Board (CB) |
|  | Warangal | Mahbubnagar ( $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{OG}$ ) <br> Yemmiganur (M) <br> Ramachandrapuram (CT) <br> Pedana (NP) |
| Assam | Dhubri | Guwahati (M Corp+0G) |
|  | Kamrup | Silchar (MB+0G) |
|  | Dibrugarh | Dibrugarh (MB+0G) |
|  | Lakhimpur | Nalbari (MB) <br> Tinsukia (MB +0 G ) <br> Jorhat (MB+OG) <br> North Lakhimpur (MB) <br> Diphu (TC) <br> Barpeta Road (MB) <br> Bilasipara (TC) <br> Lumding (MB) <br> Nalbari (MB) <br> Rangapara (TC) <br> Howli (TC) <br> Dergaon (TC) <br> Badarpur Rly Town (CT) <br> TitaborTown (CT) <br> Anand Nagar (CT) |
| Bihar | Araria | Patna (M Corp+OG) |
|  | Gaya | Bhagalpur (M Corp) |
|  | Samastipur | Darbhanga (M Corp) |
|  | Aurangabad | Katihar ( $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{OG}$ ) <br> Dinapur Nizamat (M) <br> Bettiah (M) <br> Bagaha (M) <br> Lakhisarai (M) <br> Mokameh (M) <br> Khagaria (M) <br> Bikramganj (NA) <br> Rajgir (NA) <br> Dinapur Cantonment (CB) <br> Chanpatia (NA) <br> Thakurganj (NA) |


| State/Group of States | Sample |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Districts | Towns |
| Chhattisgarh | Dhamtari | Raipur (M Corp) |
|  | Janjgir - Champa | Bhilai Nagar (M Corp) |
|  | Surguja | Bilaspur (M Corp+0G) |
|  | Rajnandgaon | Korba (M Corp) <br> Bhilai Charoda (M) <br> Pithora (NP) <br> Gobra Nawapara (M) <br> Ahiwara (NP) <br> Dharamjaigarh (NP) <br> Gharghoda (NP) |
| Delhi | North | DMC (U) |
|  | North East | Karawal Nagar (CT) |
|  | East | Hastsal (CT) |
|  | West | Mustafabad (CT) |
|  | South West | NDMC |
|  | South | Pooth Kalan (CT) <br> Ziauddin Pur (CT) <br> Delhi Cantt. |
| Goa and Daman \& Diu | North Goa | Mormugao (M Cl) |
|  | South Goa | Margao (M Cl) |
|  | Daman | Panaji ( $\mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cl}+0 \mathrm{G}$ ) <br> Mapusa (MCl) <br> Ponda (M CI) <br> Calangute (CT) <br> Penha-de-Franca (CT) <br> Quepem (MCl) <br> Chimbel (CT) <br> Sanquelim (M Cl) <br> Siolim (CT) <br> Candolim (CT) <br> Chinchinim (CT) <br> Pale (CT) <br> Aquem (CT) <br> Daman |


| State/Group of States | Sample |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Districts | Towns |
| Gujarat and Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | Surendranagar | Ahmedabad (M Corp+0G) |
|  | Sabar Kantha | Surat (M Corp+0G) |
|  | Dahod | Vadodara (M Corp+0G) |
|  | Navsari | Bhavnagar (M Corp) |
|  | Dadra \& Nagar | Junagadh ( $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{OG}$ ) <br> Porbandar (M) <br> Palanpur ( $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{OG}$ ) <br> Amreli $(\mathrm{M}+0 \mathrm{G})$ <br> Wadhwan ( $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{OG}$ ) <br> Unjha (M) <br> Chhaya (M) <br> Karamsad (M) <br> Prantij (M) <br> Mundra (CT) |
|  |  |  |
| Haryana | Hisar | Faridabad (M Corp) |
|  | Gurgaon | Rohtak ( $\mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cl}+0 \mathrm{O}$ ) |
|  | Bhiwani | Hisar (M Cl+0G) |
|  | Kaithal | Sonipat ( $\mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cl}+0 \mathrm{G}$ ) <br> Karnal ( M Cl+0 G ) <br> Yamunanagar (M Cl) <br> Sirsa (MCl) <br> Ambala (M Cl) <br> Bahadurgarh ( $\mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cl}+0 \mathrm{G}$ ) <br> Ambala Cantt. (CB) <br> Rewari (MCl) <br> Tohana (MC) <br> Hodal (MC) <br> Cheeka (MC) <br> Sohna (MC) <br> Babiyal (CT) <br> Haileymandi (MC) <br> Punahana (MC) <br> Farakhpur (CT) |
|  |  |  |
| Himachal Pradesh | Kullu | Shimla (M Corp) |
|  | Chamba | Solan (M Cl) |
|  | Una | Mandi (M Cl) |
|  | Shimla | Nahan (M Cl) <br> Sundarnagar (M Cl) <br> Baddi (NP) <br> Chamba (M Cl) <br> Dharmsala (M Cl) <br> Paonta Sahib (M Cl) <br> Kullu (M Cl) <br> Una (MCl) |


| State/Group of States | Sample |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Districts | Towns |
| Himachal Pradesh |  | Bilaspur (MCl) <br> Nalagarh (MCl) <br> Nurpur (M Cl) <br> Santokhgarh (NP) <br> Tira Sujanpur (NP) <br> Sabathu (CB) <br> Mant Khas (CT) <br> Nadaun (NP) <br> Daulatpur (NP) <br> Talai (NP) |
| Jammu \& Kashmir | Jammu | Srinagar (MC+OG) |
|  | Poonch | Jammu (MC+0G) |
|  | Anantnag | Anantnag (TC+0G) |
|  | Budgam | Udhampur (TC+OG) <br> Baramula (TC+OG) <br> Kathua (TC+OG) <br> Bari Brahmana <br> Bijbehara (NAC) <br> Kupwara (NAC) <br> Tral (NAC) <br> Arnia (NAC) <br> Achabal (NAC) |
| Jharkhand | Ranchi | Ranchi (M Corp) |
|  | Deoghar | Jamshedpur (NA+OG) |
|  | West Singhbhum, Chaibasa | Bokaro Steel City (CT) |
|  | Chatra | Hazaribag (M) <br> Giridih (M) <br> Bhuli (CT) <br> Phusro (NA) <br> Ramgarh Cantonment (CB) <br> Chaibasa (M) <br> Jugsalai (M) <br> Chirkunda (NA) <br> Musabani (CT) <br> Gomoh (CT) <br> Maithon (CT) <br> Chakulia (NA) <br> Meru (CT) |


| State/Group of States | Sample |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Districts | Towns |
| Karnataka | Raichur | Bangalore (M Corp+0G) |
|  | Mysore | Mysore (M Corp+0G) |
|  | Belgaum | Hubli-Dharwad (M Corp) |
|  | Kolar | ```Belgaum (M Corp+0G) Mangalore (M Corp+OG) Shimoga (CMC) Byatarayanapura (CMC +0 G ) Gadag-Betigeri (CMC) Harihar (CMC+0G) Yadgir (TMC) Challakere (TMC) Manvi (TMC) Indi (TMC) Nelamangala (TP) Kudchi (TP) Pudu (CT)``` |
|  |  |  |
| Kerala \& Lakshadweep | Kasaragod | Thiruvananthapuram (M Corp+0G) |
|  | Palakkad | Kozhikode (M Corp+OG) |
|  | Kottayam | Kochi (M Corp+OG) |
|  | Alappuzha | Thrissur (M Corp) |
|  | Lakshadweep | Palakkad (M+OG) <br> Cherthala ( $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{OG}$ ) <br> Thalassery (M) <br> Manjeri (M) <br> Payyannur (M) <br> Beypore (CT) <br> Cheruvannur (CT) <br> Changanassery (M) <br> Thodupuzha (M) <br> Perumbaikad (CT) <br> Peringathur (CT) <br> Aroor (CT) <br> Ramanattukara (CT) <br> Kadirur (CT) <br> Cheruthazham (CT) <br> Kanjikkuzhi (CT) <br> Koratty (CT) <br> Vallachira (CT) |
|  |  |  |
| Madhya Pradesh | Morena | Indore (M Corp+0G) |
|  | Hoshangabad | Bhopal (M Corp+OG) |
|  | Jhabua | Satna (M Corp+OG) |
|  | Tikamgarh | Guna (M) |
|  | Balaghat | Itarsi ( $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{OG}$ ) <br> Mandla ( $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{OG}$ ) <br> Malajkhand (M) <br> Nainpur (M) <br> Pichhore (NP) <br> Jobat (NP) |


| State/Group of States | Sample |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Districts | Towns |
| Maharashtra | Amravati | Nagpur (M Corp) |
|  | Nashik | Aurangabad (M Corp) |
|  | Kolhapur | Amravati (M Corp) |
|  | Thane | Nanded-Waghala (M Corp) |
|  | Yavatmal | Jalgaon (M Cl) <br> Dhule (M Cl) <br> Parbhani (M Cl) <br> Yavatmal (M Cl) <br> Hinganghat (M Cl) <br> Bhandara (M Cl) <br> Pusad (MCl) <br> Basmath (M Cl) <br> Chikhli (M Cl) <br> Arvi (M Cl) <br> Katol (M Cl) <br> Purna (M Cl) <br> Savner (M Cl) <br> Tirora (M Cl) <br> Telhara (M Cl) <br> Sonegaon (Nipani) (CT) |
|  |  |  |
| Manipur | Churachandpur | Imphal ( $\mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cl}+0 \mathrm{C}$ ) |
|  | Tamenglong | Thoubal (M Cl) <br> Kakching (M Cl) <br> Lilong (Thoubal) (NP) <br> Moirang (MCl) <br> Nambol (M Cl) <br> Lilong (Imphal West) (NP) <br> Lamjaotongba (CT) <br> Yairipok (NP) <br> Jiribam (M Cl) <br> Samurou (NP) |
|  |  |  |
| Orissa | Nabarangapur | Bhubaneswar (M Corp+0G) |
|  | Kendujhar | Cuttack (M Corp+0G) |
|  | Sambalpur | Raurkela (M+OG) |
|  | Ganjam | Raurkela Industrial Township (ITS+0G) <br> Baleshwar (M+OG) <br> Brajarajnagar (M) <br> Bargarh (M) <br> Choudwar ( $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{OG}$ ) <br> Joda (M) <br> Jajapur (M) <br> Jaleswar (NAC) <br> Banapur (NAC) <br> Kodala (NAC) <br> Makundapur (CT) |


| State/Group of States | Sample |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Districts | Towns |
| Other North Eastern States |  |  |
| Arunachal Pradesh | Lower Subansiri | Itanagar (CT) |
|  | East Kameng |  |
| Nagaland | Mokokchung | Dimapur (TC) |
|  | Kohima | Kohima (TC) <br> Tuensang (TC) |
| Meghalaya | Ri Bhoi | Shillong (M) |
|  | East Khasi Hills | Tura (M) <br> Nongthymmai (CT) <br> Madanrting (CT) |
| Mizoram | Mamit | Aizawl (NT) |
|  | Aizawl | Lunglei (NT) <br> Saitual (NT) |
| Tripura | South Tripura | Agartala MCl <br> Kunjaban (part) CT <br> Pratapgarh CT <br> Teliamura NP |
| Punjab \& Chandigarh | Jalandhar | Ludhiana (M Corp) |
|  | Ferozepur | Amritsar (M Corp+0G) |
|  | Ludhiana | Patiala (M Corp +0 G ) |
|  | Chandigarh | Bathinda (M Cl) <br> Batala (M Cl+OG) <br> S.A.S.Nagar (Mohali ) (M Cl) <br> Barnala (M Cl) <br> Kapurthala (M Cl) <br> Faridkot (M Cl+0G) <br> Malout (M Cl) <br> Gobindgarh (M Cl+OG) <br> Sirhind Fatehgarh Sahib (M Cl) <br> Rampura Phul (M Cl+0G) <br> Nawanshahr (M Cl+OG) <br> Kurali (M Cl) <br> Raman (M Cl) <br> Sahnewal (NP) <br> Guru Har Sahai (M CI) <br> Bhulath (NP) <br> Chandigarh (M Corp) |


| State/Group of States | Sample |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Districts | Towns |
| Rajasthan | Chittorgarh | Jaipur (M Corp) |
|  | Jodhpur | Jodhpur (M Corp) |
|  | Udaipur | Kota (M Corp) |
|  | Bharatpur | Bikaner (M CI) <br> Udaipur (M Cl) <br> Alwar (M Cl) <br> Pali (M Cl) <br> Sawai Madhopur (M) <br> Gangapur City (M) <br> Banswara (M) <br> Baran (M) <br> Jaisalmer (M) <br> Nokha (M) <br> Merta City (M) <br> Kekri (M) <br> Sri Madhopur (M) <br> Sanchore (M) <br> Keshoraipatan (M) <br> Bali (M) <br> Vidyavihar (M) |
|  |  |  |
| Sikkim | East Sikkim | Gangtok (NTA) |
|  | West Sikkim | UpperTadong (CT) |
|  | North Sikkim | Rangpo (NTA) |
|  | South Sikkim | Jorethang (NTA) Nayabazar (NTA) |
| Tamil Nadu \& Puducherry | Salem | Chennai (M Corp) |
|  | Viluppuram | Coimbatore (M.Corp) |
|  | Madurai | Salem (M Corp) |
|  | Pudukkottai | Tirunelveli (M.Corp) |
|  | Puducherry | Thoothukkudi (M) <br> Kancheepuram (M) <br> Viluppuram (M) <br> Karaikal (M) <br> Chengalpattu (M) <br> Namakkal (M) <br> Gudalur (TP) <br> Melur (M) <br> Maduranthakam (M) <br> Pallapatti (CT) <br> Manachanallur (TP) <br> Kadayal (TP) <br> Papanasam (TP) <br> Muruganpalayam (CT) <br> Mamallapuram (TP) <br> Ganguvarpatti (TP) <br> Avadattur (CT) <br> Peranamallur (TP) |


| State/Group of States | Sample |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Districts | Towns |
| Uttar Pradesh | Jyotiba Phule Nagar | Ailum (NP) |
|  | Bareilly | Bijnor (MB) |
|  | Kheri | Pilkhuwa (MB) |
|  | Allahabad | Garhmukteshwar (MB) |
|  | Kushinagar | Babugarh (NP) |
|  | Jhansi | Jewar (NP) <br> Agra (M Corp) <br> Firozabad (MB+0G) <br> Ganj Dundwara (MB) <br> Mainpuri (MB+OG) <br> Rly. Settlement Roza (NP) <br> Sitapur (MB) <br> Lucknow (M Corp) |
| Uttar Pradesh |  | Allahabad (M Corp+OG) <br> Handia (NP) <br> Gorakhpur (M Corp) <br> Maunath Bhanjan (MB) <br> Lohta (CT) <br> Ahraura (MB) <br> Obra (NP) |
| Uttarakhand | Pauri orTehri | Dehradun (M.Corp) |
|  | Udham Singh Nagar | Haridwar (MB+OG) |
|  | Chamoli | Haldwani-cum-Kathgodam (MB+OG) |
|  | Almora | Roorkee (MB) <br> Kashipur (MB) <br> Rudrapur (MB) <br> Rishikesh (MB +0 G ) <br> Dehradun (CB) <br> Pithoragarh (MB) <br> Bharat Heavy Electricals Limited Ranipur (ITS) <br> Jaspur (MB) <br> Almora (MB) <br> Kotdwara (MB) <br> Nagla (CT) <br> Chamoli Gopeshwar (MB) <br> Laksar (NP) <br> Dhandera (CT) <br> Dhaluwala (CT) <br> Doiwala (NP) <br> Dharchula (NP) <br> Dwarahat (NP) |


| State/Group of States | Sample |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Districts | Towns |
| West Bengal and Andaman \& Nicobar Islands | Jalpaiguri | Kolkata (M Corp) |
|  | South Dinajpur | Haora (M Corp) |
|  | Medinipur | Bhatpara (M+OG) |
|  | Hooghly | Rajpur Sonarpur (M) |
|  | Andaman | Siliguri (M Corp) <br> Naihati (M) <br> Raiganj (M) <br> Krishnanagar (M) <br> Khardaha ( $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{OG}$ ) <br> Dum Dum (M) <br> New Barrackpur (M) <br> Old Maldah (M) <br> Baruipur (M) <br> Kolaghat (CT) <br> Panchpara (CT) <br> Chak Banshberia (CT) |

## Projected Base for Different Issues Covered in the Study

| SI. <br> No. | State/Group of States | 15-24 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male |  |  | Female |  |  | Total |  |  |
|  |  | Urban | Rural | Total | Urban | Rural | Total | Urban | Rural | Total |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 2,461,225 | 5,238,325 | 7,699,549 | 2,240,551 | 5,036,347 | 7,276,898 | 4,701,775 | 10,274,671 | 14,976,446 |
| 2. | Assam | 415,096 | 2,386,981 | 2,802,077 | 341,203 | 2,352,660 | 2,693,862 | 756,299 | 4,739,641 | 5,495,939 |
| 3. | Bihar | 1,147,801 | 7,351,744 | 8,499,545 | 861,325 | 6,678,790 | 7,540,114 | 2,009,125 | 14,030,534 | 16,039,659 |
| 4. | Chhattisgarh | 512,257 | 1,491,390 | 2,003,647 | 494,857 | 1,446,767 | 1,941,624 | 1,007,114 | 2,938,157 | 3,945,271 |
| 5. | Delhi | 1,976,376 | 127,676 | 2,104,053 | 1,354,613 | 83,649 | 1,438,262 | 3,330,989 | 211,325 | 3,542,314 |
| 6. | Goa + Daman \& Diu | 93,323 | 92,396 | 185,719 | 78,467 | 70,018 | 148,485 | 171,789 | 162,415 | 334,204 |
| 7. | Gujarat + Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 2,847,555 | 3,325,736 | 6,173,291 | 2,150,146 | 3,386,872 | 5,537,018 | 4,997,701 | 6,712,608 | 11,710,308 |
| 8. | Haryana | 811,604 | 1,813,173 | 2,624,776 | 618,790 | 1,352,804 | 1,971,594 | 1,430,394 | 3,165,977 | 4,596,370 |
| 9. | Himachal Pradesh | 69,145 | 572,118 | 641,263 | 60,205 | 590,556 | 650,761 | 129,350 | 1,162,673 | 1,292,023 |
| 10. | Jammu \& Kashmir | 279,314 | 821,708 | 1,101,021 | 232,175 | 659,072 | 891,247 | 511,489 | 1,480,780 | 1,992,268 |
| 11. | Jharkhand | 834,702 | 1,981,195 | 2,815,896 | 637,196 | 1,598,850 | 2,236,046 | 1,471,898 | 3,580,045 | 5,051,942 |
| 12. | Karmataka | 2,178,348 | 3,454,124 | 5,632,472 | 1,598,783 | 3,236,303 | 4,835,086 | 3,777,131 | 6,690,427 | 10,467,558 |
| 13. | Kerala + Lakshadweep | 717,757 | 2,174,804 | 2,892,561 | 791,956 | 2,439,822 | 3,231,778 | 1,509,712 | 4,614,626 | 6,124,338 |
| 14. | Madhya Pradesh | 2,112,244 | 4,663,981 | 6,776,224 | 1,749,964 | 3,852,390 | 5,602,353 | 3,862,207 | 8,516,370 | 12,378,577 |
| 15. | Maharashtra | 5,560,711 | 6,052,961 | 11,613,672 | 4,203,078 | 5,326,562 | 9,529,639 | 9,763,788 | 11,379,523 | 21,143,311 |
| 16. | Manipur | 59,194 | 234,365 | 293,560 | 61,606 | 227,107 | 288,714 | 120,801 | 461,472 | 582,273 |
| 17. | Orissa | 712,750 | 2,879,886 | 3,592,636 | 603,822 | 2,831,239 | 3,435,061 | 1,316,572 | 5,711,125 | 7,027,697 |
| 18. | Other North Eastern States | 281,456 | 657,597 | 939,053 | 248,961 | 633,964 | 882,925 | 530,417 | 1,291,561 | 1,821,978 |
| 19. | Punjab + Chandigarh | 1,284,245 | 1,844,611 | 3,128,856 | 1,003,394 | 1,621,753 | 2,625,147 | 2,287,638 | 3,466,365 | 5,754,002 |
| 20. | Rajasthan | 1,746,576 | 4,199,757 | 5,946,333 | 1,417,364 | 3,628,048 | 5,045,412 | 3,163,939 | 7,827,806 | 10,991,745 |
| 21. | Sikkim | 11,020 | 69,228 | 80,248 | 8,756 | 51,228 | 59,984 | 19,776 | 120,456 | 140,232 |
| 22. | Tamil Nadu + Puducherry | 3,415,707 | 3,477,368 | 6,893,074 | 3,339,101 | 3,424,452 | 6,763,553 | 6,754,807 | 6,901,820 | 13,656,627 |
| 23. | Uttar Pradesh | 4,180,859 | 14,767,128 | 18,947,987 | 3,122,325 | 12,681,102 | 15,803,428 | 7,303,184 | 27,448,230 | 34,751,414 |
| 24. | Uttarakhand | 263,428 | 623,245 | 886,673 | 191,888 | 654,552 | 846,439 | 455,316 | 1,277,797 | 1,733,112 |
| 25. | West Bengal + Andaman \& Nicobar Islands | 2,573,789 | 6,901,853 | 9,475,642 | 2,291,952 | 5,874,751 | 8,166,702 | 4,865,741 | 12,776,603 | 17,642,343 |
| All India |  | 36,546,475 | 77,203,346 | 113,749,821 | 29,702,472 | 69,739,653 | 99,442,125 | 66,248,946 | 146,942,999 | 213,191,945 |


| SI. <br> No. | State/Group of States | 15-19 years |  |  |  |  |  | 20-24 years |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male |  |  | Female |  |  | Male |  |  | Female |  |  |
|  |  | Urban | Rural | Total | Urban | Rural | Total | Urban | Rural | Total | Urban | Rural | Total |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 1,267,269 | 2,370,588 | 3,637,857 | 910,283 | 2,316,717 | 3,227,000 | 1,193,956 | 2,867,737 | 4,061,692 | 1,330,268 | 2,719,630 | 4,049,898 |
| 2. | Assam | 197,249 | 1,157,367 | 1,354,616 | 118,885 | 1,138,043 | 1,256,928 | 217,848 | 1,229,614 | 1,447,461 | 222,318 | 1,214,617 | 1,436,935 |
| 3. | Bihar | 634,682 | 3,452,272 | 4,086,954 | 477,326 | 3,330,891 | 3,808,218 | 513,119 | 3,899,473 | 4,412,591 | 383,998 | 3,347,899 | 3,731,897 |
| 4. | Chhattisgarh | 272,561 | 690,061 | 962,622 | 226,994 | 763,843 | 990,837 | 239,696 | 801,329 | 1,041,026 | 267,863 | 682,924 | 950,787 |
| 5. | Delhi | 1,110,031 | 56,362 | 1,166,392 | 611,311 | 33,324 | 644,635 | 866,346 | 71,315 | 937,661 | 743,302 | 50,326 | 793,628 |
| 6. | Goa + Daman \& Diu | 41,147 | 40,037 | 81,184 | 35,766 | 24,576 | 60,342 | 52,176 | 52,360 | 104,535 | 42,702 | 45,442 | 88,144 |
| 7. | Gujarat + Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 1,318,473 | 1,718,450 | 3,036,922 | 1,014,406 | 1,406,815 | 2,421,221 | 1,529,083 | 1,607,287 | 3,136,369 | 1,135,740 | 1,980,057 | 3,115,797 |
| 8. | Haryana | 392,091 | 980,270 | 1,372,360 | 286,931 | 701,197 | 988,128 | 419,514 | 832,904 | 1,252,417 | 331,859 | 651,607 | 983,466 |
| 9. | Himachal Pradesh | 31,209 | 243,505 | 274,714 | 25,365 | 221,385 | 246,750 | 37,937 | 328,613 | 366,550 | 34,841 | 369,171 | 404,011 |
| 10. | Jammu \& Kashmir | 123,321 | 442,903 | 566,224 | 109,626 | 311,279 | 420,905 | 155,992 | 378,805 | 534,797 | 122,549 | 347,794 | 470,343 |
| 11. | Jharkhand | 456,070 | 1,031,232 | 1,487,301 | 302,110 | 821,542 | 1,123,652 | 378,632 | 949,963 | 1,328,595 | 335,087 | 777,308 | 1,112,395 |
| 12. | Karnataka | 914,378 | 1,515,549 | 2,429,928 | 666,016 | 1,611,394 | 2,277,410 | 1,263,970 | 1,938,575 | 3,202,544 | 932,767 | 1,624,910 | 2,557,676 |
| 13. | Kerala + <br> Lakshadweep | 302,348 | 943,846 | 1,246,194 | 363,974 | 1,088,631 | 1,452,605 | 415,409 | 1,230,959 | 1,646,368 | 427,982 | 1,351,191 | 1,779,173 |
| 14. | Madhya Pradesh | 965,662 | 2,314,972 | 3,280,634 | 778,815 | 1,859,137 | 2,637,951 | 1,146,582 | 2,349,009 | 3,495,591 | 971,149 | 1,993,254 | 2,964,403 |
| 15. | Maharashtra | 2,772,308 | 3,193,189 | 5,965,497 | 2,151,880 | 2,820,048 | 4,971,927 | 2,788,403 | 2,859,773 | 5,648,175 | 2,051,198 | 2,506,514 | 4,557,712 |
| 16. | Manipur | 27,200 | 108,605 | 135,805 | 22,177 | 100,393 | 122,569 | 31,994 | 125,761 | 157,755 | 39,430 | 126,715 | 166,144 |
| 17. | Orissa | 305,633 | 1,288,915 | 1,594,547 | 273,851 | 1,423,646 | 1,697,497 | 407,117 | 1,590,972 | 1,998,089 | 329,972 | 1,407,593 | 1,737,564 |
| 18. | Other North Eastern States | 127,689 | 331,836 | 459,525 | 117,062 | 282,888 | 399,950 | 153,768 | 325,761 | 479,528 | 131,899 | 351,076 | 482,975 |
| 19. | Punjab + <br> Chandigarh | 654,493 | 973,040 | 1,627,533 | 450,784 | 798,413 | 1,249,197 | 629,751 | 871,571 | 1,501,323 | 552,610 | 823,340 | 1,375,950 |
| 20. | Rajasthan | 824,626 | 2,138,978 | 2,963,604 | 574,539 | 1,881,093 | 2,455,632 | 921,950 | 2,060,779 | 2,982,729 | 842,826 | 1,746,955 | 2,589,781 |
| 21. | Sikkim | 5,614 | 32,892 | 38,506 | 3,793 | 25,608 | 29,401 | 5,407 | 36,336 | 41,743 | 4,963 | 25,620 | 30,583 |
| 22. | Tamil Nadu + <br> Puducherry | 1,181,089 | 1,653,092 | 2,834,181 | 1,361,659 | 1,801,710 | 3,163,368 | 2,234,618 | 1,824,276 | 4,058,894 | 1,977,442 | 1,622,742 | 3,600,184 |
| 23. | Uttar Pradesh | 2,073,507 | 8,154,194 | 10,227,701 | 1,661,386 | 7,019,673 | 8,681,058 | 2,107,352 | 6,612,934 | 8,720,286 | 1,460,940 | 5,661,430 | 7,122,370 |
| 24. | Uttarakhand | 141,714 | 330,332 | 472,046 | 90,997 | 326,664 | 417,660 | 121,714 | 292,913 | 414,627 | 100,892 | 327,888 | 428,780 |
| 25. | West Bengal + Andaman \& Nicobar Islands | 976,235 | 3,484,370 | 4,460,605 | 1,102,369 | 2,514,860 | 3,617,229 | 1,597,555 | 3,417,483 | 5,015,037 | 1,189,583 | 3,359,890 | 4,549,473 |
| All India |  | 17,116,594 | 38,646,851 | 55,763,444 | 13,738,299 | 34,623,765 | 48,362,064 | 19,429,881 | 38,556,495 | 57,986,377 | 15,964,173 | 35,115,889 | 51,080,062 |


| SI.No. | State/Group of States | 15-24 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male |  |  | Female |  |  | Total |  |  |
|  |  | Urban | Rural | Total | Urban | Rural | Total | Urban | Rural | Total |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 2,460,504 | 2,237,709 | 4,698,213 | 5,193,886 | 4,935,221 | 10,129,107 | 7,654,389 | 7,172,930 | 14,827,319 |
| 2. | Assam | 413,666 | 340,653 | 754,319 | 2,275,192 | 2,192,971 | 4,468,163 | 2,688,858 | 2,533,624 | 5,222,482 |
| 3. | Bihar | 1,030,772 | 580,870 | 1,611,641 | 5,247,491 | 2,218,350 | 7,465,841 | 6,278,263 | 2,799,219 | 9,077,482 |
| 4. | Chhattisgarh | 481,589 | 438,915 | 920,504 | 1,113,070 | 999,628 | 2,112,697 | 1,594,658 | 1,438,543 | 3,033,201 |
| 5. | Delhi | 1,931,805 | 1,251,625 | 3,183,430 | 122,697 | 74,286 | 196,983 | 2,054,501 | 1,325,911 | 3,380,412 |
| 6. | Goa + Daman \& Diu | 92,084 | 76,491 | 168,575 | 90,987 | 66,160 | 157,146 | 183,071 | 142,650 | 325,721 |
| 7. | Gujarat + Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 2,780,621 | 1,945,282 | 4,725,902 | 2,837,330 | 2,447,522 | 5,284,852 | 5,617,951 | 4,392,804 | 10,010,754 |
| 8. | Haryana | 749,377 | 523,040 | 1,272,417 | 1,729,554 | 1,137,075 | 2,866,629 | 2,478,931 | 1,660,115 | 4,139,046 |
| 9. | Himachal Pradesh | 68,187 | 57,146 | 125,333 | 545,427 | 544,980 | 1,090,407 | 613,614 | 602,126 | 1,215,739 |
| 10. | Jammu \& Kashmir | 270,757 | 201,389 | 472,146 | 756,968 | 482,564 | 1,239,531 | 1,027,725 | 683,953 | 1,711,677 |
| 11. | Jharkhand | 751,805 | 521,959 | 1,273,764 | 1,744,544 | 1,098,335 | 2,842,879 | 2,496,349 | 1,620,294 | 4,116,643 |
| 12. | Karnataka | 2,124,931 | 1,409,354 | 3,534,285 | 3,103,374 | 2,725,812 | 5,829,186 | 5,228,305 | 4,135,165 | 9,363,470 |
| 13. | Kerala + Lakshadweep | 717,133 | 786,641 | 1,503,774 | 2,174,618 | 2,439,740 | 4,614,357 | 2,891,751 | 3,226,381 | 6,118,131 |
| 14. | Madhya Pradesh | 2,034,916 | 1,626,811 | 3,661,727 | 3,607,015 | 2,243,799 | 5,850,814 | 5,641,931 | 3,870,609 | 9,512,540 |
| 15. | Maharashtra | 5,490,179 | 4,136,434 | 9,626,612 | 5,949,158 | 5,136,164 | 11,085,321 | 11,439,336 | 9,272,598 | 20,711,934 |
| 16. | Manipur | 59,051 | 61,606 | 120,658 | 223,445 | 211,541 | 434,986 | 282,496 | 273,147 | 555,643 |
| 17. | Orissa | 709,833 | 583,280 | 1,293,113 | 2,712,429 | 2,388,945 | 5,101,374 | 3,422,262 | 2,972,224 | 6,394,486 |
| 18. | Other North Eastern States | 277,334 | 242,656 | 519,990 | 645,215 | 604,867 | 1,250,082 | 922,549 | 847,523 | 1,770,072 |
| 19. | Punjab + Chandigarh | 1,251,059 | 957,483 | 2,208,542 | 1,732,858 | 1,442,381 | 3,175,239 | 2,983,917 | 2,399,864 | 5,383,780 |
| 20. | Rajasthan | 1,680,995 | 1,202,671 | 2,883,666 | 3,709,048 | 2,400,230 | 6,109,278 | 5,390,043 | 3,602,901 | 8,992,944 |
| 21. | Sikkim | 10,811 | 8,635 | 19,446 | 61,688 | 44,280 | 105,968 | 72,498 | 52,915 | 125,413 |
| 22. | Tamil Nadu + Puducherry | 3,378,566 | 3,292,000 | 6,670,566 | 3,366,772 | 3,187,662 | 6,554,434 | 6,745,338 | 6,479,661 | 13,224,999 |
| 23. | Uttar Pradesh | 3,894,570 | 2,583,053 | 6,477,624 | 13,146,067 | 8,687,313 | 21,833,379 | 17,040,637 | 11,270,366 | 28,311,003 |
| 24. | Uttarakhand | 253,715 | 175,833 | 429,548 | 586,354 | 555,855 | 1,142,209 | 840,069 | 731,688 | 1,571,758 |
| 25. | West Bengal + Andaman \& Nicobar Islands | 2,505,830 | 2,258,037 | 4,763,867 | 5,720,634 | 3,986,219 | 9,706,853 | 8,226,464 | 6,244,256 | 14,470,719 |
| All India |  | 35,420,085 | 27,499,568 | 62,919,653 | 68,395,816 | 52,251,893 | 120,647,709 | 103,815,901 | 79,751,461 | 183,567,362 |


| SI.No. | State/Group of States | 15-19 years |  |  |  |  |  | 20-24 years |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male |  |  | Female |  |  | Male |  |  | Female |  |  |
|  |  | Urban | Rural | Total | Urban | Rural | Total | Urban | Rural | Total | Urban | Rural | Total |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 1,266,548 | 907,441 | 2,173,990 | 2,340,110 | 2,304,405 | 4,644,515 | 1,193,956 | 1,330,268 | 2,524,223 | 2,853,776 | 2,630,816 | 5,484,592 |
| 2. | Assam | 196,382 | 118,789 | 315,171 | 1,111,423 | 1,069,054 | 2,180,476 | 217,284 | 221,864 | 439,148 | 1,163,770 | 1,123,918 | 2,287,687 |
| 3. | Bihar | 557,096 | 325,334 | 882,430 | 2,490,941 | 1,122,783 | 3,613,724 | 473,676 | 255,535 | 729,212 | 2,756,550 | 1,095,567 | 3,852,117 |
| 4. | Chhattisgarh | 259,863 | 201,001 | 460,863 | 534,475 | 541,906 | 1,076,380 | 221,727 | 237,915 | 459,641 | 578,595 | 457,723 | 1,036,317 |
| 5. | Delhi | 1,075,056 | 559,848 | 1,634,904 | 53,200 | 28,821 | 82,021 | 856,749 | 691,777 | 1,548,526 | 69,497 | 45,466 | 114,962 |
| 6. | Goa + Daman \& Diu | 40,533 | 35,093 | 75,625 | 39,397 | 22,491 | 61,887 | 51,552 | 41,398 | 92,950 | 51,590 | 43,669 | 95,259 |
| 7. | Gujarat + Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 1,267,270 | 904,226 | 2,171,496 | 1,449,151 | 1,015,297 | 2,464,447 | 1,513,350 | 1,041,057 | 2,554,407 | 1,388,180 | 1,432,226 | 2,820,405 |
| 8. | Haryana | 365,687 | 258,954 | 624,641 | 932,617 | 577,857 | 1,510,475 | 383,690 | 264,087 | 647,777 | 796,937 | 559,218 | 1,356,155 |
| 9. | Himachal Pradesh | 31,002 | 23,935 | 54,936 | 231,160 | 209,873 | 441,032 | 37,186 | 33,212 | 70,397 | 314,267 | 335,108 | 649,374 |
| 10. | Jammu \& Kashmir | 120,275 | 95,781 | 216,056 | 416,278 | 226,407 | 642,685 | 150,482 | 105,608 | 256,090 | 340,690 | 256,157 | 596,847 |
| 11. | Jharkhand | 396,801 | 239,222 | 636,022 | 905,761 | 550,487 | 1,456,248 | 355,004 | 282,738 | 637,742 | 838,784 | 547,849 | 1,386,632 |
| 12. | Karnataka | 890,384 | 555,168 | 1,445,552 | 1,345,900 | 1,398,745 | 2,744,645 | 1,234,547 | 854,186 | 2,088,733 | 1,757,474 | 1,327,066 | 3,084,540 |
| 13. | Kerala + <br> Lakshadweep | 302,348 | 361,786 | 664,134 | 943,659 | 1,088,590 | 2,032,249 | 414,785 | 424,856 | 839,641 | 1,230,959 | 1,351,150 | 2,582,108 |
| 14. | Madhya Pradesh | 905,164 | 722,022 | 1,627,185 | 1,795,566 | 1,059,709 | 2,855,275 | 1,129,753 | 904,789 | 2,034,541 | 1,811,450 | 1,184,090 | 2,995,539 |
| 15. | Maharashtra | 2,755,903 | 2,121,946 | 4,877,849 | 3,151,617 | 2,687,440 | 5,839,057 | 2,734,276 | 2,014,488 | 4,748,764 | 2,797,541 | 2,448,724 | 5,246,265 |
| 16. | Manipur | 27,057 | 22,177 | 49,234 | 103,695 | 92,404 | 196,099 | 31,994 | 39,430 | 71,424 | 119,750 | 119,137 | 238,886 |
| 17. | Orissa | 304,106 | 262,780 | 566,886 | 1,201,861 | 1,185,251 | 2,387,112 | 405,728 | 320,500 | 726,227 | 1,510,569 | 1,203,694 | 2,714,262 |
| 18. | Other North Eastern States | 125,636 | 112,120 | 237,756 | 323,552 | 257,535 | 581,086 | 151,699 | 130,536 | 282,235 | 321,664 | 347,333 | 668,996 |
| 19. | Punjab + Chandigarh | 630,768 | 424,181 | 1,054,949 | 930,983 | 687,739 | 1,618,721 | 620,291 | 533,302 | 1,153,593 | 801,876 | 754,643 | 1,556,518 |
| 20. | Rajasthan | 791,033 | 508,783 | 1,299,816 | 1,856,961 | 1,339,452 | 3,196,412 | 889,963 | 693,888 | 1,583,850 | 1,852,087 | 1,060,779 | 2,912,866 |
| 21. | Sikkim | 5,504 | 3,783 | 9,287 | 28,295 | 21,888 | 50,183 | 5,307 | 4,853 | 10,159 | 33,393 | 22,392 | 55,785 |
| 22. | Tamil Nadu + Puducherry | 1,179,819 | 1,328,981 | 2,508,800 | 1,567,866 | 1,637,849 | 3,205,715 | 2,198,747 | 1,963,019 | 4,161,766 | 1,798,906 | 1,549,813 | 3,348,719 |
| 23. | Uttar Pradesh | 1,904,065 | 1,345,356 | 3,249,421 | 7,096,540 | 4,734,922 | 11,831,461 | 1,990,505 | 1,237,698 | 3,228,203 | 6,049,527 | 3,952,391 | 10,001,918 |
| 24. | Uttarakhand | 135,947 | 83,252 | 219,199 | 306,619 | 276,526 | 583,145 | 117,768 | 92,582 | 210,350 | 279,735 | 279,330 | 559,065 |
| 25. | West Bengal + Andaman \& Nicobar Islands | 917,693 | 1,084,722 | 2,002,415 | 2,840,424 | 1,699,335 | 4,539,759 | 1,588,137 | 1,173,315 | 2,761,452 | 2,880,210 | 2,286,884 | 5,167,094 |
| All India |  | 16,451,935 | 12,606,674 | 29,058,608 | 33,998,045 | 25,836,759 | 59,834,804 | 18,968,151 | 14,892,894 | 33,861,045 | 34,397,772 | 26,415,134 | 60,812,906 |
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| SI. No. | State/Group of States | 15-19 years |  |  |  |  |  | 20-24 years |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male |  |  | Female |  |  | Male |  |  | Female |  |  |
|  |  | Urban | Rural | Total | Urban | Rural | Total | Urban | Rural | Total | Urban | Rural | Total |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 6,796 | 4,095 | 10,892 | 131,655 | 57,100 | 188,755 | 30,394 | 56,777 | 87,170 | 666,497 | 207,056 | 873,553 |
| 2. | Assam | 2,929 | 926 | 3,855 | 12,822 | 23,929 | 36,750 | 10,952 | 4,957 | 15,909 | 53,876 | 22,018 | 75,894 |
| 3. | Bihar | 9,049 | 24,268 | 33,317 | 18,781 | 88,924 | 107,704 | 10,191 | 20,565 | 30,756 | 31,041 | 115,231 | 146,271 |
| 4. | Chhattisgarh | 1,015 | 5,629 | 6,644 | 8,209 | 26,142 | 34,351 | 6,976 | 10,651 | 17,627 | 28,426 | 22,038 | 50,464 |
| 5. | Delhi | 14,681 | 13,661 | 28,342 | 2,076 | 2,132 | 4,207 | 33,305 | 81,453 | 114,758 | 3,168 | 7,964 | 11,132 |
| 6. | Goa + Daman \& Diu | 1,021 | 2,294 | 3,314 | 1,076 |  | 1,076 | 1,838 | 5,112 | 6,951 | 1,650 | 2,247 | 3,897 |
| 7. | Gujarat + Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 12,485 | 85,074 | 97,559 | 34,235 | 94,236 | 128,471 | 26,170 | 98,157 | 124,327 | 65,592 | 187,001 | 252,593 |
| 8. | Haryana | 14,160 | 17,500 | 31,659 | 38,614 | 108,661 | 147,274 | 6,840 | 35,651 | 42,491 | 25,835 | 165,958 | 191,793 |
| 9. | Himachal Pradesh |  | 240 | 240 |  | 5,125 | 5,125 | 269 | 602 | 870 |  | 21,526 | 21,526 |
| 10. | Jammu \& Kashmir | 5,526 | 3,111 | 8,636 | 18,888 | 17,283 | 36,170 | 3,304 | 10,434 | 13,738 | 21,148 | 28,875 | 50,023 |
| 11. | Jharkhand | 18,575 | 7,929 | 26,503 | 45,935 | 18,028 | 63,963 | 15,920 | 36,999 | 52,919 | 52,605 | 56,204 | 108,809 |
| 12. | Kamataka | 406 | 16,224 | 16,630 | 8,561 | 13,444 | 22,005 | 5,595 | 8,581 | 14,176 | 5,455 | 33,562 | 39,016 |
| 13. | Kerala + Lakshadweep | 30,929 | 12,935 | 43,864 | 106,109 | 45,223 | 151,332 | 29,605 | 25,880 | 55,485 | 121,967 | 141,781 | 263,747 |
| 14. | Madhya Pradesh | 7,659 | 37,020 | 44,678 | 72,939 | 165,112 | 238,052 | 86,714 | 112,779 | 199,493 | 117,447 | 263,584 | 381,030 |
| 15. | Maharashtra | 122,101 | 42,186 | 164,287 | 87,386 | 109,677 | 197,063 | 111,601 | 95,448 | 207,049 | 33,424 | 185,889 | 219,313 |
| 16. | Manipur | 238 | 354 | 591 | 3,638 | 4,007 | 7,645 | 165 | 1,121 | 1,286 | 11,624 | 12,727 | 24,351 |
| 17. | Orissa | 12,609 | 37,985 | 50,593 | 63,834 | 236,983 | 300,818 | 35,195 | 50,415 | 85,610 | 113,703 | 190,646 | 304,349 |
| 18. | Other North Eastern States | 5,637 | 7,606 | 13,242 | 9,195 | 15,025 | 24,220 | 12,801 | 8,403 | 21,203 | 11,988 | 18,764 | 30,752 |
| 19. | Punjab + Chandigarh | 24,699 | 16,944 | 41,643 | 33,535 | 21,047 | 54,581 | 48,751 | 91,874 | 140,624 | 70,198 | 94,458 | 164,656 |
| 20. | Rajasthan | 26,790 | 8,797 | 35,587 | 49,438 | 34,874 | 84,311 | 29,435 | 38,775 | 68,210 | 70,634 | 65,273 | 135,907 |
| 21. | Sikkim | 216 | 118 | 334 | 375 | 193 | 568 | 210 | 200 | 410 | 1,151 | 339 | 1,490 |
| 22. | Tamil Nadu + Puducherry | 190 | 8,075 | 8,265 | 4,665 | 13,182 | 17,846 | 94,482 | 39,122 | 133,603 | 41,924 | 18,920 | 60,843 |
| 23. | Uttar Pradesh | 28,299 | 30,955 | 59,254 | 343,776 | 403,349 | 747,124 | 29,761 | 79,909 | 109,670 | 42,549 | 627,468 | 670,017 |
| 24. | Uttarakhand | 912 | 191 | 1,103 | 5,947 | 1,419 | 7,365 | 1,429 | 1,137 | 2,566 | 6,719 | 5,864 | 12,582 |
| 25. | West Bengal + Andaman \& Nicobar Islands | 46,687 | 38,666 | 85,353 | 74,789 | 40,572 | 115,360 | 123,050 | 28,646 | 151,696 | 112,916 | 212,757 | 325,673 |
| All India |  | 393,602 | 422,777 | 816,379 | 1,176,470 | 1,545,661 | 2,722,130 | 754,947 | 943,644 | 1,698,590 | 1,711,530 | 2,708,143 | 4,419,674 |

[^18]| SI. No. | State/Group of States | 15-24 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male |  |  | Female |  |  | Total |  |  |
|  |  | Urban | Rural | Total | Urban | Rural | Total | Urban | Rural | Total |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 2,449,596 | 1,908,337 | 4,357,932 | 4,977,147 | 3,504,566 | 8,481,713 | 7,426,742 | 5,412,902 | 12,839,644 |
| 2. | Assam | 404,802 | 307,178 | 711,980 | 2,252,024 | 2,048,935 | 4,300,959 | 2,656,826 | 2,356,113 | 5,012,939 |
| 3. | Bihar | 1,017,273 | 535,164 | 1,552,437 | 5,467,157 | 2,863,841 | 8,330,997 | 6,484,429 | 3,399,005 | 9,883,434 |
| 4. | Chhattisgarh | 485,645 | 376,274 | 861,919 | 1,220,686 | 834,955 | 2,055,641 | 1,706,330 | 1,211,229 | 2,917,559 |
| 5. | Delhi | 1,920,204 | 1,232,723 | 3,152,927 | 123,389 | 72,524 | 195,912 | 2,043,593 | 1,305,246 | 3,348,838 |
| 6. | Goa + Daman \& Diu | 90,625 | 72,192 | 162,816 | 85,697 | 57,668 | 143,365 | 176,321 | 129,859 | 306,180 |
| 7. | Gujarat + Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 2,809,746 | 1,847,159 | 4,656,904 | 2,883,728 | 2,552,546 | 5,436,274 | 5,693,473 | 4,399,705 | 10,093,178 |
| 8. | Haryana | 780,385 | 558,812 | 1,339,197 | 1,756,936 | 1,191,816 | 2,948,752 | 2,537,320 | 1,750,628 | 4,287,948 |
| 9. | Himachal Pradesh | 68,894 | 56,535 | 125,429 | 557,168 | 559,811 | 1,116,978 | 626,062 | 616,346 | 1,242,407 |
| 10. | Jammu \& Kashmir | 269,736 | 168,286 | 438,022 | 711,457 | 347,056 | 1,058,512 | 981,193 | 515,341 | 1,496,534 |
| 11. | Jharkhand | 778,448 | 536,495 | 1,314,942 | 1,758,138 | 1,086,132 | 2,844,270 | 2,536,585 | 1,622,627 | 4,159,212 |
| 12. | Karnataka | 1,979,589 | 801,880 | 2,781,468 | 2,643,925 | 1,497,816 | 4,141,741 | 4,623,514 | 2,299,696 | 6,923,210 |
| 13. | Kerala + Lakshadweep | 700,264 | 740,760 | 1,441,024 | 2,124,900 | 2,280,788 | 4,405,688 | 2,825,164 | 3,021,548 | 5,846,711 |
| 14. | Madhya Pradesh | 2,039,940 | 1,433,718 | 3,473,657 | 3,914,439 | 2,272,364 | 6,186,803 | 5,954,378 | 3,706,082 | 9,660,459 |
| 15. | Maharashtra | 5,233,941 | 3,592,551 | 8,826,492 | 5,786,364 | 4,499,309 | 10,285,673 | 11,020,306 | 8,091,859 | 19,112,165 |
| 16. | Manipur | 56,825 | 57,947 | 114,771 | 213,361 | 190,338 | 403,699 | 270,185 | 248,285 | 518,470 |
| 17. | Orissa | 709,412 | 534,233 | 1,243,645 | 2,665,252 | 2,046,023 | 4,711,275 | 3,374,664 | 2,580,256 | 5,954,919 |
| 18. | Other North Eastern States | 273,065 | 226,391 | 499,456 | 593,070 | 595,984 | 1,189,053 | 866,134 | 822,375 | 1,688,509 |
| 19. | Punjab + Chandigarh | 1,240,015 | 904,182 | 2,144,196 | 1,777,823 | 1,416,113 | 3,193,936 | 3,017,838 | 2,320,294 | 5,338,132 |
| 20. | Rajasthan | 1,678,086 | 1,234,474 | 2,912,560 | 3,903,803 | 3,004,440 | 6,908,243 | 5,581,889 | 4,238,914 | 9,820,802 |
| 21. | Sikkim | 10,450 | 8,016 | 18,466 | 60,599 | 42,990 | 103,589 | 71,048 | 51,006 | 122,054 |
| 22. | Tamil Nadu + Puducherry | 3,195,652 | 2,566,406 | 5,762,058 | 3,198,474 | 2,726,443 | 5,924,916 | 6,394,126 | 5,292,848 | 11,686,974 |
| 23. | Uttar Pradesh | 4,057,988 | 2,628,058 | 6,686,046 | 14,005,334 | 9,912,613 | 23,917,948 | 18,063,322 | 12,540,672 | 30,603,994 |
| 24. | Uttarakhand | 257,056 | 183,477 | 440,533 | 596,555 | 572,986 | 1,169,540 | 853,610 | 756,463 | 1,610,073 |
| 25. | West Bengal + Andaman \& Nicobar Islands | 2,468,063 | 2,031,752 | 4,499,815 | 5,762,227 | 4,604,477 | 10,366,704 | 8,230,290 | 6,636,229 | 14,866,519 |
| All India |  | 34,975,692 | 24,542,994 | 59,518,685 | 69,039,643 | 50,782,530 | 119,822,173 | 104,015,334 | 75,325,523 | 179,340,857 |

Base: Aware of condoms (15-24 years)

| SI. <br> No. | State/Group of States | 15-19 years |  |  |  |  |  | 20-24 years |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male |  |  | Female |  |  | Male |  |  | Female |  |  |
|  |  | Urban | Rural | Total | Urban | Rural | Total | Urban | Rural | Total | Urban | Rural | Total |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 1,259,952 | 695,829 | 1,955,780 | 2,241,960 | 1,555,657 | 3,797,616 | 1,189,644 | 1,212,508 | 2,402,152 | 2,735,187 | 1,948,910 | 4,684,097 |
| 2. | Assam | 186,985 | 92,972 | 279,956 | 1,057,667 | 919,146 | 1,976,813 | 217,818 | 214,206 | 432,024 | 1,194,357 | 1,129,790 | 2,324,146 |
| 3. | Bihar | 550,738 | 272,728 | 823,466 | 2,566,011 | 1,105,954 | 3,671,965 | 466,534 | 262,437 | 728,971 | 2,901,145 | 1,757,887 | 4,659,033 |
| 4. | Chhattisgarh | 260,976 | 145,735 | 406,712 | 568,458 | 401,031 | 969,488 | 224,669 | 230,539 | 455,207 | 652,228 | 433,924 | 1,086,152 |
| 5. | Delhi | 1,056,918 | 522,188 | 1,579,106 | 53,598 | 25,942 | 79,539 | 863,286 | 710,535 | 1,573,821 | 69,791 | 46,582 | 116,373 |
| 6. | Goa + Daman \& Diu | 40,107 | 31,835 | 71,942 | 36,406 | 17,150 | 53,556 | 50,518 | 40,357 | 90,874 | 49,291 | 40,519 | 89,809 |
| 7. | Gujarat + Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 1,292,822 | 819,736 | 2,112,558 | 1,440,190 | 868,547 | 2,308,737 | 1,516,923 | 1,027,423 | 2,544,346 | 1,443,538 | 1,683,999 | 3,127,537 |
| 8. | Haryana | 375,261 | 242,452 | 617,713 | 938,587 | 579,864 | 1,518,451 | 405,124 | 316,360 | 721,484 | 818,349 | 611,953 | 1,430,301 |
| 9. | Himachal Pradesh | 31,159 | 23,245 | 54,404 | 231,785 | 211,923 | 443,708 | 37,735 | 33,291 | 71,026 | 325,383 | 347,888 | 673,271 |
| 10. | Jammu \& Kashmir | 118,195 | 72,706 | 190,901 | 390,814 | 146,870 | 537,684 | 151,541 | 95,580 | 247,121 | 320,643 | 200,186 | 520,829 |
| 11. | Jharkhand | 411,793 | 235,655 | 647,448 | 886,061 | 483,909 | 1,369,969 | 366,655 | 300,840 | 667,495 | 872,078 | 602,223 | 1,474,301 |
| 12. | Karnataka | 792,789 | 278,225 | 1,071,014 | 1,064,842 | 710,182 | 1,775,024 | 1,186,800 | 523,656 | 1,710,455 | 1,579,084 | 787,635 | 2,366,718 |
| 13. | Kerala + Lakshadweep | 288,979 | 330,748 | 619,726 | 894,085 | 949,900 | 1,843,984 | 411,286 | 410,012 | 821,298 | 1,230,815 | 1,330,888 | 2,561,704 |
| 14. | Madhya Pradesh | 897,304 | 557,399 | 1,454,703 | 1,936,990 | 901,625 | 2,838,615 | 1,142,636 | 876,319 | 2,018,955 | 1,977,449 | 1,370,740 | 3,348,188 |
| 15. | Maharashtra | 2,537,734 | 1,713,002 | 4,250,736 | 3,048,276 | 2,245,406 | 5,293,682 | 2,696,208 | 1,879,549 | 4,575,756 | 2,738,089 | 2,253,903 | 4,991,991 |
| 16. | Manipur | 25,697 | 19,930 | 45,627 | 95,022 | 82,304 | 177,325 | 31,128 | 38,017 | 69,145 | 118,339 | 108,035 | 226,374 |
| 17. | Orissa | 304,609 | 237,706 | 542,315 | 1,162,078 | 971,892 | 2,133,969 | 404,803 | 296,528 | 701,331 | 1,503,174 | 1,074,131 | 2,577,306 |
| 18. | Other North Eastern States | 120,586 | 100,504 | 221,090 | 269,096 | 250,984 | 520,080 | 152,479 | 125,887 | 278,365 | 323,974 | 345,000 | 668,973 |
| 19. | Punjab + Chandigarh | 615,721 | 369,766 | 985,487 | 938,636 | 649,497 | 1,588,133 | 624,294 | 534,415 | 1,158,709 | 839,187 | 766,616 | 1,605,803 |
| 20. | Rajasthan | 774,900 | 476,903 | 1,251,803 | 1,950,890 | 1,472,272 | 3,423,162 | 903,186 | 757,571 | 1,660,757 | 1,952,913 | 1,532,168 | 3,485,081 |
| 21. | Sikkim | 5,256 | 3,431 | 8,686 | 27,863 | 20,852 | 48,715 | 5,194 | 4,585 | 9,780 | 32,736 | 22,138 | 54,874 |
| 22. | Tamil Nadu + Puducherry | 1,022,613 | 908,014 | 1,930,627 | 1,458,976 | 1,392,798 | 2,851,774 | 2,173,040 | 1,658,392 | 3,831,431 | 1,739,499 | 1,333,645 | 3,073,143 |
| 23. | Uttar Pradesh | 1,984,721 | 1,257,983 | 3,242,704 | 7,536,720 | 4,799,840 | 12,336,559 | 2,073,267 | 1,370,075 | 3,443,342 | 6,468,615 | 5,112,774 | 11,581,389 |
| 24. | Uttarakhand | 135,893 | 84,345 | 220,237 | 311,020 | 268,778 | 579,798 | 121,163 | 99,133 | 220,296 | 285,535 | 304,208 | 589,742 |
| 25. | West Bengal + Andaman \& Nicobar Islands | 903,214 | 869,508 | 1,772,722 | 2,715,024 | 1,750,628 | 4,465,652 | 1,564,849 | 1,162,245 | 2,727,094 | 3,047,203 | 2,853,849 | 5,901,052 |
| All India |  | 15,994,917 | 10,362,540 | 26,357,457 | 33,821,046 | 22,782,946 | 56,603,992 | 18,980,775 | 14,180,454 | 33,161,229 | 35,218,597 | 27,999,585 | 63,218,181 |
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Base：Reported non－regular sex（15－24 years）

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SI. } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | State/Group of States | 15-19 years |  |  |  |  |  | 20-24 years |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male |  |  | Female |  |  | Male |  |  | Female |  |  |
|  |  | Urban | Rural | Total | Urban | Rural | Total | Urban | Rural | Total | Urban | Rural | Total |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 26,561 | 2,017 | 28,578 | 501,918 | 70,372 | 572,289 | 60,932 | 41,771 | 102,703 | 1,098,174 | 115,562 | 1,213,736 |
| 2. | Assam | 2,506 | 362 | 2,868 | 56,259 | 2,999 | 59,258 | 23,716 | 4,089 | 27,805 | 135,155 | 17,139 | 152,294 |
| 3. | Bihar | 24,434 |  | 24,434 | 129,216 | 48,940 | 178,156 | 20,839 |  | 20,839 | 265,513 |  | 265,513 |
| 4. | Chhattisgarh | 12,509 | 414 | 12,922 | 59,166 | 24,800 | 83,966 | 20,822 | 159 | 20,981 | 46,103 | 1,327 | 47,430 |
| 5. | Delhi | 135,768 | 13,393 | 149,161 | 6,160 | 397 | 6,557 | 295,094 | 50,301 | 345,394 | 11,680 | 749 | 12,428 |
| 6. | Goa + Daman \& Diu | 4,991 | 511 | 5,502 | 2,005 |  | 2,005 | 8,937 | 1,673 | 10,609 | 3,062 |  | 3,062 |
| 7. | Gujarat + Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 141,159 | 46,338 | 187,497 | 119,982 | 105,807 | 225,788 | 441,072 | 50,305 | 491,377 | 205,899 | 26,038 | 231,936 |
| 8. | Haryana | 30,489 | 1,070 | 31,559 | 104,808 | 10,664 | 115,471 | 46,290 | 1,594 | 47,884 | 89,203 | 7,049 | 96,251 |
| 9. | Himachal Pradesh | 2,102 |  | 2,102 | 15,264 |  | 15,264 | 5,039 | 213 | 5,252 | 35,472 |  | 35,472 |
| 10. | Jammu \& Kashmir | 14,550 | 1,219 | 15,769 | 56,954 | 2,896 | 59,851 | 15,948 | 22 | 15,970 | 41,621 | 3,589 | 45,210 |
| 11. | Jharkhand | 25,757 | 7,287 | 33,044 | 39,403 | 2,543 | 41,946 | 66,170 | 21,474 | 87,644 | 164,486 | 32,884 | 197,370 |
| 12. | Karnataka | 19,566 | 16,549 | 36,115 | 43,596 |  | 43,596 | 111,214 | 58,822 | 170,036 | 43,057 | 24,028 | 67,085 |
| 13. | Kerala + Lakshadweep | 11,136 | 13,436 | 24,571 | 12,987 | 35,369 | 48,356 | 49,956 | 6,756 | 56,712 | 146,171 | 63,376 | 209,547 |
| 14. | Madhya Pradesh | 150,507 | 956 | 151,463 | 352,832 | 91,220 | 444,052 | 294,130 | 12,203 | 306,333 | 339,553 | 38,332 | 377,884 |
| 15. | Maharashtra | 196,784 | 81,357 | 278,142 | 434,419 | 164,449 | 598,867 | 435,498 | 224,730 | 660,227 | 647,828 | 418,796 | 1,066,623 |
| 16. | Manipur | 105 | 29 | 133 | 4,901 | 2,232 | 7,133 | 1,153 |  | 1,153 | 13,227 | 6,455 | 19,682 |
| 17. | Orissa | 18,971 | 8,039 | 27,010 | 123,432 | 93,380 | 216,811 | 65,137 | 2,492 | 67,630 | 264,856 | 63,552 | 328,407 |
| 18. | Other North Easter States | 8,447 | 10,174 | 18,621 | 18,075 | 13,252 | 31,328 | 21,854 | 20,032 | 41,885 | 45,423 | 24,814 | 70,237 |
| 19. | Punjab + Chandigarh | 85,651 | 28,769 | 114,421 | 81,900 | 46,331 | 128,231 | 263,577 | 89,309 | 352,885 | 225,858 | 46,215 | 272,072 |
| 20. | Rajasthan | 106,394 | 14,141 | 120,534 | 255,784 | 29,713 | 285,497 | 189,068 | 17,122 | 206,189 | 281,074 | 15,759 | 296,833 |
| 21. | Sikkim | 545 | 131 | 677 | 1,199 | 168 | 1,366 | 1,077 | 122 | 1,199 | 2,256 | 273 | 2,529 |
| 22. | Tamil Nadu + Puducherry | 16,468 | 6,403 | 22,871 | 32,610 | 55,125 | 87,735 | 389,698 | 157,880 | 547,577 | 640,948 | 180,889 | 821,836 |
| 23. | Uttar Pradesh | 205,944 | 5,687 | 211,631 | 1,213,795 |  | 1,213,795 | 247,873 | 3,142 | 251,014 | 986,523 | 30,041 | 1,016,563 |
| 24. | Uttarakhand | 4,937 |  | 4,937 | 16,575 |  | 16,575 | 10,549 |  | 10,549 | 18,672 |  | 18,672 |
| 25. | West Bengal+A\& N Islands | 142,081 | 16,153 | 158,234 | 258,773 | 11,803 | 270,575 | 194,066 | 11,608 | 205,673 | 223,065 | 18,200 | 241,264 |
| All India |  | 1,388,358 | 274,432 | 1,662,789 | 3,942,009 | 812,454 | 4,754,463 | 3,279,702 | 775,813 | 4,055,515 | 5,974,869 | 1,135,063 | 7,109,931 |

[^20]| SI. | State/Group of States |  | 15-19 year |  |  | 20-24 year |  |  | 15-54 year |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. |  | Urban | Rural | Total | Urban | Rural | Total | Urban | Rural | Total |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 1,136,191 | 1,659,663 | 2,795,854 | 1,151,938 | 2,232,192 | 3,384,130 | 2,288,129 | 3,891,855 | 6,179,984 |
| 2. | Assam | 87,343 | 646,798 | 734,141 | 161,113 | 888,984 | 1,050,097 | 248,457 | 1,535,782 | 1,784,238 |
| 3. | Bihar | 330,305 | 2,356,737 | 2,687,042 | 306,053 | 2,684,385 | 2,990,437 | 636,357 | 5,041,122 | 5,677,479 |
| 4. | Chhattisgarh | 92,123 | 301,065 | 393,188 | 114,556 | 327,310 | 441,866 | 206,680 | 628,374 | 835,054 |
| 5. | Delhi | 918,415 | 39,964 | 958,379 | 811,723 | 59,104 | 870,827 | 1,730,138 | 99,068 | 1,829,205 |
| 6. | Goa + Daman \& Diu | 30,028 | 12,474 | 42,502 | 39,270 | 23,311 | 62,580 | 69,297 | 35,785 | 105,082 |
| 7. | Gujarat + Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 924,342 | 956,951 | 1,881,292 | 1,261,822 | 952,868 | 2,214,690 | 2,186,164 | 1,909,819 | 4,095,982 |
| 8. | Haryana | 294,463 | 756,538 | 1,051,001 | 364,602 | 716,484 | 1,081,086 | 659,065 | 1,473,022 | 2,132,087 |
| 9. | Himachal Pradesh | 25,861 | 178,596 | 204,456 | 34,899 | 289,553 | 324,452 | 60,760 | 468,148 | 528,908 |
| 10. | Jammu \& Kashmir | 91,445 | 281,846 | 373,291 | 134,380 | 290,873 | 425,252 | 225,824 | 572,718 | 798,543 |
| 11. | Jharkhand | 229,615 | 573,219 | 802,835 | 268,256 | 631,149 | 899,404 | 497,871 | 1,204,368 | 1,702,239 |
| 12. | Kamataka | 477,491 | 407,310 | 884,800 | 911,378 | 662,719 | 1,574,097 | 1,388,869 | 1,070,029 | 2,458,897 |
| 13. | Kerala + Lakshadweep | 208,973 | 727,287 | 936,260 | 356,708 | 1,148,826 | 1,505,534 | 565,681 | 1,876,113 | 2,441,794 |
| 14. | Madhya Pradesh | 644,249 | 1,440,277 | 2,084,526 | 820,653 | 1,693,922 | 2,514,575 | 1,464,903 | 3,134,198 | 4,599,101 |
| 15. | Maharashtra | 2,036,959 | 2,295,574 | 4,332,532 | 1,995,232 | 1,861,424 | 3,856,656 | 4,032,190 | 4,156,998 | 8,189,188 |
| 16. | Manipur | 13,029 | 44,120 | 57,148 | 21,060 | 59,994 | 81,054 | 34,088 | 104,114 | 138,202 |
| 17. | Orissa | 141,054 | 554,273 | 695,326 | 287,138 | 857,225 | 1,144,364 | 428,192 | 1,411,498 | 1,839,690 |
| 18. | Other North Eastern States | 84,302 | 101,891 | 186,193 | 117,327 | 212,027 | 329,353 | 201,628 | 313,918 | 515,546 |
| 19. | Punjab + Chandigarh | 527,879 | 853,643 | 1,381,522 | 601,898 | 803,413 | 1,405,311 | 1,129,777 | 1,657,056 | 2,786,833 |
| 20. | Rajasthan | 497,344 | 1,266,656 | 1,764,000 | 721,780 | 1,393,558 | 2,115,337 | 1,219,124 | 2,660,213 | 3,879,337 |
| 21. | Sikkim | 3,466 | 17,604 | 21,069 | 3,835 | 20,663 | 24,498 | 7,300 | 38,267 | 45,567 |
| 22. | Tamil Nadu + Puducherry | 775,399 | 954,497 | 1,729,895 | 1,788,409 | 1,422,967 | 3,211,375 | 2,563,807 | 2,377,464 | 4,941,270 |
| 23. | Uttar Pradesh | 1,681,662 | 5,694,692 | 7,376,354 | 1,899,584 | 4,721,362 | 6,620,945 | 3,581,246 | 10,416,053 | 13,997,299 |
| 24. | Uttarakhand | 92,079 | 184,424 | 276,503 | 95,525 | 233,484 | 329,009 | 187,604 | 417,908 | 605,512 |
| 25. | West Bengal + Andaman \& Nicobar Islands | 747,202 | 1,815,795 | 2,562,996 | 1,387,747 | 1,898,672 | 3,286,418 | 2,134,949 | 3,714,466 | 5,849,414 |
| All India |  | 12,091,214 | 24,121,888 | 36,213,101 | 15,656,880 | 26,086,462 | 41,743,342 | 27,748,094 | 50,208,350 | 77,956,444 |
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## Other Experts involved in National BSS 2006 among Youth

1. Prof. Shashi Kant (AIIMS)
2. Prof. K.K. Singh (BHU)
3. Dr. R.N. Gupta (Ex- DDG (SG), ICMR)
4. Dr. Ravi K. Verma (ICRW)
5. Dr. R.K. Gupta ( NIMS)
6. Dr. S.K. Benara ( NIMS)
7. Dr. Sanjana Ajey Bhardwaj (UNICEF)
8. Dr. Girish Makhija (NACO)
9. Dr. Yujwal Raj P (NACO)

[^0]:    © National AIDS Control Organisation Ministry of Health and Family Welfare Government of India

[^1]:    Base: All respondents

[^2]:    Base：All respondents

[^3]:    Base: All respondents

[^4]:    Base: All respondents

[^5]:    Base: All respondents aware of HIV/AIDS

[^6]:    Base: All respondents aware of STDs

[^7]:    Base: Aware of STDs

[^8]:    Base: All respondents

[^9]:    Base: All respondents aware of condoms

[^10]:    Base: All respondents aware of condoms

[^11]:    Base: All respondents

[^12]:    Base：All respondents who reported sex with non－regular partner in last 12 months（figures given in parenthesis）

[^13]:    Base: All respondents who reported sex with non-regular partner in last 12 months

[^14]:    Base: All respondents who reported sex with non-regular partner in last 12 months

[^15]:    Tamil Nadu＋Puducherry
     Uttarakhand Nicobar Islands

[^16]:    Base: All respondents

[^17]:    + GO TO Q801 FOR MALE RESPONDENTS
    + END FOR FEMALE RESPONDENTS

[^18]:    Base: Reported any STD symptom (15-19 and 20-24 years)

[^19]:    | Urban |
    | ---: |
    | 87,493 |
    | 26,222 |
    | 45,272 |
    | 33,331 |
    | 430,862 |
    | 13,927 |

[^20]:    Base: Reported non-regular sex (15-19 and 20-24 Years)

